

**CONSULTATION LEAFLET AND QUESTIONNAIRE**

## **APPENDIX 2**

### **HOUSING COMMENTS**

	<b>HOUSING</b>
<b>H1</b>	ANY REDEVELOPMENT SHOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT ALL COMMUNITIES IN AREA AND BE A MIX OF SOCIAL HOUSING AND PRIVATE SECTOR DEVELOPMENTS.
<b>H2</b>	THERE IS TOO MUCH HIGH DENSITY COUNCIL HOUSING - GET RID OF THESE CRIME INFESTED EYESORES AND DO NOT BULLY ANY MORE.
<b>H3</b>	MY PRIORITIES WOULD BE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR THOSE WHO HAVE GROWN UP IN FULHAM BUT CANNOT REMOTELY AFFORD TO LIVE HERE ON AVERAGE SALARY (YOU HAVE TO BE AN EARLY HIGH FLIER OR HAVE PARENTAL HELP TO BUY A HOUSE ANYWHERE IN LONDON). IT WOULD BE SAD TO SEE THE WHOLE AREA BUILT UP. TERRIBLE CONGESTION WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH DENSITY HOUSING ALL OVER THIS LARGE SITE. A BREATHING SPACE AND QUIET STRETCHES ARE ESSENTIAL. AT PRESENT SEAGRAVE ROAD IS QUIET.
<b>H4</b>	RE THE SHORTAGE OF HOUSES, IF YOU MAKE THEM AVAILABLE TO LOWER INCOME FAMILIES YOU HAVE NO WAY OF CONTROLLING THE ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR THAT THEY WILL BRING ALONG. PEOPLE BUY HERE CAUSE IT'S A SAFE ZONE, AND IT IS SAFE BECAUSE ONLY MEDIUM-HIGH INCOME FAMILIES CAN AFFORD OT LIVE HERE. IT IS SAD BUT TRUE.
<b>H5</b>	IF THERE IS A MIX OF LANDLORDS AND A MIX OF HOUSING SOME THOUGHT NEEDS TO BE PUT INTO HOW TO ENCOURAGE COHESION TO DEVELOP AND BE MAINTAINED.
<b>H6</b>	THE BEATRIX HOUSE MUST BE CONVERTED IN RESIDENTIAL FLATS, LEAVING THE ARCHITECTUREE THE WAY IT IS. IT IS A DISGRACE THAT IT IS CURRENTLY HOUSING DRUG ADDICTS WHO EITHER STEAL MONEY FROM THE LOCAL SHOPS OR HARASS PEOPLE FOR CHANGES. PLEASE LET ME KNOW WHAT ARE YOU PLAN WITH BEATRIX HOUSE.
<b>H7</b>	STRONGLY PREFER HOUSING TO REFLECT THE COST OF THE AREA - AVOID DOWN MARKET ESTATES AND/OR DEVELOPMENT THAT IS HEAVILY SUBSIDISED.
<b>H8</b>	I LIVE ON WEST KEN ESTATE AND IT IS A LOVELY ESTATE WITH A REAL SENSE OF COMMUNITY! I COULDNT IMAGINE LIVING ANYWHERE ELSE.AT PRESENT ALL WHO LIVE ON THE ESTATE ARE BEING PROMISED NEW HOMES IN THE DEVELOPMENT AREA AND REMAINIG A SECURE COUNCIL TENANT WITH NEW HOMES BEING BIGGER! IF THIS IS THE CASE WE WOULD ALL WELCOME THIS! BUT WE ARE ALL HAVING TROUBLE BELIEVING THAT WE WILL ALL BE REHOUSED IN THE DEVELOPMENT AREA WE BELIEVE WE ARE BEING TOLD THIS NOW TO GET OUR SUPPORT,IF THIS IS ALL ABOVE BOARD AND THIS IS GUARANTEED THAT THESE NEW BUILDS WILL BE BUILT AND WE SHALL BE REHOUSED IN TO THEM B4 THESE ARE KNOCKED DOWN THIS NEEDS TO BE PUT IN SOME KIND OF WRITING AS THIS IS THE ONLY WAY YOU WILL HAVE THE RESIDENTS FULL SUPPORT,WE LOVE OUR ESTATE AND WHERE WE LIVE!!
<b>H9</b>	MORE MODERN, SAFE AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING.
<b>H10</b>	THE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD PROVIDE A PLACE THAT ALL GENERATIONS WANT TO ENJOY. HOUSING AND SPACE FOR FAMILIES (WITH ENOUGH BEDROOMS AND OUTDOOR SPACE BALCONIES IF GARDENS TOO DIFF). MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS HAVE BEEN CHEAP DESIGNED 2 BEDROOM FLATS THAT DON'T PROVIDE FOR THE DIVERSITY OF SOCIETY. THINK ABOUT CELLARS/ STORAGE SPACE FOR FAMILY BELONGINGS SUCH AS BICYCLES,BBQ'S AND CHAIRS THAT YOU PUT AWAY IN THE WINTER. USING THE HEATING SYSTEMS TO DRY CLOTHES RATHER THAN EACH HOUSE RUNNING EXPENSIVE TUMBLE DRYERS
<b>H11</b>	RETAIN ALL LISTED BUILDINGS
<b>H12</b>	HOUSING DENSITY MUST BE KEPT IN PROPORTION TO THE SURROUNDINGS SURROUNDED BY CONSERVATION AREAS: MUST BE CONSIDERED IN DESIGN

<b>H13</b>	WE LIVE IN A BLOCK AND WOULD LOVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE ABLE TO LIVE ON A LOWER FLOOR OR A GARDEN. ITS NOT FAIR THAT WE WORK HARD AND PAY RENT BUT DUE TO LUCK ONLY THAT SOME HAVE GARDENS AND SOME OF US HAVE HAD TO LIVE IN THESE CLOSED UP AREAS THAT ARE BADLY DESIGNED THROUGH NO CHOICE OF OURS. I THINK AFTER ALL THE YEARS OF PAYING OUR RENT AND BEING GOOD TENANTS WE DO DESERVE A BETTER QUALITY OF HOUSING AND NOT LIVING AROUND UNSAVOURY CHARACTERS.
<b>H14</b>	NO MORE HOUSING DEVELOPEMENT AT ANY COST.
<b>H15</b>	THE HIGH CONCENTRATION OF HOUSING ESTATES IN WEST KENSINGTON HAS A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON NORTH END ROAD AND LILLY ROAD. IF HOUSING ESTATES COULD BE REDISTRIBUTED, ACHIEVING A MORE MIXED COMMUNITY, THAT COULD HELP.
<b>H16</b>	THE REDEVELOPMENT INCLUDES THE DEMOLITION OF 750 GOOD QUALITY HOUSES AND FLATS ON THE WEST KENSINGTON AND GIBBS GREEN ESTATES. THESE HAVE BEEN IMPROVED IN RECENT YEARS; DIEPPE CLOSE IS ONLY 12 YEARS' OLD. THE REPLACEMENT OF THESE COUNCIL HOMES WITH LUXURY FLATS APPEARS TO BE POLITICALLY-DRIVEN, SINCE THE LBHF COUNCIL PORTRAY THESE AS 'NON-DECENT GHETTOES'. THE RESIDENTS NOW SEEK TO TRANSFER TO A RESIDENT-CONTROLLED ASSOCIATION; THE AUTHORITY SHOULD COOPERATE AND PROVIDE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.
<b>H17</b>	ANY PROPOSAL SHOULD HAVE 50% AFFORDABLE HOUSING ON-SITE, AT LEAST HALF WHICH SHOULD BE TO RENT. I BELIEVE THE TYPES OF HOUSING SHOULD GENERALLY BE MIXED, RATHER THAN SEPARATED; ALTHOUGH THE TYPES OF TENURE COULD BE SEPARATED IN EACH CORE/STAIRWELL; POSSIBLY IN AN ALTERNATING PATTERN.
<b>H18</b>	GREATER MIX OF FAMILY HOMES INSTEAD OF FOCUS ON SMALLER ESSENTIAL WORKER ACCOMMODATION ONLY.
<b>H19</b>	AFFORDABLE HOUSES ARE BEING BUILT FOR 1ST TIME BUYERS. WHAT ABOUT COUNCIL HOUSES FOR OUR YOUNG PEOPLE TOO MANY ARE HAVING TO LIVE IN OVER CROWDED CONDITIONS.
<b>H20</b>	ANY SOCIAL HOUSING LOST MUST BE REPLACED AND MORE ADDED TO STOCK.
<b>H21</b>	I AM PARTICULARLY INTERESTED THAT THE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD HAVE A RANGE OF AFFORDABLE / STARTER HOUSING SUITABLE FOR YOUNG PROFESSIONALS.
<b>H22</b>	I WOULD LIKE BIGGER HOUSES.
<b>H23</b>	PRESERVE EARLS COURT UNIQUE HOUSING AND CULTURAL MIX. THIS COULD BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY MODERN LOW COST HOUSING AND RETAIL PARKS. EG. SUPERMARKETS
<b>H24</b>	TOO MANY BLOCKS OF FLATS / ESTATES.
<b>H25</b>	MORE PRIVATE HOUSING.
<b>H26</b>	THESE NEEDS TO BE MORE FLATS & HOUSES (& GARDENS) FOR FAMILIES.
<b>H27</b>	PLEASE DO NOT BUILD ANY MORE RESIDENTIAL FLATS OR HOUSES

<b>H28</b>	I FEEL THAT MORE HOMES SHOULD BE BUILT & LESS HIGH RISES.
<b>H29</b>	DON'T LOSE SENSE OF COMMUNITY AROUND EC ROAD.
<b>H30</b>	WE DO NOT NEED MORE LUXURY USELESS FLATS FOR RICH PEOPLE ONLY. THE AREA WOULD COLLAPSE UNDER THE STRAIN.
<b>H31</b>	I AM EMBARRASSED ABOUT THIS AREA AND VERY HAPPY THAT REDEVELOPMENT IS TAKING PLACE. MORE TREES, MORE GREEN AND FLOWERS. CLASSIC AND BEAUTIFUL HOUSES THAT PEOPLE FEEL PROUD TO LIVE IN AND THEREFORE TAKE CARE OF.
<b>H32</b>	NEED TO SPREAD SOCIAL HOUSING & HOSTELS INTO & OVER ALL OTHER AREAS. THERE IS A HUGE CONCENTRATION IN INNER EARLS COURT, WITH ACCOMPANYING CRIME & ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR & RUBBISH (LITTER) AND NOISE.
<b>H33</b>	THERE SHOULD BE LESS HOUSING ESTATES WHICH ENCOURAGE ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR.
<b>H34</b>	THIS AREA HAS A GOOD SENSE OF COMMUNITY BUT NEEDS MORE GOOD QUALITY SOCIAL HOUSING
<b>H35</b>	ENSURE AMENITIES ARE BUILT IN LINE WITH ANY NEW HOUSING.
<b>H36</b>	THE WEST KENSINGTON AND GIBBS GREEN ESTATES ARE A LARGE COMMUNITY. THE RESIDENTS HAVE LIVED MOST OF THEIR LIVES THERE IN FULHAM, THERE IS NOT ENOUGH HOUSING TO RE HOUSE THEM. SHAME ON EVERYONE FOR BREAKING IT UP.
<b>H37</b>	I HOPE THE NEW DEVELOPMENT WILL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STYLE OF HOUSING IN THE NEIGHBOURING AREAS, WOULD BE GREAT
<b>H38</b>	BUILDING FLATS / HOUSES WOULD BE VERY SAD & OVER CROWDED FOR THIS PART OF THE AREA.
<b>H39</b>	WE DO NOT APPRECIATE THE DEMOLITION OF COUNCIL ESTATES ONLY TO BE SUBSTITUTED BY HOUSING ASSOCIATIONS SHARED OWNERSHIPS "SWINDLES". AS IT HAS HAPPENED ON NORTH END RD AND STAR RD. HANDS OFF OUR HOMES!
<b>H40</b>	NEED MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSES BUT GOOD QUALITY & NOT DESIGNED LIKE THE COUNCIL ESTATES. NEED OPEN SPACES WITHIN NEW HOME.
<b>H41</b>	THE COUNCIL ESTATE ON THE NORTH END ROAD NEEDS TO BE COMPLETELY REDEVELOPED - THE TOWER BLOCKS SHOULD BE BULLDOZED AND MORE RESIDENTIAL HOUSING BUILT.
<b>H42</b>	LIKE: PUBLIC HOUSES.
<b>H43</b>	CONCERNED ABOUT RE-DEVELOPMENT OF SOCIAL HOUSING

H44	ADEQUATE ACCOMMODATION IS IN PLACE NOW!
H45	I WOULD LIKE FOR THERE TO BE NO COUNCIL HOUSING ESTATES IN THE REDEVELOPMENT AS THESE CONTRIBUTE TO HIGH CRIME RATES. INSTEAD, I WOULD LIKE MUCH OF THE REDEVELOPMENT TO BE COMPRISED OF A LARGE PARK AREA FOR LOCAL RESIDENTS TO ENJOY.
H46	THE HOUSING IS POOR QUALITY AND TOO DENSE.
H47	I THINK THAT THE HOUSING ESTATES SHOULD BE REDEVELOPED INTO UP TO DATE PROPERTIES, ALSO SOME OF THESE PROPERTIES SHOULD BE PRIVATE AS IT IS GOOD TO HAVE A MIX OF WORKING AND LOWER CLASS TO ENCOURAGE LOWER CLASS TO IMPROVE THEIR LIVES.
H48	SO GLAD THE I R BUILDING IS BEING PULLED DOWN AND HOPING TO SEE SOMETHING MORE PLEASING.
H49	DO NOT THINK COUNCIL HOUSING SHOULD FORM PART OF DEVELOPMENT.
H50	COUNCIL HOUSES NEED BETTER DESIGN, DEPRESSING AT PRESENT.
H51	FEWER ROOMING HOUSES WHICH *UNCLEAR* TO SLOPPY GARBAGE IN STREETS AND NOISE AT NIGHT.
H52	LESS HOUSING ESTATES AND MORE PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL HOUSING.
H53	GIVE HOUSING TO THE UNDER CLASS & TO KEY WORKERS. THERE ARE TOO MANY PRIVATE DEVELOPMENTS ALREADY IN THE AREA.
H54	HAVE HIGH QUALITY HOUSING,
H55	HOUSE RENT IS HIGHLY INFLATED IN THIS AREA. AS MOST HOUSING WAS BUILT DECADES AGO, SOME CHEAP (AFFORDABLE) APARTMENTS AND NEWER BUILDINGS WILL HELP LIFT UP THIS AREA HOUSING.
H56	TOO MANY HOUSING ESTATES. MORE PRIVATE HOUSING
H57	THERE IS A HIGH DENSITY OF COUNCIL HOUSING IN THE AREA.
H58	CAREFUL INTEGRATION OF PUBLIC / SOCIAL & PRIVATE HOUSING TO AVOID CRIME HOT SPOTS.
H59	PLEASE BUILD SOME REASONABLY PRICED FLATS / HOUSING

<b>H60</b>	THE NEW OLYMPIA HOTEL APARTMENTS WILL BRING MORE TRAFFIC / NOISE / POLLUTION ETC. WHAT IS NEEDED IS AFFORDABLE / RENTABLE FOR LOCAL PEOPLE.
<b>H61</b>	PLEASE CAN WE KEEP IT FAIRLY RESIDENTIAL - AS EVERYTHING IS NOW BEING DEVELOPED WITH CENTRES ETC - & PLEASE NO MORE HOTELS.
<b>H62</b>	MORE LUXURY HOUSING.
<b>H63</b>	NEW ACCOMMODATION SHOULD BE AFFORDABLE, REDUCE OR ERADICATE COUNCIL HOUSING. KEEP DESIGN OF NEW BUILDS SIMILAR TO WHAT IS ALREADY THERE.
<b>H64</b>	I WOULD DISCOURAGE DENSE BLOCK HOUSING / THEY DONT! CREATE A SENSE OF COMMUNITY.
<b>H65</b>	NEED MORE FAMILY HOUSING WHICH IS AFFORDABLE.
<b>H66</b>	LESS HOUSING ESTATES & BLOCKS OF FLATS. MORE PRIVATE HOUSING
<b>H67</b>	LESS DENSE PUBLIC HOUSING.
<b>H68</b>	I WOULD NOT LIKE TO SEE ANY MORE LUXURY FLAT DEVELOPMENTS. THESE PROPERTIES ARE USUALLY BOUGHT BY PEOPLE RESIDENT OVERSEAS WHO MAY ONLY OCCUPY THEM FOR A COUPLE OF WEEKS A YEAR. THERE IS FAR TOO MUCH OF THIS TYPE OF PROPERTY IN LONDON.
<b>H69</b>	MOST RESIDENTS WHO ARE LEASEHOLDERS WILL RESENT LIVING NEXT TO COUNCIL TENANTS IN IDENTICAL ACCOMMODATION AT SUBSIDISED RENTS - THERE WILL NEED TO BE DIFFERENTIATION OF PRIVATE & COUNCIL PROPERTIES.
<b>H70</b>	TOO MANY HOUSING ESTATES & CORNER SHOPS.
<b>H71</b>	NOT ENOUGH AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR FAMILIES, REDRESS THE BALANCE OF THOSE WHO ARE IN OVER CROWDED ACCOMMODATION.
<b>H72</b>	I DO NOT FAVOUR MORE "CHI-CHI" HOUSES.
<b>H73</b>	SEAGRAVE ROAD SHOULD BE HIGH QUALITY DEVELOPMENTS NOT SOCIAL HOUSING





## PARKING

P1	PARKING IS PART OF THE PROBLEM--THE ROADS ARE SIMPLY INADEQUATE TO THE VOLUME OF TRAFFIC AND PARKED CARS MAKE THIS BOTTLENECK EVEN TIGHTER.
P2	I WOULD LIKE THE NEW DEVELOPMENT TO SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASE THE CARPARKING AVAILABLE AND ENSURE A FASTER, BROADER ACCESS FOR CARS BETWEEN THE EMBANKMENT AND CROMWELL ROAD - THIS MEANS INCREASING THE SIZE OF THE ROAD TO ALLOW MORE CARS.
P3	PARKING
P4	MORE RESIDENT PARKING SPACES NEEDED - THERE IS AN NCP IN EDITH GROVE WHICH IS NEVER FULL. I CAN'T PARK IN FERNSHAW ROAD AFTER 5PM.
P5	ENSURE ALL NEW DEVELOPMENTS HAVE OFF STREET PARKING. INCREASE RESIDENTS PARKING PROVISION.
P6	IF CHELSEA ARE PLAYING HOME MATCHES PARKING IS A NIGHTMARE.
P7	ENLARGE EXISTING PARKING ZONES IN THE (MANY MANY) FULHAM & HAMM BOROUGH, SO THERE ARE LESS ZONES, AND RESIDENTS HAVE SPACES WHERE ONLY THEY CAN PARK AS THEY DO IN CHELSEA, KENSINGTON & WESTMINSTER.
P8	IF WE HAD SOME VISITORS' PARKING PERMITS FOR OUR FAMILY AND FRIENDS IT MIGHT MAKE LIFE EASIER IF WE INTRODUCED PARKING RESTRICTIONS ON RESIDENTIAL SPACES IN THIS AREA WHEN FOOTBALL MATCHES MAKE LOCAL LIFE IMPOSSIBLE ON SUNDAYS.
P9	TRAFFIC IS EXCEPTIONALLY HEAVY ESPECIALLY WHEN EVENTS ON AT EARL'S COURT, OLYMPIA, QUEEN'S CLUB TENNIS. LITTLE PARKING FACILITIES.
P10	TIGHTER CONTROL FOR APPLICATION OF RESIDENTS PARKING.
P11	PARKING FOR ANY NEW RESIDENTIAL HOMES TO BE BUILT IS IMPERATIVE.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT

PT1	IF LONDON UNDERGROND DECIDE TO VACATE THE SITE, THEN MIXED DEVELOPMENT WITH MUCH EMPLOYMENT WOULD BE MY PREFERENCE.
PT2	THE NORTH END ROAD MARKET IS A VIBRANT FACILITY BUT IT CAUSES BUSES TO CRAWL THROUGH IT WHICH IS ANNOYING IF ONE IS TRYING TO GET SOMEWHERE ON THE BUS ROUTE IN A HURRY.
PT3	EARL'S COURT TUBE STATION IS ALREADY HELL ON EARTH IN THE MORNINGS, IF YOU WERE TO ADD MORE PEOPLE TO THIS IT WOULD NOT ONLY BECOME UNBEARABLE BUT IT WOULD ALSO BECOME DANGEROUS.
PT4	THE DISTRICT LINE AND SPEED NEEDS TO BE IMPROVED SIGNIFICANTLY FOR THE INCREASE IN NUMBER OF PASSENGERS. WEST BROMPTON OVER LAND TRAIN ALSO WILL NEED LONGER TRAINS AND PERHAPS MORE FREQUENT.
PT5	EARLS COURT HAS TOO MANY PEOPLE, ESPECIALLY TRYING TO USE THE PICCADILLY LINE AT 8AM. HOW WILL THAT BE IMPROVED BY PUTTING 32 000 MORE PEOPLE INTO AN ALREADY OVER POPULATED/OVER BURDENED AREA?

<b>PT6</b>	THE CURRENT ACCESSIBILITY MAY BE TECHNICALLY HIGH, BUT THE RESIDENTS' EXPERIENCE IS THAT THE EARLS COURT UNDERGROUND SERVICE IS AT FULL CAPACITY ALREADY.
<b>PT7</b>	LIFT OR DISABILITY ACCESS AT W. KENSINGTON STATION.
<b>PT8</b>	EARLS COURT TUBE STATION IS A PROBLEM = DISTRICT LINE TRAINS LACK COORDINATION.
<b>PT9</b>	THE AREA IS VERY CENTRAL AND WELL SERVED BY THE TUBE AND BUSES NOT TOO BAD
<b>PT10</b>	BUS LINES INTO WEST END AND CITY OF LONDON ARE NEEDED! GOOD TRANSPORT LINKS.
<b>PT11</b>	IF THERE IS REDEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE THERE MUST BE CAPACITY *UNCLEAR* TO THE DISTRICT LINE.
<b>PT12</b>	LONG WALK TO NORTH END ROAD - SHOULD HAVE BUSES HOPPER.
<b>PT13</b>	BUS CONNECTIONS TO CENTRAL ARE POOR, COULD USE BETTER SERVICES TO WESTMINSTER / RIVER AREA.
<b>PT14</b>	SLIGHT ODD QUESTIONING FOR INSTANCE, I LIKE AND USE THE WEST LONDON LINE BUT WOULD LIKE MORE TRAINS. BOTH CHOICES ARE TAKEN EITHER WAY!
<b>PT15</b>	THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO INTEGRATE THE WEST LONDON LINE AND UNDERGROUND AT EARLS COURT.
<b>PT16</b>	REFURBISHMENT OF WEST KEN UNDERGROUND STATION FROM ITS CURRENT SHED-LIKE ENTITY (SMARTENING FACADE, ADDING OFFICE SPACE OVER THE ENTRANCE HALL, IF FEASIBLE; IMPROVED LANDSCAPING)
<b>PT17</b>	THE DEVELOPMENT MUST CREATE A TRANSPORT HUB: AN INTERCHANGE, AT EARL'S COURT, BETWEEN WEST LONDON (SOON TO BE LONDON ORBITAL), PICCADILLY AND DISTRICT LINES.
<b>PT18</b>	ONCE IN A LIFETIME OPPORTUNITY FOR TFL TO IMPROVE UNDERGROUND SERVICES BY BUILDING AN UNDERPASS FOR THE WIMBLEDON LINE (EAST BOUND) UNDERNEATH THE HAMMERSMITH / EALING / RICHMOND LINE AT THE EARL'S CT JUNCTION.
<b>PT19</b>	TRAFFIC THRU' N. END RD IS BAD, AFFECTING BUS SERVICE.
<b>PT20</b>	THE TUBE JOURNEY THROUGH EARLS COURT FROM WEST KEN IS PAINFULLY SLOW AND NEEDS TO BE LOOKED AT AS PART OF THE REDEVELOPMENT.
<b>PT21</b>	NEED MORE TUBES!! - EDGWARE ROAD LINE TO CONNECT TO CIRCLE.
<b>PT22</b>	TRANSPORT SYSTEMS ARE ALREADY OPERATING AT FULL CAPACITY - LIFTS & ESCALATORS CONTINUALLY BREAK DOWN AT EARL'S COURT STATION FOR INSTANCE.
<b>PT23</b>	BETTER PUBLIC TRANSPORT.
<b>PT24</b>	THE UNDERGROUND CANNOT SUPPORT MORE COMMUTERS. IT IS ALREADY NEAR IMPOSSIBLE TO GET ON THE TRAIN AT RUSH HOUR. THIS MUST BE SOLVED.

<b>PT25</b>	I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE UNDERGROUND IMPROVED - NOT HAVING TO CHANGE AT EDGWARE ROAD FOR HIGH STREET KENSINGTON - RE-ESTABLISH THE OLD CIRCLE LINE ROUTE.
<b>PT26</b>	IMPACT ON PUBLIC TRANSPORT, TRAFFIC ALREADY HEAVILY OVERSUBSCRIBED.
<b>PT27</b>	WHAT IS THE WEST LONDON LINE?

## CYCLING

<b>C1</b>	PLEASE DO NOT INCREASE CYCLING LANES, IT JUST WORSENS THE TRAFFIC AND IT IS DANGEROUS FOR WHO IS ON THE BICYCLE, ESP IN THIS AREA.
<b>C2</b>	ALTHOUGH THERE ARE PLENTY OF CYCLE LANES, CYCLISTS STILL USE THE PAVEMENTS. TRAVEL OPTIONS ARE ALREADY EXCELLENT AND IT IS PERFECTLY EASY TO WALK EVERYWHERE WITHOUT NEED FOR PEDESTRIAN ONLY AREAS.
<b>C3</b>	A COMPREHENSIVE CYCLE LANE SYSTEM SHOULD ALSO BE INCORPRATED.
<b>C4</b>	I AM IN FAVOUR OF A SEMI-PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION THROUGH THE SITE FROM THE EARLS COURT STATION TO NORTH END ROAD. I SUGGEST A CYCLE-ROUTE ON A NORTH-SOUTH AXIS THROUGH THE AREA IN PROXIMITY OF THE WEST LONDON LINE.
<b>C5</b>	WE NEED URGENTLY TWICE MORE CITY CYCLE DOCKING STATION AS EITHER THEY ARE EMPTY IN THE AFTERNOON OR FULL AT NIGHT. THERE IS A YOUNG POPULATION WHO LIKE TO CYCLE BUT IT IS NOT AVAILABLE. VERY DISAPPOINTING. THERE AREN'T ANY IN THE OPPORTUNITY AREA.
<b>C6</b>	MORE BICYCLE LOCKERS.
<b>C7</b>	MORE BICYCLE LOCKERS.
<b>C8</b>	CYCLE FACILITIES AND FENCES RIDDLED WITH ABANDONED BIKES
<b>C9</b>	YOU NEED TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT JOGGERS & CYCLISTS ON THE PAVEMENT.
<b>C10</b>	MORE CYCLE ROUTES
<b>C11</b>	WOULD LIKE TO SEE PROTECTED CYCLING GREENWAYS.
<b>C12</b>	CYCLING COURSES FOR ALL ROAD USERS, CLAMP DOWN ON DRIVER AND CYCLISTS USING MOBILE PHONES WHEN ON THE ROAD.
<b>C13</b>	CYCLING ON WARWICK ROAD IS DANGEROUS.
<b>C14</b>	WE NEED TO HAVE FULLY DEDICATED CYCLE PATHS THAT ARE SEPERATED FROM CARS, BUS, LORRIES & PEDESTRIANS. COULD USE PAVEMENT ON ONE SIDE OF ROAD FOR CYCLES & OTHER SIDE FOR PEDESTRIANS.
<b>C15</b>	BETTER & SAFER CYCLING.

<b>C16</b>	THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR A GRADE SEPERATED 'CYCLE SAFER HIGHWAY' ALONG WEST LONDON LINE ACROSS CHELSEA RAILWAY BRIDGE (CLIP-ON A DECK ON EAST OR WEST SIDE). THERE IS A SPARE CORRIDOR FOR THIS INNOVATION FROM HARROW RD TO CLAPHAM JN.
<b>C17</b>	ISSUE, LEXHAM WALK HAS BECOME A NIGHTMARE TO MAINTAIN (WHICH MY GARDENER DOES) & TO WALK DOWN. CYCLISTS DEFY THE SIGNS & POLICE WARNINGS TO CUT THROUGH AT SPEED. DOZENS OF ACCIDENTS DURING THE LAST 2-3 YEARS.
<b>C18</b>	CYCLING IS PERILOUS AND CYCLING LANES ARE NEEDED ALONG N END RD AND LILLIE RD.
<b>C19</b>	NO COMMENT IS MADE RE MAYORS PLAN FOR CYCLE ROUTE ALONG A4 CORRIDOR INTO TOWN.
<b>C20</b>	IMPROVE PEDESTRIANISATION & CYCLING FACILITIES & LANES
<b>C21</b>	CYCLISTS SHOULD HAVE MORE SPECIAL LANES DOWN ONE WAY STREETS.
<b>C22</b>	IMPROVE / EXPAND CYCLE LANES. PEDESTRIANISE MORE.
<b>C23</b>	NOT ENOUGH CYCLE LANES.
<b>C24</b>	I'D LIKE THE CYCLE NETWORK TO BE DEVELOPED.
<b>C25</b>	CYCLE LANES SHOULD LINK CYCLE SUPER HIGHWAYS. ADEQUATE PARKING MUST BE INCLUDED.
<b>C26</b>	MORE CYCLING SPACE TO AVOID BIKES BEING RIDEN ON PAVEMENTS. PAVEMENTS SHOULD BE IN GOOD REPAIR.
<b>C27</b>	ACCESS FOR CYCLISTS VERY POOR COMPARED TO SURROUNDING
<b>C28</b>	IT IS NOT IMPORTANT THAT CYCLE LANES ARE ESTABLISHED. ON WIDE PAVEMENTS, PLEASE ESTABLISH CYCLE LANES. CARS AND CYCLES DO NOT MIX WELL.
<b>C29</b>	CYCLE LANES NEEDED.

#### **ROADS AND TRAFFIC**

<b>R1</b>	WILL MORE HOUSING CREATE MORE TRAFFIC?
<b>R2</b>	MORE THOUGHT COULD BE PUT INTO THIS QUESTIONNAIRE. E.G. Q 2G 'AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC IN THE AREA' - YOU CAN'T INDICATE IF YOU THINK THERE SHOULD BE MORE TRAFFIC IN THE AREA, OR LESS.
<b>R3</b>	I DO NOT VISIT THE EARL'SCOURT AREA OFTEN BECAUSE IT IS LIKE AN ISLAND SURROUNDED BY CONGESTED TRAFFIC.
<b>R4</b>	VERY WORRIED ABOUT THE ABILITY OF WARWICK RD END OF EC TUBE STATION BEING ABLE TO COPE WITH ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC AND TRAFFIC GENERALLY.

<b>R5</b>	TRAFFIC IS THE BIGGEST BLIGHT IN THE AREA WITH BOTH THE NORTH END ROAD AND WARWICK ROAD STRANGLING OUR WARDS. ANY DEVELOPMENT MUST CONTAIN PROVISION FOR A "KENSINGTON AND FULHAM NORTH/SOUTH ROAD BYPASS" - THIS IS THE IDEAL OPPORTUNITY TO SOLVE THIS 100+ YEAR-OLD PROBLEM AND FINALLY REPLACE THE INADEQUATE ONE-WAY SYSTEMS THAT RUN FROM SHEPPARD'S BUSH TO THE RIVER.
<b>R6</b>	THERE IS A PRESSING NEED TO RE-THINK EARLS COURT ONE-WAY SYSTEM AS IT CANNOT COPE WITH THE EXISTING VOLUME OF TRAFFIC SO HOW IS IT GOING TO COPE WITH INCREASED CARS AS A RESULT OF THIS MASSIVE DEVELOPMENT?
<b>R7</b>	EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE HAS A HUGE IMPACT ON THE STREETS AROUND AND ESPECIALLY NORTH END ROAD. ONE LARGE LORRY TRAVELLING THIS ROUTE CAN BLOCK THE ROADS CAUSING TRAFFIC JAMS FOR THE REST OF THE DAY, NORTH END ROAD ALREADY CARRIES A LOT OF TRAFFIC THE EXHIBITION TRAFFIC NEEDS ANOTHER ROUTE TO AVOID CONGESTION FOR THE LOCAL PEOPLE.
<b>R8</b>	INCREASE OF POTENTIAL CAR TRAFFIC THROUGH REDEVELOPMENT IS OF TREMENDOUS CONCERN.
<b>R9</b>	A PRIORITY WOULD BE TO TAKE CROSS TOWN TRAFFIC OUT OF THE RESIDENTIAL AND SHOPPING AREAS AND MAYBE CONCEAL IN TUNNELS SO AS TO AVOID SUCH HIGH POLLUTION - IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN MOOTED THAT THIS COULD BE RE-ROUTED ALONG THE EXISTING RAILWAY LINES.
<b>R10</b>	THE CONGESTION AROUND THE AREA SHOULD BE SERIOUSLY CONSIDERED AS PART OF ANY DEVELOPMENT AS IT IS VERY DIFFICULT ALREADY TO GET AROUND IN RUSH HOUR. TRAFFIC IN THE NORTH END ROAD AND LILLIE ROAD IS A NIGHTMARE AT THE BEST OF TIMES AS THE ROADS ARE TOO NARROW TO ACCOMMODATE TRAFFIC AND BUS LANES. A SOLUTION NEEDS TO BE FULLY EXPLORED OR IT WILL JUST BRING THE AREA TO A STANDSTILL WHICH IS UNACCEPTABLE.
<b>R11</b>	ANY DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK MUST ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF PERIODIC STANDSTILL TRAFFIC THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE NEIGHBOURHOOD. TWICE IN THE LAST MONTH, IT HAS TAKEN ME AN HOUR TO DRIVE FROM THE NORTH WARWICK ROAD CORNER OF THE PROPOSED AREA TO WEST KENSINGTON STATION!!!! THE NUMBER OF INCIDENTS OF STANDSTILL TRAFFIC (NOT ON MATCH DAYS!!) IS INCREASING.
<b>R12</b>	THE NEW DEVELOPMENT SHOULD ALLOW BETTER UNDERGROUND ACCESS TO THE UNDERGROUND AND SEND BUS TRAFFIC TO THE WEST OF THE DEVELOPMENT, AWAY FROM WARWICK ROAD. CAR ACCESS SHOULD BE MADE FROM NORTHEND ROAD, USING SEVERAL LEVELS OF ROADING, AND GETTING RID OF THE NORTH END ROAD MARKET.
<b>R13</b>	SHOULD CREATE NEW ROADS TO DIVERT THE HEAVY TRAFFIC FROM EARLS COURT ROAD, OLD BROMPTON ROAD, FINBOROUGH ROAD AND WARWICK ROAD.
<b>R14</b>	TRAFFIC NEEDS TO BE LOOKED INTO AND PARKING.
<b>R15</b>	HERE IS A MASSIVE OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE ALL THE THROUGH TRAFFIC BETWEEN THE CROMWELL ROAD AND THE EMBANKMENT OUT OF THE LOCAL ENVIRONMENT. IT WOULD ALSO BE GOOD NEWS FOR THE NORTH END ROAD AND ITS MARKET. IT WOULD IMPROVE THE LOCAL ENVIRONMENT FOR EVERYBODY. OPPORTUNITIES TO TAKE 95% OF TRAFFIC AWAY OUT OF A CROWDED URBAN ENVIRONMENT ARE FEW AND FAR BETWEEN.
<b>R16</b>	I HATE THE TRAFFIC ON WARWICK ROAD AND EARLS COURT ROAD (BAD AIR QUALITY).
<b>R17</b>	THE TRAFFIC ON WARWICK ROAD AND EARLS COURT ROAD IS REALLY BUSY. PLEASE ENSURE THAT TRAFFIC AND AVAILABILITY OF PARKING DOES NOT GET WORSE THROUGH THIS DEVELOPMENT.
<b>R18</b>	I WOULD LIKE TO SEE LESS TRAFFIC.
<b>R19</b>	EARLS COURT IS ALREADY VERY NOISY AND CONGESTED BY BAD TRAFFIC.
<b>R20</b>	NORTH END ROAD IS TOO NARROW TO SAFELY SUPPORT THE HIGH VOLUME OF TRAFFIC AND THE MARKETS AND SHOULD BE RELOCATED TO A MORE SUITABLE LOCATION.

<b>R21</b>	THE EARLS COURT ONE WAY SYSTEM IS REMOVED AND MADE 2 WAY ALONG ITS ENTIRE LENGHT TO THE EMBANKMENT IF IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO REMOVE THE ONE WAY SYSTEM THE DEVELOPMENT MUST BE VERY SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCED IN SIZE.
<b>R22</b>	NEW ROAD ACCESS ON TO THE M4 / A4 WEST OF WAWICK ROAD TO ALLOW TRAFFIC TO JION THE A4 HEADING WEST.
<b>R23</b>	SKY DECK PART OF THE M4 / A4 TO ENABLE PEDESTRIANTS AND BIKES TO CROSS NORTH SOUTH.
<b>R24</b>	IMPROVE THE USE OF THE NORTH END ROAD WHICH HAS REDUCED SINCE THE U TURN FACILTY WAS REMOVE BY K LIVINSTONE. PREVIOUSLY A LOT OF TRAFFIC HEADED SOUTH DOWN THE NORTH END ROAD HAVING COME FROM THE WEST ALONG THE A/M 4 THE TRAFFIC WOULD TURN LEFT IN TO NORTH END ROAD ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE M4 AND THE U TURN ON THE NORTHE END ROAD AND HEAD BACK DOWN THE NORT END ROAD SOUTH OF THE M4. THIS NEEDS TO BE REBALANCED AS A LOT OF THE TRAFFIC THAT WAS USING THIS ROUTE IS NO LONGER.
<b>R25</b>	EARLS COURT HAS TOO MUCH TRAFFIC. HOW WILL THAT BE IMPROVED BY PUTTING 32 000 MORE PEOPLE INTO AN ALREADY OVER POPULATED/OVER BURDENED AREA?
<b>R26</b>	THE ONE WAY SYSTEM AND HUGE TRAFFIC THROUGHOUT IS THE MAJOR DETERMINANT OF THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA AND MEANS THAT IT REMAINS A DESTINATION FOR TRANSIENT VISITS , EVENINGS OUT AND INDEED BUSINESS OPERATIONS RATHER THAN A STABLE AREA WHOSE CHARACTER AND FACILITIES CAN DEVELOP IN A STABLE AND HOMOGENEOUS FASHION . THUS SOME SORT OF RELIEF ROAD COULD MAKE A REAL DIFFERENCE TO THE WHOLE AREA AND CREATE SOMETHING WE CAN ALL BE PROUD OF.
<b>R27</b>	ANY REDEVELOPMENT OF THE ARENA SHOULD CONSIDER THE ALREADY HEAVY TRAFFIC IN THE AREA. LOW DENSITY AND PARKING FOR ANY MULTI FAMILY UNITS. AIR QUALITY AND NOISE FROM WARWICK ROAD TRAFFIC IS ALREADY A PROBLEM.
<b>R28</b>	WARWICK ROAD SHOULD BE 2 WAY
<b>R29</b>	PLEASE LOOK INTO A WAY TO REMOVE ALL LORRIES AND CARS FROM EARLS COURT INSTEAD. THE TRAFFIC IS THE FIRST PRIORITY THAT THE COUNCIL SHOULD LOOK INTO AND NOT SELLING THE LAND TO PROPERTY DEVELOPPERS!
<b>R30</b>	THE ONE-WAY TRAFFIC SYSTEM OF WARWICK ROAD AND EARL'S COURT ROAD CREATES A FUNNEL OF HEAVY, SPEEDING TRAFFIC AND RESULTING NOICE AND ATMOSPHERIC POLUTION. THE TRAFFIC SYSTEM SHOULD BE RETURNED TO 2-WAY TRAFFIC, WITH THE VOLUME OF TRAFFIC SPREAD ACROSS OTHER ACCESS ROUTES.
<b>R31</b>	THIS SURVEY PLACES TOO GREAT AN EMPHASIS ON LOCAL TRANSPORT ISSUES. IT IS TRUE THAT ROAD TRAFFIC IN EARLS COURT IS ATROCIOUS. HOWEVER, THAT IS NOT DUE TO THE PRESENCE OF EXHIBITIONS BUT TO POOR RAD TRANSPORT PLANNING OVER SEVERAL DECADES FOR WHICH THE AUTHORITES SHOULD BE ASHAMED. FURTHER, THE ADDITION OF SEVERAL THOUSAND NEW HOMES AND SIGNIFICANT OFFICE SPACE WOULD HAVE A DETRIMENTAL AFFECT ON PUBLIC TRANSPORT, CREATING SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL DEMAND AT PEAK TIMES.
<b>R32</b>	THE HEAVY TRAFFIC IS A BLIGHT AROUND TESCO.
<b>R33</b>	THE TRANSPORT SYSTEM IS FULL TO CAPACITY IN THE AREA AND UNLESS EXTENSIVE NEW ROADS ARE BUILT, IT WILL BECOME THE MOST POLLUTED NO DRIVE AREA AND OVERLOAD ON PUBLIC TRANSPORT. THE EXTENSIVE NEW ROADS WOULD HAVE TO BE BUILT ON THE DEVELOPMENT SITE WHICH WOULD BE CONTRARY TO ANY DEVELOPMENT PROFITS THAT MOTIVATE THIS PROJECT.
<b>R34</b>	IF SOMETHING CAN BE DONE ABOUT THE AMMOUNT OF TRAFFIC IN WARWICK ROAD (SPECIALLY TRUCKS, WHICH ARE VERY NOISY), THAT WOULD BE HIGHLY APPRECIATED. I LIVE BY WARWICK ROAD, AND TRUCKS ARE A CONSTANT ANNOYANCE. THANKS!
<b>R35</b>	EARL'S COURT AND WARWICK ROADS ARE AMONGST THE MOST POLUTED AND CONGESTED IN LONDON. EVEN WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE REDEVELOPMENT OF EARL'S COURT, ALTERNATIVE LAYOUTS AND ROUTES OF THE ROADS AND STREETS NEED TO BE PLANNED AND CONSTRUCTED.
<b>R36</b>	TRAFFIC LIGHTS @ PEDESTRIAN CROSSING @ N. END ROAD / A4 JUNCTION REQUIRE ATTENTION FAST ROAD & SO MANY PEDESTRIANS CUT CORNERS & DON'T USE THE CROSSING.

<b>R37</b>	EXTEND CONGESTION CHARGING TO INCORPORATE FULHAM PALACE ROAD, TO ALLEVIATE NTH - END ROAD CONGESTION.
<b>R38</b>	THE TRAFFIC IN THIS AREA IS VERY VERY HEAVY.
<b>R39</b>	THIS AREA IS NEARLY CLOSED FOR PEDESTRIANS TO WALK THROUGH EXAMPLE: SHORTCUTS FROM LILLIE ROAD GOING UP TOWARDS A4 OR EVEN CYCLING, AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED THERE'S ONLY WARWICK RD, NORTH END ROAD A CIRCLE ROUND A4 AND LILLIE RD!
<b>R40</b>	TRAFFIC IN THE AREA IS A MAJOR PROBLEM!
<b>R41</b>	NARROWER STREETS ARE UNDER STRESS FOR TRAFFIC AND PARKING.
<b>R42</b>	LESS TRAFFIC
<b>R43</b>	NO MORE ZEBRA CROSSINGS.
<b>R44</b>	TOO MUCH TRAFFIC ALONG N. END RD.
<b>R45</b>	KEEP THE WESTERN EXTENSION OF CONGESION CHARGE OTHERWISE USERS WILL BE EVEN SLOWER ON KING'S RD AND FULHAM ROAD.
<b>R46</b>	END THE ONE WAY TRAFFIC SYSTEMS ON REDCLIFFE GARDENS AND FINBOROUGH RD, THE HEAVY TRAFFIC IS AWFUL FOR RESIDENTS OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD!!!
<b>R47</b>	IN MY VIEW AS A RESIDENT EARL'S COURT AS AN AREA IS BLIGHTED BY TRAFFIC. I WORK LOCALLY (AT KCC COLLEGE) BUT IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO GET THERE BY BUS IN THE MORNING.
<b>R48</b>	THE WHOLE AREA IS BLIGHTED BY THE USE OF EARLS COURT RD & PARK LIFE GARDENS / FINBOROUGH RD / WARWICK ROAD AS AN URBAN MOTORWAY THROUGH RESIDENTIAL STREETS.
<b>R49</b>	TOO MUCH TRAFFIC IN THE AREA, ESPECIALLY ONE WAY TRAFFIC (WARWICK / EARLS COURT ROAD) MAKES THE COMMUNITY MUCH LESS FRIENDLY - NO CYCLING PATH AVAILABLE
<b>R50</b>	ROUNDAABOUT AT X ROAD OF LINIE RD & NORTHEND RD IS CONFUSING AND DANGEROUS, MANY DRIVERS IGNORE GIVING WAY TO R & PLUNGE ACROSS.
<b>R51</b>	CONSTANT TRAFFIC DAY AND NIGHT DOWN THIS STREET AS CUTTING OUT THE TRAFFIC LIGHTS
<b>R52</b>	THE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST ISSUES IN THE AREA. I AM CONCERNED THAT FURTHER DEVELOPMENT WILL RESULT IN MORE CARS.
<b>R53</b>	TRAFFIC ON NORTH END ROAD IS TERRIBLE. RE-PHASE LIGHTS AT CNR NORTH END ROAD & TALGARTH ROAD OR PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN OVERPASS ACROSS TALGARTH ROAD. NORTH END ROAD MARKETS SHOULD BE RELOCATED AWAY FROM A MAJOR TRAFFIC ROUTE.
<b>R54</b>	TRAFFIC AND ROADS POOR (ACCESS) FLOW OF ROADS POOR
<b>R55</b>	THE TRAFFIC "RAT RUNS" IN THE AREA AROUND NORTH END RD ARE AWFUL - PLEASE LOOK TO IMPROVING TRAFFIC FLOW TO ENCOURAGE USE OF THE MAIN ROADS NOT RESIDENTIAL STREETS ESP BY VANS.

<b>R56</b>	AVOID NOISE, SMOKE FROM THE TRAFFIC AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE.
<b>R57</b>	LIMIT TRAFFIC. ALREADY DIFFICULT TO PARK - NO MORE CARS PLS.
<b>R58</b>	PLEASE CONSIDER RETURNING FINBOROUGH ROAD, WARWICK ROAD, EARL'S COURT ROAD, REDCLIFFE GARDENS TO TWO WAY INSTEAD OF ONE WAY TO REDUCE CONGESTION & SPEEDING.
<b>R59</b>	THE NORTH END ROAD IS ALWAYS BLOCKED EARLY AND LATE IN THE DAY, THERE IS NO VIABLE NORTH / SOUTH ROUTE FOR THOSE WHO DRIVE. A WORRY WHEN PUSHING THE PRAM. PROVIDED *UNCLEAR* LIBRARY IS NOT CLOSED.
<b>R60</b>	THE TRAFFIC ON THE NORTH END RD, NEAR THE JUNCTION WITH WEST KENSINGTON TUBE, IS A REAL BOTTLENECK. BUSES (& CARS) CAN TAKE 10-15 MINUTES TO CROSS THE JUNCTION.
<b>R61</b>	URGENT NEED TO REDUCE IMPACT OF TRAFFIC - NOISE, SPEED, DIRT ON EC ROAD & WARWICK ROAD.
<b>R62</b>	THE KEY CHANGE IS TO REDUCE TRAFFIC ON EARLS COURT RD & WARWICK RD.
<b>R63</b>	AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC IN THE AREA.
<b>R64</b>	IMPACT OF TRAFFIC IN WARWICK ROAD NEED TO BE CONSIDERED, HEAVIER TRAFFIC WOULD SPOIL AREA. DEVELOPMENT WOULD MAKE EARLS COURT STATION TOO BIG & BUSY.
<b>R65</b>	NEED TO REDIRECT TRAFFIC FROM E.C. ROAD & WARWICK ROAD.
<b>R66</b>	CONTROL OF HEAVY LORRIES PASSING THROUGH / POLLUTION EARLS COURT.
<b>R67</b>	TRAFFIC ON WARWICK ROAD IS TOO FAST FOR PEDESTRIANS / CYCLISTS.
<b>R68</b>	THE ONE WAY SYSTEM CREATES AN AGGRESSIVE ROAD CULTURE WHICH HUGELY DAMAGES THE AREA IT BISECTS. THERE WILL NOT BE A BETTER OPPORTUNITY THAN THIS TO SUBSTANTIALLY REMOVE AND AMEND IT.
<b>R69</b>	PUT ONE WAY ROAD SYSTEM OVER RAILWAY. ENSURE EXHIBITION ACCESS IS IMPROVED FROM WEST OF SITE.
<b>R70</b>	PEDESTRIANIST
<b>R71</b>	ALTHOUGH ROADS ARE NARROW TO INCLUDE CYCLE LANES, PERHAPS A SHARING OF THE BUS LANES WOULD HELP.
<b>R72</b>	NE ROAD A MAJOR TRAFFIC ARTERY.
<b>R73</b>	ABOLISH CONGESTION CHARGE AND TRAFFIC WILL EASE BRINGING IN MORE PEOPLE TO THE AREA TO REJUVENATE LOCAL BUSINESS.
<b>R74</b>	THE TRAFFIC TO CROSS THE A4 IS ALWAYS VERY BAD IN BOTH DIRECTIONS. IT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT OF THE NORTH END ROAD TO ADDRESS THIS.



<b>R75</b>	TRAFFIC UNLESS IT IS SOMETHING QUITE DIFFERENT SUCH AS BOROUGH MARKET TYPE MARKET.
<b>R76</b>	THE LOCAL ROADS ARE QUITE DANGEROUS WITH THE TRAFFIC
<b>R77</b>	REDUCE LORRIES & VANS ON RESIDENTIAL ROADS.
<b>R78</b>	MORE ROUTES TO WORK.
<b>R79</b>	ALSO THROUGH ROADS BETWEEN NORTH END RD AND WARWICK RD SHOULD BE BUILT.
<b>R80</b>	ALSO MAKE SURE PAVEMENTS ARE WIDE ENOUGH.
<b>R81</b>	THE BIGGEST PROBLEM IN THIS AREA IS TRAFFIC.
<b>R82</b>	EARL'S COURT COULD BE A NICE NEIGHBOURHOOD. UNFORTUNATELY IT SUFFERS FROM 3 PROBLEMS: (1) TRAFFIC - BY FAR THE BIGGEST ISSUE. THE EARL'S CT 1-WAY SYSTEM HAS CREATED URBAN HIGHWAYS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE TUBE STN THAT PROHIBIT ANY SENSE OF COMMUNITY.
<b>R83</b>	THE AREA IS RUINED BY THE EARLS COURT / REDCLIFFE AND FINBOROUGH / WARWICK ROADS, ESPECIALLY SINCE THE CONGESTION CHARGE WAS INTRODUCED. AIR QUALITY IS WORSE AND NOISE - THE AREA NEEDS LESS TRAFFIC URGENTLY.
<b>R84</b>	SORT OUT STATE OF THE ROADS POTHOLES ETC.
<b>R85</b>	THE TWO TRAFFIC LIGHTS ON NORTH END ROAD - THE FIRST IS PEDESTRIAN ACTIVATED, FORM A MAJOR OBSTACLE FOR TRAFFIC FLOW TO KENSINGTON.
<b>R86</b>	NEW ROAD SYSTEM INTEGRATING THE AREA WITH THE EXISTING MARKET.
<b>R87</b>	TOO MUCH TRAFFIC ON EARLS COURT ROAD & WARWICK ROAD.
<b>R88</b>	BECAUSE OF THE TRAIN LINES RD ACCESSIBILITY AROUND THE AREA IS DIFFICULT. THE NORTH END RD IS BADLY CONGESTED. CAN THE BRIDGE AT WEST KENSINGTON BE WIDENED?
<b>R89</b>	NORTH END ROAD AND LILLIE ROAD V. BUSY ESPECIALLY DURING RUSH HOURS, TO MAKE AREA MORE ATTRACTIVE & ENJOYABLE PLACE TO LIVE & WORK THIS MUST BE ADDRESSED.
<b>R90</b>	SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE DONE TO ALLEVIATE THE TRAFFIC ALONG EARL'S COURT ROAD.
<b>R91</b>	THE WEST KENSINGTON AREA HAS BECOME OVERLY CONGESTED BECAUSE OF THE NORTH END RD MARKET AND BECAUSE IT IS ON THE EDGE OF THE CONGESTION ZONE.
<b>R92</b>	TRANSPORT IS MAIN ISSUE
<b>R93</b>	TRAFFIC V. BAD ALWAYS AROUND LILLIE ROAD AND NORTHEND ROAD (DOUBLE ROUNDABOUT)

<b>R94</b>	TRAFFIC IS JUST AWFUL.
<b>R95</b>	TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT AT TALGARTH ROAD JUNCTION IS UNSATISFACTORY. N END ROAD TRAFFIC HAS WORSENERD SINCE WESTFIELD OPENED. THIS NEEDS IMPROVING IF NEW DEVELOPMENT INCREASES NUMBER OF VEHICLES FURTHER.
<b>R96</b>	FOCUS NEEDS TO BE ON REDUCING CAR TRAFFIC. INCREASING CYCLE ROUTES, PEDESTRIAN ETC - *UNCLEAR* OF THE SPACES.
<b>R97</b>	TRAFFIC IS TERRIBLE. ONE'S OWN HOUSE CAN BE UNREACHABLE.
<b>R98</b>	CURRENTLY TOO MUCH TRAFFIC - CONGESTION ON BOTH EARLS CT RD & WARWICK ROAD. WOULDN'T WANT MORE.
<b>R99</b>	BUILD UP OF TRAFFIC ON NORTH END ROAD GOING NORTH TO A4. THIS COULD BE IMPROVED BY MOVING THE PEDESTRIAN CROSSING ON THE LEFT TURN (ON THE A4) FURTHER DOWN ROAD, OR REMOVE IT ALTOGETHER, AS THERE IS ANOTHER CROSSING TO THE RIGHT ON THE A4.
<b>R100</b>	TRAFFIC IS A NIGHTMARE AS IS PARKING - SOMETHING TO TAKE THE PRESSURE OFF NORTH END ROAD WOULD BE VERY GOOD.
<b>R101</b>	MORE OUTLETS FOR CAR TRAFFIC TO GET AWAY (BLOCKED IN THIS AREA).
<b>R102</b>	CONSIDER IMPACT OF TRAFFIC ON NEIGHBOURING ZONES IE SW6. PEOPLE TEND TO PARK IN SW6 WHEN EXHIBITIONS TAKE PLACE REDUCING SPACES FOR RESIDENTS - LET SW6 RESIDENTS PARK IN OTHER ZONES TOO.
<b>R103</b>	TRAFFIC IS THE OVERWHELMING PROBLEM IN THIS PART OF TOWN. LIGHTS BADLY PHASED EXACERBATES.
<b>R104</b>	NORTH END MARKET CREATES IMMENSE TRAFFIC PROBLEMS
<b>R105</b>	ARTICULATED LORRIES NEED REMOVING FROM THE AREA (PARTICULARLY SEAGRAVE ROAD!)
<b>R106+</b>	THE TRAFFIC IN THE WHOLE AREA MAKES IT IMPOSSIBLE TO MOVE WHEN AN EVENT IS ON AT EARLS COURT CENTRE.
<b>R107</b>	EARLS COURT RD TOO CONGESTED
<b>R108</b>	NORTH END ROAD IS A LOGJAM. CAN WE HAVE A ROAD ACROSS TO EARL'S COURT BETWEEN THE A4 & THE LILLIE RD?
<b>R109</b>	THE AREA IS HORRIFIC FOR THE POOREST I.E PEDESTRIANS & PUBLIC TRANSPORT USERS. RESIDENTS COME SECOND TO CARS. 40 WAIT TO CROSS A ROAD AS 1 PERSON IN A CAR PASSES.
<b>R110</b>	WHO DECIDES ON LANE NARROWING? EG ROUNDABOUT NEAR WAITROSE. THIS INCREASES TRAFFIC CONGESTION AND HAS A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE BOROUGH.
<b>R111</b>	THE ENTIRE AREA IS SUBJECT TO GRIDLOCK DURING EXHIBITIONS AND FOOTBALL MATCHES. A CONSULTATION SHOULD BE HELD USING RESIDENTIAL INPUT TO HELP ALLEVIATE THIS.
<b>R112</b>	THE ONE WAY TRAFFIC SYSTEM IN PALLISER RD, BOWNS CT RD & BARTON RD HAS CREATED A RAT RUN. CARS ARE STACKED UP OUTSIDE MY DOOR FOR 4 HOURS A DAY & THEN SPEED THROUGH AT OTHER TIMES.

<b>R113</b>	THE LACK OF ACCESS THROUGH THIS SITE IS A BIG PROBLEM TO CYCLISTS & PEDESTRIANS IN THIS AREA.
<b>R114</b>	THE TRAFFIC AT WEEKENDS IS INTOLERABLE. THE CONGESTION CHARGE SHOULD APPLY AT WEEKENDS. LOCAL RESIDENTS SHOULD NOT HAVE TO PAY ANY CONGESTION CHARGE.
<b>R115</b>	TRAFFIC TOO HEAVY & POLLUTING - CHANGE ROAD SYSTEM? EARLS COURT TUBE ALREADY OVER CAPACITY
<b>R116</b>	THE COMMONWELL ROAD AND TALLGARTH ROAD ARE SEPARATING THE COMMUNITIES. ARE MADE FOR CARE NOT FOR PEOPLE. THE BRIDGE OVER THE RAIL IS HORRIBLE TO WALK OVER IT IS DANGEROUS AND DEPRESSING.
<b>R117</b>	TRAFFIC IS VERY HEAVY & CROSSING ROADS AS A PEDESTRIAN IS DIFFICULT.
<b>R118</b>	I WOULD LIKE TO SEE AN IMPROVED LAYOUT OF THE NORTH END & TALGARTH ROAD INTERSECTION. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE THE CREATION OF A PACK OVER THE TUBE BETWEEN WEST KENSINGTON TUBE AND BARONS COURT.
<b>R119</b>	TOO MUCH TRAFFIC ON WARWICK ROAD.
<b>R120</b>	OPPORTUNITY TO BURY THE RAILWAY, BURY TRAFFIC OFF LILLIE RD / WARWICK ROAD LEAVING MORE FOR LOCALS AND BUSES / CYCLES.
<b>R121</b>	THE MAIN PROBLEM AROUND BARONS COURT IS THE TRAFFIC.
<b>R122</b>	TRAFFIC ON LILLIE RD IS FREQUENTLY CLOGGED BY EARL'S COURT EXHIBITION CENTRES - AS IS WARWICK RD.
<b>R123</b>	RE D & E: WIDEN W. BROMPTON STATION HAVE 2 ISLAND PLATFORMS WITH TERMINAL LANE BETWEEN TO ALLOW TRANSFER TO EITHER SIDE.
<b>R124</b>	TRAFFIC ALONG LILLIE ROAD & OLD BROMPTON ROAD AWFUL. AREA UNSAFE AT NIGHT WHEN WALKING.
<b>R125</b>	AS A RESIDENT, MAIN PROBLEM IS TRAFFIC AND PARTICULARLY COACHES FOR HOTELS AND LORRIES FOR EARLS COURT. AND INADEQUATE FACILITIES FOR EXISTING PEDESTRIANS USING HOTELS / UNDERGROUND / BUSES.
<b>R126</b>	REDUCE TRAFFIC ON THE ONE WAY SYSTEM NORTH & SOUTH.
<b>R127</b>	TOO MUCH TRAFFIC,
<b>R128</b>	QUALITY OF OUR ROADS (TARMAC) POOR, BECOMING RUTTED FROM TOO MANY BUSES? PARKING FACILITY POOR, PARKING SIGNS APPALLING & MISDIRECTING & CONFUSING.
<b>R129</b>	SEAGRAVE ROAD TRAFFIC FAR TOO BUSY FOR NARROW ROAD. HAS LARGE HOSPITAL AMBULANCE CENTRE OF IT AND SCHOOL AT END. ROAD TOO NARROW AT TOP FOR AMBULANCE TO GET THROUGH ALREADY. FAR TOO MUCH TRAFFIC FOR *UNCLEAR* & SCHOOL FOR ROAD AND *UNCLEAR* PEOPLE.
<b>R130</b>	GOOD TRANSPORT ACCESS
<b>R131</b>	TOO MUCH TRAFFIC - EARL'S CT RD AND WARWICK ROAD ARE GRID-LOCKED FROM DAWN TO DUSK. TOO MANY FAST VEHICLES RACING THROUGH OUR NEIGHBOURHOOD! PLEASE GET RID OF THE CARS VANS PASSING THROUGH!

<b>R132</b>	IF YOU DO ONE THING, PLEASE TACKLE THE TRAFFIC PROBLEMS. THE NORTH / SOUTH ONE-WAY SYSTEM (EARLS CT RD AND WARWICK RD) IS A DISASTER - CUTS THE LOCAL AREA IN TWO. TRAFFIC CALMING MAY HELP BUT WITHOUT SERIOUS ATTENTION, NOTHING ELSE CAN BE ACHIEVED.
<b>R133</b>	NO PEDESTRIAN ZONES. BETTER ROAD LAYOUTS FOR DRIVERS.
<b>R134</b>	THE TRAFFIC IS TERRIBLE ON DAYS WHEN THERE ARE EXHIBITIONS AND OF COURSE FOOTBALL EXASERBATES THIS PROBLEM. TRAFFIC IN RUSH HOUR MOVES THROUGH THE AREA. GRID LOCK OCCURS.
<b>R135</b>	TRAFFIC ON NORTHEEND ROAD TRYING TO TURN ONTO A4. IF THERE IS A WAY TO FIX THIS THEN IT IS A PRIORITY.
<b>R136</b>	HEAVY LORRIES SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED ACCESS DURING RUSH HOUR TRAFFIC.
<b>R137</b>	TRAFFIC IN WARWICK ROAD IS INTOLERABLE EVEN LATE AT NIGHT. ANY SCHEME THAT WOULD REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC IN WARWICK RD & EARLS CT RD WOULD BE MOST WELCOME.
<b>R138</b>	THE AREA IS A POOR COMPARISON TO ITS NEIGHBOUR, ON EVERY SIDE WHICH IMPACTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT TRAFFIC INFRASTRUCTURE ITS POOR
<b>R139</b>	I WISH WE WERE NOT A RED ROUTE / CONGESTION AS CANNOT GET WORKMEN TO ATTEND MY HOME TO CARRY OUT ESSENTIAL REPAIRS - HAD RECENT FLOOD ELECTRICIAN COULD PARK NOWHERE.
<b>R140</b>	THE EXTENDED CONGESTION CHARGE ZONE MADE TRAFFIC WORSE.
<b>R141</b>	ROAD WORK PLANNING IS ABYSMAL IN THE AREA AND MUST BE IMPROVED.
<b>R142</b>	WAY TOO MANY FAST ROADS - VERY DANGEROUS TRAFFIC 'RACING' UP WARWICK ROAD TO CROMWELL ROAD. RED ROUTE IS FINE, BUT FAST TRAFFIC IS NOT.
<b>R143</b>	THROUGH ROAD ACROSS THE ESTATE TO ENCOURAGE SHOPS AND LESS OF A SEGREGATED COMMUNITY.
<b>R144</b>	AS A RESIDENT I WORRY ABOUT YEARS OF BUILDING WORKS AND DISTRUPTED TRAFFIC AND THEN AN INCREASE IN TRAFFIC WITH THE NEW DEVELOPMENT.
<b>R145</b>	ON THE CORNER OF NORTH END ROAD AND A4 THERE IS EXCESSIVE BLOCKAGE LEADING TO CONGESTION. THIS IS DUE TO A PEDESTRIAN CROSSING AT THE LIGHTS STOPPING MORE THAN 3 CARS GOING LEFT ONTO THE A4 EVERY GREEN LIGHT. OFTEN CAUSES 20 MINUTE BACK UP OF CARS
<b>R146</b>	THE MAIN ROADS WHICH HAVE HIGH VOLUMES OF TRAFFIC ARE A NECESSARY EVIL, HOWEVER PEDESTRIANS WOULD BENEFIT FROM BETTER CROSSING AND PAVEMENT FACILITIES: FOR EXAMPLE AN OVERSTREET CROSSING BY TESCO.
<b>R147</b>	A WAY HAS TO BE FOUND TO IMPROVE THE FLOW OF TRAFFIC THROUGH THE EARLS COURT AREA, ELIMINATING THE ONE-WAY SYSTEM - ONLY THEN WILL A SENSE OF A PLEASANT COMMUNITY DEVELOP.
<b>R148</b>	THE MAJOR PROBLEM IS THE TRAFFIC THROUGH THE AREA, IT SEPERATES ANY COMMUNITY SPIRIT AND DIVIDES THE AREA INTO SECTIONS. THE AMBULANCES ALWAYS USE THIS SAME ROUTE TO THE HOSPITAL WITH SIRENS VERY VERY LOUD. MUCH MORE AKIN TO NEW YORK.

**ECONOMIC, COMMUNITY AND LEISURE COMMENTS**

## SOCIAL & COMMUNITY

SC1	TO HAVE DOG WARDENS
SC2	SOME RECREATIONAL COURTS/TENNIS/PARK FACILITIES AROUND EARLS COURT ???
SC3	SECONDLY, SPORTS PITCHES AND POOL FOR THE USE OF LOCAL SCHOOLS. THE LONDON ORATORY SCHOOL TAKES COACHES TO BARN ELMS. IT WOULD BE WONDERFUL IF THEY, AND OTHER SCHOOLS, HAD OPEN SPACE NEARBY.
SC4	DESPERATELY NEED MORE OPEN SPACE FOR PEOPLE TO RELAX AND PLAY SPORT. LOCAL PARKS ARE VERY CROWDED WHEN WEATHER IS NICE.
SC5	SOME MORE GREEN SPACE PLANTED APPROPRIATELY WOULD BE VERY WELCOME, TO TRY TO COUNTERACT SOME OF THE INEVITABLE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT.
SC6	THE AREA NEEDS MORE GREEN SPACE NOT MORE URBAN DEVELOPMENT.
SC7	EVERY EFFORT SHOULD BE MADE TO HELP DESIGN MIXED COMMUNITIES THAT WORK FOR ALL RESIDENTS. THE PLAN NEEDS A TRAINING CENTRE FOR GROUPS TO BE BUILT IN AND ALSO SPACE FOR COMMUNITIES TO HOLD EVENTS THAT IS BIG ENOUGH FOR LARGE FAMILY PARTIES AND IS RELATIVELY INEXPENSIVE. THERE NEEDS TO BE MORE AFFORDABLE CHILDCARE AS WELL AS WAYS TO ENCOURAGE AGE AND INTEREST GROUPS TO MEET AND MIX IN SEVERAL DIFFERENT WAYS INCLUDING IN PARKS AND GYMS, CAFES AND COMMUNITY SPACES.
SC8	THE OPTION TO PROVIDE A PARK/PLAY/FITNESS FACILITIES SHOULD BE INTRODUCED AS PART OF ANY DEVELOPMENT AND ALSO CONSIDER THE OPTION OF BUILDING A NEW SCHOOL/ACADEMY TO ASSIST THE OVERSUBSCRIBED SCHOOLS LOCALLY.
SC9	IT SHOULD BE BIGGER, MORE IMPRESSIVE, WITH SUFFICIENT SHOPS, RESTAURANTS, PUBS AND ENTERTAINMENT (BOWLING ALLEYS, CINEMAS) TO ACCOMMODATE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE PEOPLE IN A VARIETY OF ENTERTAINMENTS, SAFELY (ENSURING IT IS EASY TO POLICE AND PROTECT). CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN TO INCORPORATE TREES AROUND THE BUILDINGS AND ADD SOUND PROOFING.
SC10	INCREASE GREEN SPACE AND WALK THROUGH SPACE.
SC11	KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA ONLY HAS TWO SECONDARY SCHOOLS THAT ARE NON-DENOMINATIONAL AND CO-ED, THIS COULD BE THE PERFECT OPPORTUNITY TO ADD A THIRD AND MAKE IT EASIER FOR THE HUNDREDS OF CHILDREN LIVING IN THE AREA TO GET AN EDUCATION WITHOUT HAVING TO TRAVEL FOR MILES.
SC12	PLEASE MAKE SURE THAT THERE ARE PLENTY OF GREEN SPACES IN THE RE-DEVELOPED AREA.
SC13	I WOULD HUGELY WELCOME MORE OPEN AND GREEN SPACES IN ANY CONSIDERATION FOR REDEVELOPMENT. I LOVE AND LIVE IN EARLS COURT. SO MUCH OF WHAT WAS BEAUTIFUL ABOUT IT 30 YEARS AGO HAS DISAPPEARED. PLEASE LET'S KEEP WHAT'S BEST AND UNIQUE ABOUT IT AND CHANGE WHAT IS NOT.
SC14	IF THE PURPOSE OF THE DEVELOPMENT IS TO IMPROVE THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT OF EARLS COURT, THEN THE OPENING UP OF OPEN SPACES, PARKS AND RECREATION AREAS AROUND THE EXISTING EXHIBITION CENTRE COULD CONTRIBUTE POSITIVELY TO THE LIVES OF EARLS COURT RESIDENTS AND SCHOOL CHILDREN WHILST BOOSTING BUSINESS AND ATTRACTING CULTURAL TOURISM.

<b>SC15</b>	MORE GREEN SPACES, LIBRARIES AND CHILDREN ACTIVITY AREAS.
<b>SC16</b>	FULL PROVISION IS MADE FOR SCHOOLS, PARKS, PLAY GROUNDS.
<b>SC17</b>	THE IMPACT ON THE SCHOOLS AND HEALTH SERVICES IN THAT AREA WOULD ALSO BE 10 FOLD AND AT PRESENT IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO GET A SAME DAY APPOINTMENT NOT TO THINK OF CHANCES OF GETTING ONE SHOULD THE RATIO OF PEOPLE INCREASE!
<b>SC18</b>	MORE OPEN SPACES NEEDED
<b>SC19</b>	LOCAL AMENITIES NEED TO BE INCREASED.
<b>SC20</b>	I THINK THE WHOLE AREA SHOULD BE TURNED RECREATION AREAS FOR ADULTS AND CHILDREN, INCLUDING LARGE SWIMMING POOLS TO HELP PEOPLE MAINTAIN GOOD HEALTH.
<b>SC21</b>	I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE AMOUNT OF GREEN/OPEN SPACE IN THE REDEVELOPMENT.A CONSOLIDATED PARK WOULD BE PREFERABLE TO THE PROPOSED LINEAR PARK AND TEN SMALL RESTRICTIVE ISLANDS, BECAUSE OLDER CHILDREN WOULD PLAY MORE WILLINGLY, IE WITH ZIP-LINES HANGING FROM TREES ETC. A LARGER CONSOLIDATED OPEN SPACE WOULD ALLOW A GREATER PROPORTION OF THE GROUND LEVEL TO RECEIVE AT LEAST SOME SUNLIGHT.
<b>SC22</b>	WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE A PARK WITHIN THE REDEVELOPMENT.
<b>SC23</b>	GREAT NEED FOR PUBLICALLY ACCESSIBLE GREEN AREAS, PEDESTRIANISED AREAS AND CHILD FRIENDLY SPACES. GREAT NEED FOR SPORT AND RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES.(SWIMMING, TENNIS (LOCALLY), RUNNING, BICYCLE SAFE ROUTES)
<b>SC24</b>	MORE GREEN SPACE IN NEW EARLS CT AREA.
<b>SC25</b>	GREEN SPACES LOST MUST BE REPLACED WITH RESIDENTS SECURITY IN MIND.
<b>SC26</b>	I HAVE BROUGHT UP 3 CHILDREN IN EARLS COURT FOR 15 YEARS. WE HAVE TERRIBLE / NON EXISTANT GREEN SPACE IN WHICH TO EXERCISE AFTER SCHOOL. OUR NEAREST RBKC LEISURE CENTRE IS AT THE NORTH OF THE BOROUGH. NURSERY PROVISION WAS IMPOSSIBLE TO ACCESS.
<b>SC27</b>	MORE GREEN SPACES / CAFE CULTURE / OUTDOOR SITTING NEEDED (SEE KINGS ROAD).
<b>SC28</b>	IT WOULD BE NICE IF THE AREA WAS KEPT GREEN IN THE FORM OF A PARK AND LEISURE FACILITIES.
<b>SC29</b>	MORE COMMUNITY AREAS
<b>SC30</b>	BIKES, LIBRARYS
<b>SC31</b>	I USED TO LIVE BY W BROM ST. THERE WERE NO PLEASANT GREEN SPACES FOR CHILDREN IN LBHF SIDE. THE CEMETARY WAS NOT REALLY SUITABLE. GREEN SPACES ARE NEEDED.

<b>SC32</b>	ADDITIONAL GREEN SPACE AND MORE PEDESTRIAN - FRIENDLY AREA WOULD BE A REAL PLUS.
<b>SC33</b>	GREEN AREAS AND WALK AREAS.
<b>SC34</b>	GOOD ADVENTURE PLAYGROUNDS & LOTS OF GREEN & TREES.
<b>SC35</b>	STRONG NEED FOR SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC OPEN SPACES / PARKS.
<b>SC36</b>	NEED PUBLIC PARK - MAKE SPACE WHERE OLD E.C. EXHIB CENTRE A PARK. BUILD BEHIND THE PARK AND OVER TRAIN TRACKS.
<b>SC37</b>	ALSO IT WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE MORE GREEN SPACES IN THE AREA BEING REDEVELOPED.
<b>SC38</b>	GREEN PLAY GROUNDS, INDOOR SPACES FOR SPORTS AND MEETINGS, SWIMMING POOL - NEED TO KEEP TEENAGERS (AND PENSIONERS) OCCUPIED, HEALTHY AND OFF THE STREETS. GREEN FLOWERED GARDENS. PEDESTRIAN AREAS ESP SHOPPING AREAS.
<b>SC39</b>	A PLACE TO WALK DOGS. NO DOGS ARE ALLOWED IN THE PARK AT BROMPTON PARK CRESCENT BUT IT HAS BECOME A DOGS TOILET WITH ALL LOCAL RESIDENTS USING THIS AND IGNORING ALL NOTICES.
<b>SC40</b>	THE KIDS PLAY AREA COULD BE BETTER.
<b>SC41</b>	MORE SAFE GREEN SPACE. WE SHOULD KEEP THE LIBRARY, KEEP THE WONDERFUL NORTH END RD MARKET & SMALL SHOPS.
<b>SC42</b>	INCLUDE GREEN SPACES - FOR ALL.
<b>SC43</b>	KEEP LOCAL LIBRARIES.
<b>SC44</b>	AS WELL TO PROVIDE SPACE FOR BUSINESS AND BETTER QUALITY SHOPS AND IMPROVE THE EXHIBITION CENTRE SUBWAY EXIT.
<b>SC45</b>	BARONS COURT (WEST KENSINGTON) LIBRARY HAS TO BE REFURBISHED AND EXTENDED, MAYBE GIVEN OPPORTUNITY TO OPEN A COFFEE SHOP.
<b>SC46</b>	NORTH END RD MARKET & LIBRARY V G FOR COMMUNITY
<b>SC47</b>	CHANGE: CLUBS / SPORTS FACILITIES
<b>SC48</b>	MORE GREEN SPACE PLEASE
<b>SC49</b>	MORE SPORTS FACILITIES. CINEMA TO BE PROVIDED.



<b>SC50</b>	IT IS SAD THAT YOU ARE CLOSING / THE LIBRARIES.
<b>SC51</b>	NEED FOSTER 'INDIVIDUALITY'. CREATE STUDIOS FOR CREATIVE PEOPLE & ARTISTS TO FOSTER LOCAL ART, PHOTOGRAPHY, DESIGNERS, TO COUNTER THE MOVE EAST BY THE ARTS, BASED ON AFFORDABILITY. CHANGE MUST BE AESTHETICALLY V. GOOD.
<b>SC52</b>	NOT ENOUGH GREEN SPACES.
<b>SC53</b>	MORE TREES
<b>SC54</b>	MORE OPEN SPACES NEEDED
<b>SC55</b>	IF THIS AREA WILL HOUSE MORE PEOPLE IN THE FUTURE RATHER THAN BEING AN EXHIBITION CENTRE ETC WHERE PEOPLE COME FOR THE DAY BUT THEN LEAVE IE ARE VISITORS ONLY WE NEED A LARGE OPEN SPACE - GREEN AND WITH TREES LIKE PARSONS GREEN OR EEL BROOK COMMON.
<b>SC56</b>	MORE TREES & GREENING OF AREA.
<b>SC57</b>	IN GENERAL RBKC IS A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE. IT IS LET DOWN BY LACK OF DECENT SECONDARY EDUCATION (VIALE OPTIONS FOR CHILDREN WHO WANT TO EXCEL BUT CANT PAY FOR PRIVATE EDUCATION). IT IS ALSO LET DOWN BY A 'NO CAN DO' PLANNING DEPARTMENT.
<b>SC58</b>	WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MORE GREEN AREAS AND TREES ON STREETS.
<b>SC59</b>	MORE GREEN SPACE AND BETTER PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES WOULD BE REALLY WELCOME AND WOULD HELP TO REVITALISE THE AREA.
<b>SC60</b>	NEED MORE OPEN SPACE (PARK) IN ANY NEW DEVELOPMENT.
<b>SC61</b>	THERE IS A MAJOR NEED FOR BETTER OPEN SPACES, WHICH ARE WELL DESIGNED, WHERE PEOPLE CAN GATHER, AND WHERE COMMUNITY EVENTS, FAIRS, CONCERTS CAN BE HELD.
<b>SC62</b>	I THINK WE WOULD BENEFIT FROM MORE SOCIAL, OUTDOOR SPACES AND CLUBS / ORGANISATIONS. PLACES FOR PEOPLE TO EXERCISE, SOCIALISE, READ, RELAX. CENTRALISED, WELL DESIGNED AREA THAT COMBINES SOCIAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESIDENTS.
<b>SC63</b>	THERE ARE ALSO NO BIG OPEN PARK SPACES.
<b>SC64</b>	MOST IMPORTANT: MORE PARKS, TREES & GREEN SPACES COULD BE BUILT IN EARL'S COURT & WEST KENSINGTON AREAS. BETTER LOCAL LIBRARIES IN EARL'S COURT & WEST KENSINGTON AREAS.
<b>SC65</b>	NOT ENOUGH OPEN SPACE,
<b>SC66</b>	PUT MORE GREEN SPACES, TREES & PARKS.

<b>SC67</b>	SCHOOLS ARE IMPORTANT.
<b>SC68</b>	AS PARKS HAVE BEEN BUILT OVER THERE IS NOWHERE FOR KIDS TO PLAY SPORT SO THEY THIEVE & HAVE FIGHTING DOGS. WE MUST ADD PARKS & GAME SPACE TO GIVE THESE KIDS SOMETHING HEALTHY TO DO.
<b>SC69</b>	MORE SPORTING FACILITIES.
<b>SC70</b>	AS A MUM OF 2, I AM VERY KEEN ON PLAY AREAS, LIBRARIES AND A SENSE OF COMMUNITY.
<b>SC71</b>	OPEN SPACES ARE LIMITED.
<b>SC72</b>	THE AREA REQUIRES OPEN PARK SPACE WITH COMMUNITY THEATRE DEHAPS.
<b>SC73</b>	NO GREEN AREAS.
<b>SC74</b>	MY LOCAL GP IS SO BUSY, IT'S IMPOSSIBLE TO GET THROUGH ON THE TELEPHONE & I HAVE TO WASTE TIME GOING THERE IN PERSON.
<b>SC75</b>	WE NEED MORE GREEN SPACES.
<b>SC76</b>	MORE COMMUNITY SPACE FOR PEOPLE TO SOCIALISE.
<b>SC77</b>	WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE MORE GREEN SPACE.
<b>SC78</b>	THIS AREA NEEDS SOME GREEN OPEN PLEASANT SPACES & TREES DESPERATELY.
<b>SC79</b>	ALSO SHOULD HAVE OPEN SPACES & A SPORTS LOCAL COMMUNITY CENTRE WITH OUTSIDE FOOTBALL PITCHES.
<b>SC80</b>	NOT ENOUGH "CLEAN" GREEN SPACES FOR CHILDREN TO PLAY.
<b>SC81</b>	MORE PARKS WOULD BE GOOD
<b>SC82</b>	MORE TREES, MORE OPEN GREEN ZONES.
<b>SC83</b>	MORE TREES.
<b>SC84</b>	PLANT MORE TREES!

<b>SC85</b>	TOO POLLUTED, NO GREEN SPACES AND NO COMMUNITY FEEL.
<b>SC86</b>	WE ARE LOCAL NON-ESTATE RESIDENTS AND USE THE WEST KENSINGTON AND GIBBS GREEN COMMUNITY HALLS. THESE TWO HALLS ARE ESPECIALLY IMPORTANT FOR LOCAL COMMUNITY GROUPS & ACTIVITIES AS THEY PROVIDE AFFORDABLE SPACE THAT IS OTHERWISE NOT AVAILABLE IN AREA.
<b>SC87</b>	WHERE IS THE 'GREEN' ?
<b>SC88</b>	ALTHOUGH EARL'S COURT IS 'INNER LONDON' ITS LACK OF SHOPS, GREEN SPACE & CULTURAL FACILITIES EG. SMALL GALLERIES, CONCERT HALL, HAS REDUCED IT TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA FOR COMMUTERS INTO THE WEST END & A ONE-STOP FOR SPECIFIC EXHIBITIONS.
<b>SC89</b>	PLEASE KEEP BARONS COURT LIBRARY OPEN!

#### **SAFETY**

<b>S1</b>	SAFE AREAS FOR CHILDREN IE: 5 YRS - 15 YEARS NEEDS TO BE INCREASED.
<b>S2</b>	THE AREA MAKES ME UNCOMFORTABLE AT NIGHT. IT IS TOO DARK & THE PUBLIC HOUSING INCREASES DENSITY WITH NO SENSE OF COMMUNITY.
<b>S3</b>	WE WOULD LIKE THE AREA TO IMPROVE SO THAT IT IS SAFER TO WALK IN AFTER NIGHT.
<b>S4</b>	THE AREA IS RATHER FORBIDDING AND ANONYMOUS, PARTICULARLY AT NIGHT.
<b>S5</b>	BETTER POLICING OF THE AREA. MORE UNIFORMED POLICE IN PUBLIC - DAY AND NIGHT! LOTS MORE CCTV IN STREETS & BETTER SIDE STREET LIGHTING.
<b>S6</b>	LIKE HAVING VISIBLE POLICE PRESENCE
<b>S7</b>	ALSO TOO MANY TRAMPS SLEEPING ROUGH AND BEGGING BY BUS STOPS AND BANK TILLS IT IS QUITE FRIGHTENING.
<b>S8</b>	NEEDS TO BE MADE SAFER (COUNCIL AREAS NEED TO HAVE A MAKE OVER). LIT BETTER / DRUG DEALERS NEED TO 'GO'.
<b>S9</b>	THE WHOLE AREA SEEMS UNSAFE, DIRTY AND NOT APPEALING.
<b>S10</b>	STREET HEALTH & SAFETY AT NIGHT AROUND RESIDENTIAL AREAS NEEDS IMPROVING.
<b>S11</b>	AN UNEVEN MULTI CULTURAL MIX. DRUG DEALING / FIGHTS ON WEST KENSINGTON EST NIGHTLY. BONFIRES. DANGEROUS UNSAFE PATH TO WALK ON ESTATE.
<b>S12</b>	MAKE THE ENVIRONMENT SAFE & BRIGHTLY LIT AT NIGHT TO REDUCE CRIME.

<b>S13</b>	BUT STILL "NO-GO" AREAS AT NIGHT & EVEN DURING THE DAY.
<b>S14</b>	YOUTHS WITH DOGS / DEALING DRUGS IS FRIGHTENING FOR ALL.
<b>S15</b>	THE KEY ISSUE IS THE NORTH END ROAD, DODGY, DANGEROUS, LOTS OF ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR. MANAGE THE COUNCIL ESTATES BETTER - CRIME RATE HIGH! IS A BIG ISSUE FOR FAMILIES LIVING IN AREA. CLEAN UP THE NORTH END ROAD! THANKS!
<b>S16</b>	THE NEW DESIGN / REGENERATION WILL HAVE A BIGGER IMPACT IF LOCAL POLICING IS IMPROVED - STOP KIDS GATHERING IN OPEN PLACES & CAUSE TROUBLE BY INCREASING VISIBLE POLICE PRESENCE. NEW DESIGN WILL MEAN LESS WITHOUT THIS.
<b>S17</b>	THE AREA NEEDS, SOMEHOW, TO HAVE A SAFE, FRIENDLY FEEL, WITH ACCESS FROM ALL DIRECTIONS, WELCOMING TO THOSE WHO DON'T LIVE THERE, BUT WHO COULD BE ATTRACTED BY GOOD SHOPS AND FACILITIES.
<b>S18</b>	SAFER NEIGHBOURHOOD. A LOT OF STREETS AROUND WEST KENSINGTON DO NOT FEEL SAFE. REDUCE CRIME PUT UP MORE CCTV CAMERAS.
<b>S19</b>	FEEL THAT SEAGRAVE RD ALWAYS SEEMS RATHER THREATENING AFTER DARK. SPARSE STREET LIGHTING AND TOO LARGE A CAR PARK.
<b>S20</b>	NORTH END ROAD IS AN EYESORE IN THE COMMUNITY. IT IS DIRTY AND SEEMS UNSAFE. MOST OF ITS SHOPS ARE LOW QUALITY AND BADLY MAINTAINED. WE NEED TO ATTRACT BETTER SHOPS & RESTAURANTS TO GIVE NORTH END ROAD THE FACELIFT IT NEEDS.

#### **JOBS**

<b>J1</b>	LOCAL JOB OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESIDENTS
<b>J2</b>	CREATE JOBS FOR RESIDENTS.
<b>J3</b>	THERE SHOULD BE ENFORCEABLE FORMAL AGREEMENTS REGARDING INITIATIVES TO ENCOURAGE THE EMPLOYMENT OF LOCAL LABOUR AS A CONDITION OF PLANNING PERMISSION.
<b>J4</b>	MORE JOBS OPPORTUNITY FOR PEOPLE.
<b>J5</b>	THE MAIN PROBLEMS ARE TRAFFIC AND POVERTY - MORE & BETTER EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE POOR NEEDED. WE HAVE A GOOD MIX OF RICH / POOR, INDIGENOS AND NEWCOMERS.
<b>J6</b>	SAFER CYCLE PATHS AND JOB OPPORTUNITIES FOR EXAMPLE ARE JUST TWO THINGS OF MANY THAT WE NEED TO STRENGTHEN OUR COMMUNITY.

#### **LEISURE**

<b>L1</b>	COULD IT INCLUDE FACILITIES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE TO BE INVOLVED IN ACTING
<b>L2</b>	LONDON IS VERY SHORT OF OLYMPIC-SIZED SWIMMING POOLS SO THIS MAY BE SOMETHING TO CONSIDER.

<b>L3</b>	EARLS COURT IS DESPERATELY SHORT OF A QUALITY LEISURE CENTRE WITH SWIMMING POOL - AN EXCELLENT WAY TO ALSO KEEP KIDS OFF THE STREET.
<b>L4</b>	THE LARGE BUILDING ADJACENT TO THE N W CORNER OF BROMPTON CEMETERY HAS BEEN EMPTY FOR YEARS. IT WOULD MAKE A GOOD ART GALLERY AND STUDIOS - BOTH NEEDED IN THIS AREA OR ACCESS FROM OLD BROMPTON RD.
<b>L5</b>	MORE SPORTS FACILITIES (INDOOR) MUSIC PRACTICE ROOMS
<b>L6</b>	ALSO WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A CINEMA IN THIS AREA.
<b>L7</b>	TENNIS COURTS SHOULD BE PART OF REDEVELOPMENT.
<b>L8</b>	THE AREA WOULD BENEFIT FROM MORE ARTS & CULTURE - AN INDEPENDENT CINEMA, MORE FRINGE THEATRE VENUES, SPACE FOR CREATIVE INDUSTRIES ETC. (FOR EXAMPLE).

#### RETAIL

<b>R1</b>	ALTHOUGH I AM AN RBKC RESIDENT AND PREVIOUSLY USED THE EARLS COURT AREA A FAIR AMOUNT, I DO NOT DO SO ANY LONGER SO I DO NOT FEEL QUALIFIED TO ANSWER YOUR SURVEY IN DETAIL. HOWEVER, I WOULD VERY MUCH LIKE TO MAKE THE POINT THAT IT IS IMPORTANT FOR LONDON GENERALLY AND I THINK ESPECIALLY RBKC TO MAINTAIN A SENSE OF LOCAL COMMUNITY WITH THE EMPHASIS ON LOCAL SHOPS AND TRADESPEOPLE, COMMUNITY SPACE AND LOCAL JOB OPPORTUNITIES. THE AREA I LIVE IS BEING TAKEN OF BY BIG CHAINS AND THE SENSE OF LOCALITY IS GRADUALLY BEING ERODED.
<b>R2</b>	THE NICE BITS OF THE AREA ARE THE LITTLE SHOPS IN THE HOGARTH ROAD AREA, AND THE NORTH END ROAD MARKET. ALTHOUGH THE AREA TARGETED FOR REDEVELOPMENT IS SHABBY, IT HAS THE ADVANTAGE OF PROVIDING A FEELING OF SPACIOUSNESS. IT WOULD BE GOOD IF THE DEVELOPMENT COULD RESPECT THAT.
<b>R3</b>	MOST SHOPS AND SERVICES ARE VERY MUCH AT THE LOWER END OF THE MARKET LIMITING POSITIVE REGENERATION. NORTH END MARKET'S ONLY FUNCTION IS TO PROVIDE CHEAP FOOD. BEYOND THAT IT LACKS ALL CHARM, ADDS LITTER AND CONGESTION AND GENERALLY DEGRADES THE AREA.
<b>R4</b>	A COMMUNITY IS NOT JUST A GROUP OF HOUSES IT IS THE PLACES WHERE THE PEOPLE FROM THOSE HOUSES INTERACT AND IF BUSINESSES ARE TREATED IN THE WAY THEY ARE NOW WHEN THEY ARE SUFFERING ECONOMICALLY THEY WILL DISAPPEAR AND ALL CONCEPT OF COMMUNITY WILL BE LOST FROM EARL'S COURT.
<b>R5</b>	EARLS COURT HAS THE RIGHT MIX OF RESIDENTS AND TOURISTS TO MAINTAIN OUR GOOD RESTAURANTS. THIS MIX SHOULD BE PRESERVED.
<b>R6</b>	PLEASE KEEP THE NORTH END ROAD MARKET. IT'S POPULAR ACROSS LONDON!
<b>R7</b>	THERE IS NEVER ANY ROOM FOR LOCAL BUSINESS WHEN HUGE CORPORATE CHAINS ARE INVOLVED. CONSUMERS ALWAYS SPEND WITH THE 'SAFER BET', BETTER KNOWN CHAIN AND THIS WILL DRIVE OUT LOCAL BUSINESS.
<b>R8</b>	LOCAL MARKETS ALSO TOO LIMITED. SHOPS MOSTLY LIMITED TO BARS & RESTAURANTS - MORE VARIATION PREFERABLE.
<b>R9</b>	THERE IS ALSO TOO MANY SHOPS & STALLS THAT TAKE UP PAVEMENT SPACE.

<b>R10</b>	WEST KEN HAS V POOR SHOPS & RESTAURANTS THEREFORE POOR COMMUNITY AS ONE HAS TO LEAVE THE AREA TO SHOP & EAT.
<b>R11</b>	NORTH END ROAD MARKET - WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT BETTER ORGANISED MORE LIKE A FARMERS MARKET.
<b>R12</b>	TOO MANY BOOKIES, TOO MANY PAWN SHOPS, TOO MANY SUPERMARKETS. NO VARIETY OF SHOPS. THE W. KEN AREA HAS GONE DOWNHILL. LOOKS IMPOVERISHED.
<b>R13</b>	MORE SHOPPING FACILITIES
<b>R14</b>	WE LOVE THE ATMOSPHERE OF THE NORTH END ROAD MARKET.
<b>R15</b>	YES TO QUALITY CLOTHES SHOPS - THINK WESTFIELDS ON NORTHCOTE ROAD.
<b>R16</b>	THERE ARE SO MANY OF EXACTLY THE SAME SHOPS ON NORTH END RD (TOP END) - THE MINI MARKETS. THERE ARE NO RESTAURANTS IN BARONS COURT / WEST KENSINGTON. THERE IS VERY LITTLE SENSE OF COMMUNITY VERY TRANSIENT AREA - VERY MIXED AREA.
<b>R17</b>	NEED TO KEEP VARIETY OF LOCAL SHOPS (PLEASE NO CHAINS!)
<b>R18</b>	SOME MORE UPMARKET SHOPS, HIGH STREET KEN IS GREAT IF WEST KEN COULD FOLLOW THAT IT WOULD BE A LOVELY AREA.
<b>R19</b>	I LIKE THE MARKET BUT FOR YEARS THE WORK END ROAD HAS BEEN "UP AND COMING" - IT'S STILL A BIT OF TIP! COULD THE MARKET BE MOVED TO SOMEWHERE ELSE IN YOUR PLANS.
<b>R20</b>	MORE BUSINESSES ACCEPTABLE.
<b>R21</b>	PLEASE REMOVE NORTH END ROAD MARKET FROM THE ROAD. A COVERED IN AREA INSIDE AND CLEAR THE ROAD FOR TRAFFIC.
<b>R22</b>	EARL'S COURT ROAD IS MISSING QUALITY RETAIL SHOPS. DESPITE THE NUMBER OF QUALITY RESIDENCES, MANY OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD SHOPS SEEM TO CATER MORE TOWARDS TOURISTS (FAST FOOD ETC) RATHER THAN RESIDENTS OR OTHER LONDONERS.
<b>R23</b>	I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE NORTH END RD MARKET AREA CLEANER AND BETTER ORGANISED, WITH NICER STANDS AND MORE SPACE FOR THE MARKET OVERALL.
<b>R24</b>	FEWER CHAIN RESTAURANTS AND SHOPS THEREFORE MORE "LOCAL" BUTCHERS ETC IE MORE VILLAGE FEEL.
<b>R25</b>	LOCAL SHOPPING AS STATED THERE ARE TOO MANY TAKEAWAY SHOPS & MINI SUPERMARKETS.
<b>R26</b>	ALSO MORE LARGER COMMERCIAL SHOPS SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO OPEN, SHOPS ESPECIALLY ALONG ALL OF NORTH END ROAD. A SHOPPING CENTRE SUCH AS WESTFIELDS SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED.
<b>R27</b>	SUPPORT SMALL BUSINESSES (SHOPS) AND LOOK FOR INSPIRATION AT MARYLEBONE HIGH STREET!

<b>R28</b>	NORTH END ROAD MARKET - GIVE THEM A DEDICATED SPACE?
<b>R29</b>	WE DON'T NEED A TRASHY SHOPPING CENTRE AS WESTFIELD / HIGH ST KENS PROVIDE ENOUGH SHOPPING
<b>R30</b>	WOULD LIKE TO SEE LITTLE BISTROS AND CAFE'S IN THE AREA
<b>R31</b>	CLOSE N END MARKET AND FREE THE TONS ROUTE.
<b>R32</b>	NORTH END ROAD MARKET SPACES HAVE TO BE CHEAPER & GIVEN TO DIFFERENT TYPES OF SALESMAN - NOT ONLY GROCERIES & CLOTHES.
<b>R33</b>	AND I DO NOT THINK THE TYPE OF SHOPS (PHONE, TAKEOUTS, ETC) FORSTER A SENSE OF COMMUNITY.
<b>R34</b>	DESPERATE NEED FOR A BOOK SHOP, DECENT SHOE SHOPS (£1 POUND SHOP POUNDLAND 99P STORE) HEALTHY EATING SHOPS
<b>R35</b>	LIKE: RESTAURANTS
<b>R36</b>	WEST KENSINGTON IS LACKING IN GOOD-QUALITY SHOPS.
<b>R37</b>	MORE FOCUS ON 'VILLAGE CENTRE' ATTRACTING GOOD SMALL RETAIL TO ENCOURAGE COMMUNITY FEEL.
<b>R38</b>	I AM PARTICULARLY KEEN TO SEE NORTH END ROAD MARKET CONTINUE. I KNOW IT IS A BIT UNTIDY SOMETIMES, BUT IT IS WELL USED AND FORMS A PART OF THE LOCAL COMMUNITY. GIVES THE PLACE A BIT OF CHARACTER!
<b>R39</b>	TAKE THE MARKET OFF N END ROAD & ESTABLISH THE NORTH END MARKET IN A COVERED MODERN / COOL AREA - VEG STALLS, ARTS & CRAFTS, STALLS, OLIVE & FISH STALLS - IN A CLEANER, ATTRACTIVE WELL LIT TRENDY MARKET AREA. FREE UP THE ROAD FOR TRAFFIC.
<b>R40</b>	NORTH END ROAD MARKET ALSO NEEDS AN URGENT FACELIFT.
<b>R41</b>	BETTER STANDARD OF LOCAL BUSINESSES AND RESTAURANTS ETC.
<b>R42</b>	NORTH END ROAD MERCHANTS REQUIRE SOME PLANNING / HELP - TOO ITINERANT AND SHABBY.
<b>R43</b>	LITTLE SENSE OF COMMUNITY. NICER SHOPS MIGHT HELP LOCAL INDEPENDENTS LIKE DICKINSONS ARE INVALUABLE.
<b>R44</b>	FOR BIG WESTFIELD TYPE ENTERTAINMENT.
<b>R45</b>	DIVERSITY OF SMALL SHOPS, NO SUPERMARKETS

<b>R46</b>	WOULD LIKE TO SEE A B & Q OR *UNCLEAR* ALTERNATIVE TO HOMEBASE AS ONLY CHOICE OF DIY STORE IN AREA.
<b>R47</b>	WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE A WESTFIELD - LIKE CENTRE WITH SHOPPING, CINEMAS, RESTAURANTS ETC - MUCH MORE ACCESSIBLE THAN WESTFIELD.
<b>R48</b>	NORTH END ROAD MARKET TO BE MORE PRESENTABLE THAN DIRTY STALLS ON THE PAVEMENT. BIGGER SAINSBURY'S & TESCOS, ARGOS, IKEA. THE REDEVELOPMENT MUST MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL ECONOMIC BACKGROUND, NOT TURN IT TO ANOTHER CHELSEA WITH CHANEL SHOPS.
<b>R49</b>	A LARGE BOOKSTORE LIKE WATERSTONES WOULD COMPLETE THE AREA.
<b>R50</b>	NO MAJOR HIGH STREET STORES OTHER THAN TESCO LOCAL ETC. NO CLOTHES SHOPS! NO TRAVEL AGENTS.
<b>R51</b>	THE NORTH END RD MARKET IS LIVELY & IT'S GREAT HAVING IT FOR FRESH PRODUCE ALTHOUGH MORE COULD BE MADE OF IT TO MAKE IT A FOCAL POINT IN PEDESTRIANISED AREA.
<b>R52</b>	MOVE NORTHEAST MARKET OFF THE ROAD - (OPPORTUNITIES MISSED IN THE PAST) BOTH TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF THE SHOPS AND REDUCE TRAFFIC CONGESTION IN NORTHEAST RD AND IMPROVE ENVIRONMENT & EXPERIENCE OF MARKET SHOPPING.
<b>R53</b>	NORTH END MARKET LOOKS RUN DOWN AND NEEDS A REVAMP.
<b>R54</b>	GOOD SHOPS & GREEN SPACE
<b>R55</b>	I LOVE THE EXISTING NORTH END ROAD MARKET.
<b>R56</b>	ALL THE SHOPS NEED REFURBISHMENT. MORE ART. MARKET SHOULD BE MOVED OFF STREET.
<b>R57</b>	SEVERE LACK OF LOCAL SUPERMARKETS & BANKS. *UNCLEAR* RESTAURANT JUST CLOSED DOWN.
<b>R58</b>	REDUCE THE TAKE-AWAY SHOPS IN EARLS CT RD
<b>R59</b>	NORTH END ROAD MARKET IS FINE: QUALITY OF SHOPS IN NORTH END ROAD POOR.
<b>R60</b>	LESS MINI MARKETS & SHOPS. MORE BIG BRAND NAMES.
<b>R61</b>	MORE BIG BRAND NAMES & SHOPPING CENTRE.
<b>R62</b>	LOCAL SHOPS.
<b>R63</b>	ENCOURAGE A BETTER CLASS OF SHOPS - TOO MANY £1 SHOPS. RETAIN THE MARKET.



<b>R64</b>	<p>NORTH END ROAD MARKET CREATES CONGESTION ON BOTH THE ROAD AND THE FOOTPATH. SHOPPERS AND SELLERS ARE OFTEN ON THE ROAD PRESENTING A POTENTIALLY FATAL HAZARD. SHOP FRONTS ON NORTH END ROAD ARE UNTIDY. SHOP OWNERS SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO MAKE THEIR SHOPS MORE PRESENTABLE, BRINGING THEM IN LINE WITH SHOPS CLOSER TO FULHAM BROADWAY.</p>
<b>R65</b>	<p>TESCO ON CROMWELL RD IS AN EYESORE I DON'T SHOP AT TESCO.</p>



## URBAN DESIGN

UD1	THE BUILDINGS ARE ALL INCOMPATIBLE AND THE BLOCKS ARE TOO BIG. SMALLER BLOCKS WOULD HELP RESIDENTS TAKE PRIDE AND CREATE A BETTER SENSE OF COMMUNITY.
UD2	THE SHADING OF ADJACENT STREETS BY THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS PRESENTLY DAMAGING TO THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT, BUT THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS AT LEAST A BLANK EDIFACE. EVEN MORE DAMAGING TO THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT OF ADJACENT STREETS WOULD BE THE INTRUSION AND LOSS OF PRIVACY FROM A HIGH-RISE OR MEDIUM-RISE REDEVELOPMENT OF HIGH-DENSITY BOTH SHADING AND OVERLOOKING ADJACENT STREETS.
UD3	THE COUNCIL ESTATES ARE UGLY, TOO TALL, AND GIVE AN IMPRESSION OF BEING UNSAFE PLACES TO GO NEAR, DUE TO THE GROUPS OF BLACK KIDS WHO JUST LOITER AROUND ON THE CORNER OF THE NORTH END RD AND MUND ST.
UD4	NO MORE HIGH RISE OFFICE BLOCKS OR LUXURY FLATS SUCH AS THE ONE IN THE PHOTOGRAPH
UD5	ONE OF THE NICEST THINGS ABOUT LIVING IN FULHAM IS THE FACT IT IS ON A HUMAN SCALE WITH NEW VERY TALL BUILDINGS.
UD6	ANY HIGH RISE DEVELOPMENT WOULD BE OUT OF CHARACTER WITH THE LOCAL AREA. PLEASE DON'T PERMIT BUILDINGS HIGHER THAN 5 STORIES. PLEASE MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE ELEGANT, AND WOULD ENHANCE AND NOT DETRACT FROM THE AREA.
UD7	THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE DECORATED USING TRADITIONAL VICTORIAN STONE MASONRY, RATHER THAN MODERN STEEL AND GLASS STRUCTURES, SO THAT IT IS PLEASING TO THE EYE - IN A TRADITIONAL SENSE.
UD8	IF YOU TURN THIS SPACE INTO HUNDREDS OF HIGH RISE APARTMENTS YOU WILL KILL THIS AREA.
UD9	DEVELOP AND UTILISE THE AREA OVER THE UNDERGROUND LINE AT WARWICK ROAD END.
UD10	A LOT OF THE ARCHITECTURE AROUND WARWICK RD IS ATTRACTIVE, BUT THAT ALONG THE NORTH END ROAD COULD BE IMPROVED WITH MORE OPEN SPACE
UD11	THE HEIGHT OF ANY NEW BUILDING SHOULD BE RESTRICTED, THE AREA SHOULD NOT BECOME A VALLEY IN THE MOUNTAINS.
UD12	ANY BUILDING ON THE EXHIBITION CENTRE SITE SHOULD BE OF SIMILAR HEIGHT TO OTHER RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN THE AREA.
UD13	I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP EARLS COURT AS A RESIDENTIAL AREA. THE PROPOSED REDEVELOPMENT WILL PLACE ENORMOUS BURDENS ON THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND UNDOUBTEDLY DIMINISH THE SENSE OF COMMUNITY. THE AREA THAT HAS BEEN OUTLINED IS HORRENDOUS AND OUT OF ALL PROPORTION TO THE EXISTING COMMUNITY. I WOULD STRONGLY ARGUE AGAINST THIS REDEVELOPMENT.
UD14	THE PROPOSALS FOR TOWER BLOCKS OF FLATS AND OFFICES WOULD NOT IMPROVE THE AREA, ONLY LEAD TO OVERCROWDING. I HOPE THE LOCAL COUNCIL WILL PUT THE INTERESTS OF ITS CONSTITUENTS FIRST.

<b>UD15</b>	I OPPOSE THE ATTEMPT TO INCREASE THE DENSITY OF PROPERTY IN THIS AREA, AS IT IS ALREADY SO CONGESTED. IT IS NOT JUST A MATTER OF HOW THIS WOULD IMPACT THE INFRASTRUCTURE, IT IS THE ISSUE THAT THE AREA IS ALREADY TOO CROWDED IN TERMS OF PEOPLE AND TRAFFIC.
<b>UD16</b>	DISPROPORTINATELY HIGH LEVEL OF HOUSING ESTATES CURRENTLY IN W14. NEEDS TO BE REBALANCED TO CREATE BETTER INTEGRATION WHICH IN TURN WILL BENEFIT THOSE CURRENTLY IN COUNCIL ACCOMMODATION.
<b>UD17</b>	RESTRAIN THE ARCHITECTS AND DO NOT ALLOW BLOTS ON THE LANDSCAPE. MOST OF THEM DON'T LIVE HERE AND ITS JUST AN ENTRY IN THEIR PORTFOLIO... WE HAVE TO LIVE HERE AND LOOK AT THE ABOMINATIONS EVERY DAY. THE HOLIDAY INN AND THE MARRIOTT HOTEL ARE EXAMPLES OF THINGS THAT SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN CONSTRUCTED. THE BARBICAN, NOT IN THE AREA OF COURSE, IS ANOTHER AREA THAT SHOWS JUST HOW BAD THINGS CAN GET. IT WOULD BE REALLY NICE TO SEE SOMETHING THAT CAPTURED THE CHARACTER OF THE TIME WHEN EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE WAS BUILT, IN BOTH COMMERCIAL AND DOMESTIC PROPERTIES, BUT BLEND IT WITH TODAY'S IDEAS AND THE FUTURE. MORE AS AN ACCENT RATHER THAN A DOMINANT PART OF THE DESIGN, AND NO VERY TALL BUILDING'S I THINK A FINE EXAMPLE OF HOW THIS CAN WORK, ALBEIT IN A SMALL SCALE IS THE COVENT GARDEN OPERA HOUSE AND SOME OF THE AREA AROUND.
<b>UD18</b>	EARL'S COURT IS DIVIDED BY THE WARWICK ROAD. ON THE EAST SIDE, THERE ARE NICE RESTAURANTS, BETTER HOUSING AND A SENSE OF COMMUNITY, WHEREAS ON THE WEST SIDE, THERE ARE PIZZA PARLOUS, KEBABS SHOPS, HEAVY TRAFFIC AND DOSSERS ON THE STREETS. THE EAST SIDE SHOULD BE PROTECTED AND THE WEST SIDE SHOULD BE SUBSTANTIALLY IMPROVED. GIVEN THE AREA'S CENTRAL LOCATION IN OUR CAPITAL, AND AS A KEY ENTRY POINT TO LONDON FROM HEATHROW, THE AREA SHOULD BE A MORE COSMOPOLITAN METROPOLITAN MODERN IMPRESSIVE AREA E.G. WITH A CUTTING EDGE BUILDINGS, WITH A LANDMARK MUSEUM OR THEATRES. CURRENTLY, IT DO NOT ADVERTISE LONDON VERY WELL. ALSO, THE AREA IS HEAVILY POPULATED. INTRODUCING DETACHED HOUSING, RATHER THAN SQUEEZING IN AS MANY FLATS AS POSSIBLE WOULD HELP BALANCE THE AREA.
<b>UD19</b>	ARCHITECTURE OF BUILDINGS NEEDS TO BE KEPT IN KEEPING WITH THAT THAT HAS BEEN BUILT MANY YEARS AGO.
<b>UD20</b>	IF ONE EXTRA HIGH RISE BUILDING IS NEEDED TO MAKE THAT FEASIBLE, IT'S PROBABLY WORTH IT
<b>UD21</b>	I DO NOT SEE A NEED FOR ANY CHANGES BUT WOULD PREFER A LOW HEIGHT DEVELOPMENT IF INEVITABLE. DO NOT LIKE THE HEIGHT OF THE EXHIBITION CENTRE AND ESPECIALLY THE NEON LIGHTS ON THE BUILDING.
<b>UD22</b>	I HOPE THAT THE BUILDINGS THAT YOU DO REPLACE THE AREA WITH ARE IN KEEPING WITH THE FEEL OF EARL'S COURT AS A WHOLE AS OPPOSED TO BEING MODERN MONSTROSITIES AND THAT WHEN PUTTING IN PLANNING APPLICATIONS THAT THE RESIDENTS ALREADY HERE GET TO SEE WHAT THESE BUILDINGS WILL LOOK LIKE AND NOT JUST THEIR PURPOSES.
<b>UD23</b>	YOU CAN HIDE A NEW NORTH-SOUTH THROUGHWAY UNDER THIS NEW DEVELOPMENT AND OR USING THE SPACE ABOVE THE OVERGROUND RAILWAY, AND RETURN WARWICK ROAD, AND THE EARLS COURT ROAD, TO LOCAL USE.
<b>UD24</b>	THE LAYOUT AND DESIGN OF THE NEW AREA SHOULD REFLECT THE STYLE OF EARL'S COURT - NO BUILDINGS TALLER THAN 8 STOREYS, GREEN SPACES AND A NETWORK OF STREETS THAT MAKES THE AREA PLEASANT TO WALK THROUGH, WITH LOCAL FACILITIES SO AS TO CREATE A WALKABLE COMMUNITY.
<b>UD25</b>	I LIKE THE BROWNSTONES IN THE AREA. I LIKE THE MASTERPLAN AND WOULD BE HAPPY TO HAVE EARL'S COURT REDEVELOPED.
<b>UD26</b>	ONE OF THE GREAT ATTRACTIONS OF EARL'S COURT IS THE RANGE AND MIX OF THE DOMESTIC ARCHITECTURE, BUT ALL OF IT VERY LONDON-SPECIFIC - AND INNER LONDON AT THAT: STEP ONTO ANY STREET OR SQUARE AND YOU COULDN'T REALLY BE IN ANY OTHER CITY. THERE IS A MAJOR ARCHITECTURAL CHALLENGE HERE - TO PRODUCE SOMETHING THAT HARMONISES WITH THIS IN MOOD AND SCALE AND DEVELOPES IT FOR THE 21ST CENTURY. WE MUSTN'T BE SATISFIED WITH THE BLEAK SOULLESSNESS OF EG THE ST MARY'S GATE DEVELOPMENT, OFF MARLOES RD. PLANNING CONSENT SHOULD BE CONDITIONAL ON THE QUALITY OF THE ARCHITECTURE.
<b>UD27</b>	THE DESIGN SHOULD BE ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSIBLE. USE DESIGN TO AVOID ENERGY HUNGRY AIR CONDITIONING, PUT COMMUNAL GARDENS ON ROOFS.

UD28	AVOID MODERN STERILITY OF DESIGN. THE REASON THE LIKES OF COVENT GARDEN ETC ARE POPULAR IS THAT PEOPLE LOVE THE AESTHETICS AND QUERKY CHARACTER - WE DON'T WANT EVERY HIGH STREET AND SQUARE TO LOOK THE SAME. MODERN ARCHITECTURE FREQUENTLY FAILS TO ACKNOWLEDGE THIS. THE REDEVELOPMENT OF ST PAULS IN THE CITY IS A GREAT COMBINATION OF NEW AND OLD.
UD29	THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA IS VERY MUCH TO DO WITH THE VARIED NATURE OF ITS INHABITANTS. A BLAND MIX OF EXPENSIVE HOUSING AND SHOPPING MALLS IS NOT WHAT IT NEEDS.
UD30	CONVERSIONS ON NORTH END NOT ADAPTED TO ACTUAL HEAVY TRAFFIC ON NORTH END ROAD, WITH THE ROAD ITSELF TOO CLOSE TO THE POORLY ISOLATED BUILDINGS, NOT ADAPTED TO NOISY COMMERCIAL BASEMENT PREMISES, 'TELEPHONE PLACE' WITH TENS OF MASTS LESS THAN 5 M FROM THE INHABITANTS, AND LAYOUT ITSELF THERE IS AN UTTER ABERRATION AFTER ALL... ONE COULD THINK THE WHOLE AREA DESERVES A GOOD URBANISM RETHINK, WITH MODERN (AND WITH MAYBE A GREATER HEIGHT) BUILDINGS WHILST KEEPING POSITIVE ASPECTS SUCH AS THE MARKET; PROXIMITY TO EARLS COURT AND FULHAM BROADWAY IS A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE MORE VALUABLE BUILDINGS INSTEAD OF THE REALLY 'PERFECTIBLE' PRESENT ONES.
UD31	THE BUILDINGS DIRECTLY BACKING ONTO THE ADJACENT STREETS SHOULD BE TERRACED CRESCENTS REPLICATING THE FORM AND SCALE OF EARDLEY CRESCENT AND PHILBEACH GARDENS WITH PRIVATE GARDENS TO THE REAR. THE ARCHITECTURAL STYLE AND FORM OF ANY REDEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE HARMONIOUS WITH THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT, AND STRENUOUSLY AVOID "COUNTER-POINTING" THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT IN ANY TRANSIENT ARCHITECTURAL FASHION, WHICH WOULD BE INCONGRUOUS TO THE AREA, VERY QUICKLY BECOMING OUTDATED AND DETRACTING FROM THE PERIOD STYLE OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD. THERE SHOULD BE NO TALL BUILDINGS WITHIN THE DEVELOPMENT AREA.
UD32	AREA IS OVER CONGESTED WITH BUILDINGS AND AT ODDS WITH THE CHARACTER OF KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA, THAT IS BASICALLY SPACIOUS WITH GARDEN AREAS. MUST BE KEPT SO HUGE HIGH RISES ARE NOT IN KEEPING WITH LOOK OF AREA.
UD33	EARLS COURT AND MANY SURROUNDING AREAS HAVE CHARM AND CHARACTER IN THE WAY THE RESIDENTIAL STREETS WERE DEVELOPED WITH SMALL COMMUNAL GARDENS. LARGE PLANNED ESTATES FROM THE '80'S AND 90'S TEND TO HAVE HORRIBLE EMPTY FEELINGS ABOUT THEM AND PROBABLY WON'T AGE WELL. IF YOU COULD TRY TO KEEP THE LOCAL CHARACTER (SIZE OF BUILDINGS, DESIGN OF ROADS, LOCAL GARDEN SQUARES) IT WOULD BE APPRECIATED. IN EARLS COURT SQUARE SOME OF THE PRETTIEST HOUSES (THE DUTCH HOUSES) ARE FULL OF COUNCIL RESIDENTS WHO BLEND IN SEAMLESSLY WITH THE NEIGHBORS. WE HAVE MERGED INTO A COMMUNITY. KEEPING POOR PEOPLE OUT OF NEIGHBORHOODS IS SHORT SIGHTED.
UD34	THE CHARM OF A MAINLY VICTORIAN PERIOD AREA WITH ITS ARCHITECTURE WOULD BE DWARFED BY A MODERN DEVELOPMENT. THE LOCAL COMMUNITY WOULD LIKELY BE LOST TO AN IMPERSONAL LIFELESS NEW TOWN.
UD35	I UNDERSTAND THAT THE HEIGHT OF ABOUT FOUR SO-CALLED 'VILLAGES' IS PROPOSED TO BE 30 STOREYS. THE ARCHITECTS HAVE CALLED THIS AREA AS THE VALLEY OF THE GIANTS'; PRESUMABLY THIS AN UPDATE OF PREVIOUS TERMS LIKE THE 'POLISH CORRIDOR' AND 'KANGAROO VALLEY'. I REFUTE THIS SIMPLIFIED CHARACTERISATION, PRESUMABLY INTENDED TO SOFTEN-UP THE PUBLIC IN PREPARATION FOR THE SUBMISSION OF AN OVER-DEVELOPED SCHEME. THE AREA HAS NO MORE HUGE SCALE BUILDINGS THAN ITS FAIR SHARE. I FIND MYSELF HERE, AND AM CONTENT TO LIKE THE CURRENT OVERALL SCALE. ANYTHING ABOVE 9 STOREYS IS UNWELCOME. TALL HIGH DENSITY BLOCKS ARE PROVEN TO BE UNSUITABLE FOR FAMILIES, AND ARE NOT SUSTAINABLE ACCORDING TO RECENT GUIDANCE OF THE ROYAL INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTS SCOTLAND.
UD36	DON'T ALLOW ANY NEW TALLER BUILDINGS!
UD37	THE OLD BUILDINGS ARE ATTRACTIVE BUT MANY POST-WAR BUILDINGS ARE UGLY.
UD38	IF THERES A FLOOD RISK, HOW MANY PROPERTIES ON THE NEW PLANNED FUTURISTIC DEVELOPMENT WILL BE BUILT ON CONCRETE PILLAR STILTS ABOVE THE FORSEEN WATERLINE? MAKE CAR PARKS FOR RESIDENTS BETWEEN STILTS, WALKWAYS ABOVE.
UD39	PLEASE NO TALL BUILDINGS.

UD40	NEW BUILDINGS TO BE IN KEEPING WITH THE EXISTING RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS. DO NOT USE 'CUTTING EDGE' ARCHITECTS OR WE WILL HAVE TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE BUILDINGS LIKE CHELSEA BARRACKS AND ONE HYDE PARK WHICH DO NOT FIT IN.
UD41	AT THE PRESENT TIME THE AREA IS NICELY BALANCED IN ITS AMENITIES APART FROM A NEED TO ADDRESS HEAVY TRAFFIC. FURTHER REDEVELOPMENT WOULD SWAMP THE AREA UNNECESSARILY AND SPOIL IT.
UD42	PLEASE KEEP OUR TOWN AS RESIDENTIAL - HUMAN DIMENSIONS NO HIGH RISE.
UD43	SUB BASEMENTS AND OVER DEVELOPMENTS SHOULD BE STOPPED. THE AREA CANNOT COPE WITH MORE RESIDENTS = HOSPITAL, SCHOOLS, STREETS ARE NOT THERE.
UD44	PLEASE KEEP HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS DOWN. PEOPLE FEEL VERY THREATENED BY TALL BUILDINGS IN A LARGELY RESIDENTIAL AREA. PIERS GOUGHS WHACKY BUILDING BY ST. JOHNS CHURCH FULHAM IS NOT APPROPRIATE. THE BALCONIES LOOK TACKY.
UD45	NO MORE RESIDENTIAL NEEDED, PARTICULARLY FLATS & NO MORE HIGH RISE DEVELOPMENT WHICH IS DESTROYING THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA ALREADY. BUSINESSES ACCEPTABLE.
UD46	NO TOO HIGH BUILDINGS. THIS MAKES PEOPLE FEEL SMALL. NO GOOD.
UD47	NEW DEVELOPMENT TO INCORPORATE VICTORIA SQUARE AND BUILDINGS - AREA TO BE ENHANCED NOT SPOILED.
UD48	NOT TOO MANY HOUSING. KEEP BUILDINGS / HOUSES LOW.
UD49	THIS AREA MAY NEED SOME REDEVELOPMENT BUT WE DO NOT WANT HIGH DENSITY HOUSING.
UD50	THIS WOULD BE A RARE OPPORTUNITY TO STREAMLINE, MODERNISE & INTRODUCE FACILITIES TO A LARGE AREA OF W LONDON. HOPEFULLY IT COULD INCLUDE A LARGE PEDESTRIANISED AREA SNAKING ITS WAY THROUGH THE CENTRE UP TO CROMWELL ROAD.
UD51	THE ARCHITECTURE OF SOME OF THE HOTELS, COMMUNITY HOUSING IS UNSIGHTLY AND UGLY. IT NEEDS TO FIT IN WITH THE OLD LONDON AND RETAIN OUR HERITAGE.
UD52	I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A GOOD QUALITY BUILD THAT WILL LOOK GOOD IN 100-200 YEARS. NOT SOMETHING THAT THE MAINTENANCE HAS NOT BEEN CONSIDERED. I LIKE THE GARDEN SQUARES WE HAVE IN K & C
UD53	ANY BUILDINGS INTENDED FOR DOMESTIC OCCUPATION SHOULD BE OF DOMESTIC PROPORTIONS I.E. WHERE CHILDREN (AND PARENTS) LEARN TO RESPECT NEIGHBOURS, BUILDINGS FOR DOMESTIC OCCUPATION SHOULD AFFORD VIEW OF SKY 1. FOR PERSONAL HEALTH.
UD54	SEAGRAVE RD CAR PARK AREA DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE KEPT LOW TO AVOID LOOMING OVER BROMPTON CEMETERY, ONE OF LONDON'S GREEN & QUIET GEMS.
UD55	OPPORTUNITIES SHOULD BE DEVELOPED TO ENCOURAGE ENLIGHTENED "ARCHITECTURE" NOT THE 10TH RATE "UNCLEAR" ROUGH CEMENT RABBIT HUTCHES.
UD56	HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS IS MUCH MORE IMPORTANT THAN WHAT THEY LOOK LIKE. WESTMINSTER HAS PERMITTED SOME BUILDINGS WHICH ARE TOTALLY OUT OF SCALE BETWEEN RAPHAEL ST & KNIGHTSBRIDGE AND THE REDEVELOPMENT OF BOWATER HOUSE.
UD57	THE HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS COULD BE BETTER WITH BALCONIES AND WINDOWS
UD58	IF AND WHEN THE SEAGRAVE RD CAR PARK AREA IS REDEVELOPED COULD BUILDINGS BE NO HIGHER THAN 3 STORIES TO BALANCE THE OTHER SIDE.

<b>UD59</b>	THE NEW DEVELOPMENT SHOULD NOT OVERWHELM THE EXISTING FINE ARCHITECTURE IN THE AREA AROUND. NO HIGH RISE TOWER BLOCKS. MORE GREEN AREAS WOULD BE APPRECIATED. INCREASE IN DENSITY OF POPULATION SHOULD BE ADEQUATELY CATERED FOR.
<b>UD60</b>	NO TALL BUILDINGS, MORE OPEN SPACE AND GREEN SPACE TREES, LESS BUILDINGS
<b>UD61</b>	HIGH RISE OFFICES / BUILDINGS MUST BE HIGH QUALITY & ATTRACTIVE TO LOOK AT.
<b>UD62</b>	TO MAINTAIN THE SENSE OF COMMUNITY AND PRESERVE THE FEEL OF THE AREA, HIGH RISE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE AVOIDED AT ALL COSTS.
<b>UD63</b>	THERE SHOULD BE A HEIGHT LIMIT IMPOSED ON DEVELOPMENT. LIGHTING ON THE ESTATES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED.
<b>UD64</b>	LIVING ON A TALL BUILDING SENSE OF LIFE QUALITY LOW. ISOLATION PICKS UP ON AGE AND ELDERLY WITH LIFTS NOT WORKING. THIS IS NOT ACCEPTABLE THROUGHOUT LONDON. THE HEALTH MATTERS MUST BE CONSIDERED.
<b>UD65</b>	THE NEW DEVELOPMENT NEEDS TO MIMIC THE ECLETIC STREETS SURROUNDING IT, BY NOT BEING UNIFORM OR CONCRETE AND GLASS, WHICH WOULD PROVIDE A DERESSING ENVIRONMENT FOR RESIDENTS, LEADING TO A.S.B AND GRAFFITI, AS THE BUILDINGS WOULD DETERIORATE WITH AGE.
<b>UD66</b>	IF THE PLANS GO AHEAD THERE SHOULD BE NO HIGH RISE BUILDINGS. HOW SECURE WOULD COUNCIL TENANTS BE?
<b>UD67</b>	NEED TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF HOUSING. NEED TO IMPROVE DESIGN OF AREA. LOOKS VERY RUN DOWN BETWEEN LILLIE RD AND WEST KENSINGTON STATION.
<b>UD68</b>	THIS IS AN AREA OF LITTLE STREETS. IT WOULD BE AN IDEA IF THEY COULD BE IMPROVED (STRAIGHTER & WIDER).
<b>UD69</b>	DON'T BUILD CHEAP MODERN DESIGN, MAKE THE NEW BUILDS COMPLIMENTARY AND ALSO INCLUSIVE FOR THE LOCAL COMMUNITY NEEDS.
<b>UD70</b>	ARCHITECTURAL QUALITY IS THE DRIVER FOR IMPROVEMENT HERE SO A MASTERPLAN BY A HIGH QUALITY & EXPERIENCED MASTERPLAN DESIGNER WILL GO A LONG WAY TO ACHIEVING THE INITIAL VISION NEEDED.
<b>UD71</b>	REDEVELOP THE AREA IN A NICE AND CLEAN GREEN AREA WITH MODERN GLASS BUILDINGS & HOUSES.
<b>UD72</b>	THE AREA REALLY NEEDS REDEVELOPMENT. THE MANY HOUSING ESTATES ARE BADLY LAYED OUT.
<b>UD73</b>	WE LIVE IN AN AREA NOT OVERPOPULATED IN A VILLAGE LIKE COMMUNITY. WE DO NOT WISH FOR HIGH RISE DENSE DEVELOPMENT, CROWDED ROADS, WORSE FACILITIES. THE DEVELOPMENT WILL RUIN THIS PART OF LONDON.
<b>UD74</b>	MY SUGGESTION IS TO REHABILITATE OLDER SIGNIFICANT BUILDINGS, TEAR DOWN UGLY ONES, PUT IN MORE GREEN SPACES.
<b>UD75</b>	TALL BUILDINGS ARE FINE PROVIDED THEY ARE STYLISH E.G GHERKIN
<b>UD76</b>	THE CURRENT LAYOUT IS NOT VERY WELCOMING - LILLIE ROAD FEELS MORE LIKE A THOROUGHFARE THAN A STREET WHERE RESIDENTS WOULD SPEND TIME.
<b>UD77</b>	PEOPLE LOVE LONDON BECAUSE OF ITS LOW DENSITY HOUSING. DESTROY THIS AND BUSINESS WILL GO ELSEWHERE. BE A FAMILY FRIENDLY AREA AS YOU ARE NOW - BUILD HOUSES WITH GARDENS & PLANT TREES!
<b>UD78</b>	NO HIGH RISE BUILDINGS.

UD79	THESE HIGH RISE BUILDINGS WILL BE RIGHT OUTSIDE MY WINDOW & I FEEL THERE IS ENOUGH OF THEM IN CENTRAL LONDON. THIS IS A LOVELY AREA TO LIVE WITHOUT CHANGING TO UNSIGHTLY TOWER BLOCKS.
UD80	(COULD BE THE FIRST URBAN ECO VILLAGE!) NO NARROW PATHWAYS. THIS IS A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO REGENERATE UP TO NORTH END RD. THE ESTATES ON N. END ROAD WILL NOT LAST LONG & IF LEFT WOULD NOT BE ECONOMICAL IN LONG RUN.
UD81	EARLS COURT IS A LOW RISE BUILDING DESIGN, IT WOULD BE GOOD TO SEE MORE TRADITIONAL MANSION BLOCK DESIGNS. THE EXHIBITION BUILDING REDEVELOPMENT SHOULD REMAIN THE SAME AND NOT A HIGH RISE MODERN BUILDING - KEEP IN KEEPING AS EARLS COURT IS.
UD82	THE URBAN DESIGN, ARCHITECTURE & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE OF THE REDEVELOPMENT NEED TO COHESIVELY FIT TOGETHER WITH (ASTHETICALLY & ENVIRONMENTALLY) THE CURRENT SITUATION (IE HOUSING) AND FUTURE PLANS.
UD83	PLEASE DO NOT FLOOD THE AREA WITH HIGH RISE BUILDINGS!
UD84	I THINK THE AREA SHOULD BE REDEVELOPED TO A MORE SUITABLE IMAGE FOR FULHAM VERY CLOSE TO THE WEST END, AT THE MOMENT LARGE BUILDINGS HAVE NO BALCONIES, ARE NOT UP TO DATE AND DONT MAKE GOOD USE OF THE SPACE THEIR BUILT ON.
UD85	IF YOU MAKE NEW BUILDINGS, MAKE THEM ECO-FRIENDLY SO TENANTS DON'T HAVE TO PAY SO MUCH IN ELECTRICITY, GAS BILLS. THANKS.
UD86	I THINK THE AREA SHOULD BE DEVELOPED INTO A 'TOWN' WITHIN A 'TOWN', INCLUDING LEISURE AND CULTURAL FACILITIES.
UD87	NOT HIGHER THAN CURRENT EXHIBITION CENTRE.
UD88	NO SKY SCRAPERS AND TALL BUILDINGS TO HAVE PUBLIC SPACES AROUND THEM.
UD89	ANY NEW BUILDINGS SHOULD NOT EXCEED 4 FLOORS.
UD90	TOO MUCH DEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY IN RBKC. OLD BUILDINGS (VICTORIAN) BEING REPLACED BY BLAND, UNSIGHTLY NEW ONES. LOSS OF GARDEN SPACE AND GREEN SPACE AND LOSS OF LIGHT (TALL BUILDINGS) A MAJOR ISSUE.
UD91	TREE LINED STREETS, ORNAMENTAL STREET LIGHTS (SOLAR POWERED) ROOF GARDENS, SOLAR PANELS ON ROOFS. BIRD BOXES. COMMUNITY GARDEN WITH COMPOST HEAP. YOUTH CLUB FOR TEENAGES. NURSERY / CRECHE / MOTHER & BABY GROUP. COMMUNITY HALL.
UD92	I WOULD LIKE TO SEE AN IMPROVEMENT IN THE QUALITY OF SHOPS ON THE NORTH END ROAD. MORE PEDESTRIAN AREAS WITH TREES & PLAY AREAS. MAKE THE WHOLE AREA SOMEWHERE WHERE WE CAN BE PROUD TO LIVE IN. BETTER CYCLING LANES & FACILITIES.
UD93	ARCHITECTURE SHOULD BE OF 19TH CENTURY STYLE IN EARL'S COURT NOT MID-TWENTIETH CENTURY MODERNISM. BOTH ARE PASTICHE BUT THE FORMER WOULD ENHANCE THE AREA. THE LATTER COULD BE ANYWHERE.
UD94	KEEP NEW DEVELOPMENTS LOW IN HEIGHT TO FIT WITHIN EXISTING VILLAGE / TOWN CHARACTER OF EARLS COURT.
UD95	PLEASE ENSURE THAT THE DESIGN AND BUILD IS OF VERY HIGH QUALITY, BE DIFFERENT. CREATE SOMETHING THAT IS MEMORABLE, QUALITY.
UD96	THE AREA ON NORTH END ROAD COULD LOOK A LOT BETTER WITH A MORE ATTRACTIVE TYPE OF BUILDINGS. LOTS MORE STREET LIGHTING. NOT ENOUGH SENSE OF COMMUNITY WHICH COULD BE BROUGHT CLOSER THROUGH USING COMMUNITY SPACE IN A MORE ENTERTAINING WAY.



<b>UD97</b>	BE BRAVE AND BOLD WITH THE OPPORTUNITY THAT COMES ONCE IN 100 YEARS OR MORE. MAKE IT A DESTINATION FOR LIVING, WORKING. LEISURE, EATING & EDUCATING IN A TRAFFIC FREE ENVIRONMENT.
<b>UD98</b>	AN EXCELLENT AND UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE A FANTASTIC AREA FOR RESIDENTS, EMPLOYEES AND TOURISTS. THE DESIGN AND ARCHITECTURE QUALITY WILL BE CRUCIAL TO THE SUCCESS EG. APPROPRIATE TO USERS AND INSPIRING TO OTHERS.
<b>UD99</b>	NORTH END ROAD (NORTH OF LILLIE ROAD) LOOKS VERY RUN DOWN AND TOTALLY UNATTRACTIVE.
<b>UD100</b>	THE RELOCATION OF BEVANS COURT LIBRARY IN A NEW MODERN BUILDING & CREATON OF PARK / PLAYGROUND SOUTH OF TRAFALGA ROAD WOULD BE GREAT.
<b>UD101</b>	THE ESTATE IS TOO BIG & HIGH.
<b>UD102</b>	FEWER TALL BUILDINGS PLEASE NOT ONLY IT OPPRESIVE BUT ALSO CUTTS OFF LIGHT AND *UNCLEAR* CANARY WHARF AND NEW YORK CRUSHED AND DOWN MARKET.
<b>UD103</b>	NO MORE TOWER BLOCKS PLEASE
<b>UD104</b>	THE AREA HAS A LOT OF OLD BUILDINGS WITH CHARACTER THAT EPITOMISES LONDON IT WOULD BE A SHAME & WASTE TO SEE YET MORE UGLY CHARACTERLESS MODERN BLOCKS
<b>UD105</b>	IMPERATIVE NOT TOO OVERDEVELOP THIS AREA & OVERCROWD
<b>UD106</b>	THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA CURRENTLY FEELS QUITE DENSE AND ARCHITECTURALLY, NOT VERY ATTRACTIVE. WOULD LIKE TO SEE A LESS DENSE AND MORE ATTRACTIVE REDEVELOPMENT.
<b>UD107</b>	PAVEMENTS AROUND WEST BROMPTON STATION NEED WIDENING ESP ON THE BRIDGE.

#### THE EXHIBITION CENTRE

<b>EC1</b>	LIKES: THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS A HUGE PLUS TO THE COMMUNITY. A VIABLE SOURCE OF INCOME AND AN ICONIC LANDMARK THAT SHOULD BE PRESERVED.
<b>EC2</b>	BY GETTING RID OF THE EXHIBITION CENTRES YOU ARE GETTING RID OF THE HEART OF EARL'S COURT AND IF YOU REPLACE IT WITH PURELY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS THERE WILL BE NO FIXING IT. FURTHERMORE BUSINESSES IN THE AREA ARE GOING TO BE HIT HARD BY THE LOSS OF EARL'S COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE COUNCIL GIVING BUSINESSES SOME LEEWAY TO MOVE IN WHAT IS GOING TO BE A VERY DIFFICULT PERIOD OF TIME TO THEM.
<b>EC3</b>	EARLS COURT IS 'ON THE MAP' PRIMARILY BECAUSE OF THE EXHIBITION CENTRE SO WE WILL NEED SOMETHING GOOD TO REPLACE THIS.
<b>EC4</b>	THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL VALUE PROVIDED BY THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE IS INCREASED.
<b>EC5</b>	LOSING THE EXHIBITION CENTRES WOULD BE DISASTROUS. THEY ARE ICONIC BUILDINGS AND WORLD FAMOUS. HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD COME TO EARLS COURT BECAUSE OF THE CULTURAL EVENTS HELD THERE. AND HAVE DONE SO FOR DECADES.... THEY ARE UNIQUE TO LONDON AND UNIQUE TO THE AREA. WITHOUT THEM THERE WOULD BE NO CULTURE IN THIS PART OF LONDON. THEIR LOSS WOULD CREATE AN ENORMOUS CULTURAL VOID. THEY ARE EARLS COURT'S HISTORY AND RAISON D'ETRE. THERE WOULD BE AN ENORMOUS IMMEDIATE LOSS TO THE LOCAL BUSINESSES, CAFES AND RESTAURANTS IF THEY WERE DEMOLISHED, WHICH NO AMOUNT OF RESIDENTIAL HOUSING COULD REPLACE, ESPECIALLY IN THE PREDICTED DECADE OR SO OF CONSTRUCTION. IT WOULD BE A CATASTROPHIC DECISION TO LOSE THEM, KILLING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ALL WHO LIVE HERE SIMPLY TO MAKE PROFIT FOR DEVELOPERS WHO DO NOT.

<b>EC6</b>	THE ASPERATIONS OF K AND C ARE TO RETAIN THE EXISTING USE OF THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE BUT THIS WILL LEAD TO EXCESSIVE OVER USE OF THE SITE THIS FACILITY IF IT IS REQUIRED TO BE RETAINED MUST RESULT IN VIRTUALLY NO FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PART OF THE SITE
<b>EC7</b>	IT SEEMS AN ENORMOUS SHAME TO GET RID OF WHAT IS THE ONLY REMAINING WEST END EXHIBITION AND CONCERT SPACE. CAN THIS NOT BE REFURBED AND IMPROVED WITH IN THE CONTEXT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT, IT WOULD CERTAINLY PROVIDE A GREAT DRAW AND ATTRACTION TO THE AREA. THE O2 AND EXCEL CENTRE ARE 1.5HOUR JOURNEY AWAY. OLYMPIA AND WEMBLEY ARE JUST INACCESSABLE AND DON'T OFFER ATTRACTIVE SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENTS.
<b>EC8</b>	EARLS COURT 1 IS BEHIND OUR HOUSE , IT IS AN IMPRESSIVE BUILDING , AND SEEMS WELL USED , I CAN SEE HOW MUCH THE VISITORS AND TRADERS AT EVENTS HELP ALL THE PUBS AND RESTAURANTS KEEP VERY BUSY . I FEAR THE EARLS COURT ROAD WILL BECOME A GHOST TOWN WITHOUT IT.
<b>EC9</b>	EARLS COURT IS AN EXTRAORDINARY PLACE TO LIVE, IT IS EXCITING, LOUD AND VIBRANT. VISITORS TO THE AREA ARE ATTRACTED BY ITS EXHIBITIONS AND CONCERTS AND STAY IN THE HOTELS WHICH DEPEND ON THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE WHICH IN TURN PROVIDES A WIDE RANGE OF BUSINESSES WITH A LIVELIHOOD. UNLIKE PARIS WHERE ALL LARGE TRADE EXHIBITIONS ARE BANNED TO THE PERIPHERY, LONDON STILL WELCOMES SUCH VISITORS INTO ITS CENTRE. EARLS COURT MATTERS BECAUSE OF ITS OWN CULTURAL DIVERSITY AND THE WAY IT STANDS OUT AMONG THE INCREASINGLY UNIFORM AND BLAND NEIGHBOURHOODS OF SOUTH WEST LONDON.
<b>EC10</b>	I ACCEPT THAT THE PLANNING AUTHORITY HAVE NO MEANS TO DEMAND OR ENSURE THE CONTINUED VIABILITY OF THE EXISTING EXHIBITION BUSINESSES. HOWEVER, THEY DO HAVE THE POWER AND THE RESPONSIBILITY TO ACT IN THE INTEREST OF THE COMMUNITY WHEN CONSIDERING CHANGE OF USE APPLICATIONS.
<b>EC11</b>	A PLACE SUCH AS EARL'S COURT SHOULD NOT DISAPEAR. IT IS A HISTORICAL VENUE, WHICH GIVE TO ANYONE WHO HASN'T LIVE DURING THE GREATEST PART OF IT'S STORY THE POSSIBILITY TO LEARN, SHARE, DRAWN INTO IT'S MAGICAL ATMOSPHERE. WE'D LOOSE A BIG PART OF OUR HISTORY - MUSICAL AND ARTISTIC - IF WE LOOSE EARL'S COURT. YOUNGEST PERSONS WILL NEVER KNOW OR UNDERSTAND, FEEL WHAT THE OLDEST OF US FELT WHILE LIVING SUCH INTENSE MOMENTS THERE, IF EARL'S COURT DOES NTO EXIST ANYMORE. THEN, I'M ASKING, AS MANY OF THE PERSONS I KNOW, TO KEEP THIS HISTORICAL AND SENTIMENTAL PLACE ALIVE.
<b>EC12</b>	EARL'S COURT IS A REDUNDANT BUILDING COMPLEX THAT IS UNSUITED TO ITS PURPOSE. THE BUS STATION DOES NOT NEED TO BE THERE.
<b>EC13</b>	APART FROM THE EXHIBITION CENTRE, KEEP THE AREA RESIDENTIAL & FRIENDLY AS IT IS IN EARL'S COURT, IN PARTICULAR IN PHILBEACH GARDENS WITH ITS RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATION.
<b>EC14</b>	EARLS COURT'S TRADITIONAL LOW RISE BUILDINGS OF MANSION BLOCKS ARE WONDERFUL AND ALTHOUGH THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS ICONIC IT WOULD BE TERRIBLY SAD IF IT IS REPLACED WITH SOMETHING RESEMBLING THE EXPRESS STATE WOULD BE GHASTLY AND TRAGIC.
<b>EC15</b>	I HAVE BEEN TO EARL'S COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE ABOUT TWENTY YEARS AGO, AND ONE OF THE STALLS WERE ADVERTISING, SEWING MACHINE'S AND I PURCHASE ONE, WHICH I STILL HAVE TO THIS DAY, BUT I HAVENT WENT TO NONE OF THE EXHIBITION RECENTLY.
<b>EC16</b>	IT WOULD BE A TRAGEDY TO LOSE THE WORLD-FAMOUS EXHIBITION FACILITY AT EARL'S COURT.
<b>EC17</b>	MAJOR REDEVELOPMENT WORK WILL HAVE A VERY NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE AMENITY AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AREA. THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRES ARE WORLD RENOWNED AND PLAY HOST TO A WIDE RANGE OF FANTASTIC EVENTS. IT WOULD BE A HUGE LOSS TO THE AREA AND LONDON AS A WHOLE IF THEY WERE TO BE PULLED DOWN.
<b>EC18</b>	I AM APPALLED THAT YOU WOULD WANT TO DEMOLISH THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRES - FOR SOME HIDEOUS NEW OFFICE BLOCK NO DOUBT!
<b>EC19</b>	I WOULD FEEL SORRY TO SEE THE EXHIBITION CENTRE GO AS IT BRINGS SO MANY PEOPLE TO THE AREA. ITS LOSS WOULD DOUBTLESS IMPACT ON LOCAL BUSINESSES.
<b>EC20</b>	PLEASE CONSULT THE COMMUNITY BEFORE CHANGING THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE WHICH IS A CLASSIC SPACE AND IS MUCH LOVED.

<b>EC21</b>	THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS A LANDMARK AND SHOULD REMAIN THAT WAY, IT BRINGS A LOT OF EVENTS TO THE AREA WHICH IS DIFFICULT ENOUGH WITH THE PEOPLE TRAFFIC AND CARS SO PLEASE DO NOT GO FORTH AND MAKE OUR LIVING MORE CRAMP, CONGESTED AND WHERE ARE THE LOCAL JOBS BECAUSE I CANNOT FIND ONE!!
<b>EC22</b>	THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS A MAJOR PART OF LONDON AND ITS MODERN HISTORY, IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE DESTROYED...CERTAINLY NOT FOR A RECENT IDEA OF WHAT IS GOOD FOR THIS CITY
<b>EC23</b>	THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS AN IMPORTANT AND AN INCREDIBLY SIGNIFICANT LANDMARK AND INSTEAD OF REBUILDING, WHY NOT REDEVELOP WHAT WE ALREADY HAVE? I ABSOLUTELY AGREE THAT EARLS COURT IS IN NEED OF MORE REDEVELOPMENT.
<b>EC24</b>	EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE IS AN INTERNATIONALLY RECOGNISED LANDMARK AND FINE EXAMPLE OF 1930'S ARCHITECTURE WHICH MUST BE PROTECTED. AS A VENUE IT BRINGS IN LARGE EMPLOYMENT FOR THE LOCAL AREA AND ALSO BRINGS WEALTH TO LOCAL RESTAURANTS/BARS ETC. THE NEW PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WOULD NOT ONLY LOOK GENERIC AND SOULLESS BUT NOT CONTRIBUTE ANYTHING TO THE LOCAL COMMUNITY. IN FUTURE YEARS PEOPLE WOULD LOOK BACK AT THE DESTRUCTION OF THIS ICONIC BUILDING AS SOMETHING THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN SAVED NOT DESTROYED JUST TO MAKE MONEY WITH UGLY AND UNATTRACTIVE MODERN LIVING.
<b>EC25</b>	ANY DEMOLITION OF THE EXHIBITION CENTRE (WHICH WOULD BE A LOSS TO THE COMMUNITY) AND REDEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE, WOULD HAVE AN ENORMOUS IMPACT ON PHILBEACH GARDENS (AN "ADJACENT STREET").
<b>EC25</b>	THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTER IS A LANDMARK, NOT ONLY OF THE K&C BOROUGH BUT OF LONDON. IT IS AN ARCHITECTURAL BEAUTY THAT NEEDS PRESERVING NOT DESTROYING. THIS IS AN ICONIC BUILDING. IT IS THE ONLY LARGE CENTRAL LONDON EXHIBITION CENTER, A HUGE RESOURCE FOR ART, BUSINESS, ENTERTAINMENT AND CULTURE. WE SHOULD BE FAR SIGHTED ENOUGH TO BRING THIS FANTASTIC BUILDING INTO THE 21ST CENTURY - RESTORE IT, ORGANISE IT, DEVELOP AND EXPLOIT ITS POTENTIAL - WE HAVE TO PRESERVE THIS CULTURAL HERITAGE WE ARE LUCKY ENOUGH TO HAVE HERE IN THE BOROUGH. HOW CAN A MAJOR CITY LIKE LONDON ONLY HAVE THE O2 ARENA MILES AWAY AND DIFFICULT TO ACCESS? LOOKING AT HOW SAVING THE BUILDING THAT BECAME TATE MODERN REGENERATED SOUTHWARK, AND MADE ME PROUD TO BE A LONDONER, I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT MY K&C COUNCIL WOULD HAVE THE SAME BREADTH OF VISION FOR THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTER. SAVE IT FROM DEMOLITION AND HAVE THE IMAGINATION TO BRING IT INTO THIS CENTURY WITH FLAIR AND THOUGHTFULNESS TO PROVIDE ALL OF US WITH A BEAUTIFUL, INDISPENSABLE, LIFE ENHANCING 21ST CENTURY EXHIBITION CENTER WITH AT ITS CENTER AN ICON OF 1930S ARCHITECTURE. STOP THE DEMOLITION.
<b>EC26</b>	IT WOULD BE AN ENORMOUS SHAME TO DESTROY THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CTR. IT HAS BECOME A CENTRE FOR CULTURAL, SPORT AND ARTS ACTIVITIES, WHERE YOUNG AND OLD COME FROM ALL PARTS OF GREATER LONDON TO ENJOY ITS AMENITIES.
<b>EC27</b>	I HAVE SERIOUS RESERVATIONS ABOUT THE PROPOSED RE-DEVELOPMENT OF THE EXHIBITION CENTRES. I RECOGNISE THAT THE BUSINESS CASE FOR EXHIBITIONS IN EARLS COURT IS CHANGING DUE TO INCREASED COMPETITION, LACK OF RECENT INVESTMENT IN THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRES AND CHANGING CLIENT REQUIREMENTS. HOWEVER, ANY PROPOSED CHANGES IN USE SHOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE WIDER STAKEHOLDERS: THERE ARE MANY SMALL LOCAL BUSINESS DEPENDENT UPON THE EXHIBITION CENTRES - SMALL HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS SERVING THE NEEDS OF THOSE MANNING THE STALLS AT EXHIBITIONS (PROBABLY MORE SO THAN OF THOSE VISITING EXHIBITIONS) - THE NATURE OF THE AREA IS INTERTWINED WITH THE EXHIBITION CENTRES AND THE VARIETY AND DIVERSITY OF EARLS COURT'S NATURE AND AMBIENCE IS DEPENDENT UPON THEM WITH A COMPLEX LAYERING OF RESIDENTIAL, SMALL COMMERCIAL, AND VISITOR POPULATIONS.
<b>EC28</b>	THE EARLS COURT ONE BUILDING HAS GREAT AESTHETIC QUALITIES, BOTH IN ITSELF AND IN THE CONTEXT OF ITS LOCALE AND ENVIRONMENT.
<b>EC29</b>	THERE ARE SO MANY REASONS TO SAVE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE: KEEPING BUSINESSES ALIVE IN THE AREA; ENHANCING COMMUNITY LIFE; PRESERVING LONDON'S CULTURAL HERITAGE; MAINTAINING A HEALTHY VIABLE "HEART" AT LONDON'S CENTRE. LOOK AT THE IMPORTANCE OF SAVING THE BUILDING THAT BECAME TATE MODERN AND HOW THAT HAS RE-ENERGISED THE SOUTHWARK AREA. THOSE WHO STOPPED THE "LISTING" OF THE EXHIBITION CENTRE COULD ONLY HAVE BEEN REPRESENTING THEIR OWN INTERESTS. STOP THIS DEMOLITION. IT WOULD BE MOST UNFORTUNATE THAT SHORT SIGHTED PLANNING WOULD GET AWAY WITH THIS.

<b>EC30</b>	THERE ARE GROWING OBJECTIONS TO THE DEMOLITION OF THE EXHIBITION HALLS AS THIS WOULD HAVE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON LOCAL BUSINESSES IN THE EARL'S COURT WARD AND THE LOSS OF A RENOWNED CULTURAL FACILITY. I AM ALSO NOT HAPPY ABOUT HOW THE LOSS OF THE EXHIBITION HALLS MIGHT AFFECT THE VALUE OF MY PROPERTY IN EARL'S COURT SQUARE. YOU NEED TO HAVE A PROPER CONSULTATION EXERCISE IN WHICH PEOPLE CAN SEE WHAT THE PLANS ARE AND SO THEY CAN GIVE THEIR INFORMED OPINIONS.
<b>EC31</b>	EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE IS WORTH SAVING AS A CULTURAL ATTRACTION FOR THIS PART OF LONDON. IT HAS AN INTERNATIONAL REPUTATION. IT DOES NEED INVESTMENT TO MAKE IT THE MOST ATTRACTIVE EVENT VENUE IN LONDON. IT IS IN THE BEST LOCATION; FORGET THE O2 ARENA IN KENT OR EXCEL IN ESSEX. THE ORIGINAL BUILDING OUGHT TO BE PRESERVED FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS. IT IS AN ART DECO MASTERPIECE. IT WOULD MAKE MORE SENSE AT THE MOMENT TO SELL IT TO MR ABRAMOVITCH, WHO AT LEAST WOULD USE IT TO ENTERTAIN LOCAL PEOPLE. RBK&C STOPPED THE SITE BECOMING A CASINO; WHY? I'M NOT CONVINCED THAT RBK&C CAN BE TRUSTED TO WORK IN THE INTERESTS OF THIS COMMUNITY.
<b>EC32</b>	A FORMER RESIDENT/ NOW VISITOR LOSS OF EARLS COURT WOULD BE A SERIOUS LOSS
<b>EC33</b>	THE FACADE OF EARLS COURT 1 IS A UNIQUE ART DECO FEATURE AND IT MUST AT ALL COSTS BE INCORPORATED IN SOME WAY OR OTHER IN THE NEW DEVELOPMENT.
<b>EC34</b>	THE SOONER THE EYE-SORE EARLS COURT 2 IS DEMOLISHED THE BETTER!
<b>EC35</b>	I LIVE IN FULHAM SW6 AND HAVE PREVIOUSLY LIVED IN EARLS COURT. ONE OF THE FEW PLEASURES OF SITTING IN THE TRAFFIC IS TO PASS THE WONDERFUL BUILDING THAT IS 'EARLS COURT'. I ONLY FOUND OUT ABOUT THIS THROUGH A FRIEND WHO LIVES LOCALLY - AND EVERYONE I HAVE INFORMED KNEW NOTHING ABOUT IT EITHER; THE LACK OF OPENNESS AND PUBLICITY IS VERY DAMNING - THIS IS AN ICONIC BUILDING AND IMPORTANT TO THE WHOLE OF LONDON, NOT JUST VERY LOCAL RESIDENTS. THERE IS UNIVERSAL SHOCK AND CONDEMNATION. WE ALL KNOW, ONLY TOO WELL, THAT DEVELOPERS DON'T CARE ABOUT ICONIC ARCHITECTURE AND ARE RUTHLESS IN THEIR MANIPULATIVE PRESENTATIONS OF 'THE BENEFITS' OF DESTRUCTION AND REDEVELOPMENT. THE SHOCKING THING IS THAT THE COUNCIL ARE COLLUDING IN IT. I HAD THOUGHT THIS WAS A THING OF THE PAST - WE'VE LOST SO MANY ICONIC AND IRREPLACEABLE BUILDINGS; IT WOULD BE TRULY TERRIBLE IF THE MUCH LOVED, REVERED AND VALUED EARLS COURT BECAME JUST ANOTHER MEMORY. MORE AND MORE PEOPLE ARE NOW BECOMING AWARE OF THIS AND ARE PREPARED TO OBJECT IN THE STRONGEST WAY POSSIBLE. PLEASE THINK AGAIN.
<b>EC36</b>	WOULD BE TRAGEDY FOR LONDON TO LOSE THE EXHIBITION CENTRES. THEY ARE A WORLD FAMOUS ATTRACTION AND ARE OF UNIQUE ARCHITECTURAL MERIT. THEY DRAW 1000S TO THE AREA AND TO THE LOCAL BUSINESS NETWORK.THEY SHOULD BE LISTED BUILDINGS
<b>EC37</b>	THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS VITAL FOR EARL'S COURT AND MUST STAY.
<b>EC38</b>	I WOULD LIKE THE FACADE OF THE EXHIBITION CENTRE TO BE RETAINED!
<b>EC39</b>	PLEASE DON'T ALLOW THE EXHIBITION CENTRE TO DISAPPEAR - IT IS PART OF EARL'S COURT'S IDENTITY!
<b>EC40</b>	WHY ON EARTH DON'T COUNCILS HAVE GUTS TO INVEST IN A WORLD CLASS CONFERENCE CENTRE? THIS WOULD BRING IN VISITORS, UPGRADE THE FILTHY SQUALOR THAT IS EARLS COURT ROAD, AND PROVIDE JOBS FOR LOCAL PEOPLE AND BUSINESSES.
<b>EC41</b>	I THINK LOSING THE EXHIBITION CENTRES WOULD BE A MISTAKE.
<b>EC42</b>	WHEN E.C LOSES THE EXHIBITION CENTRE WHAT WILL SUPPORT LOCAL HOTELIERS, RESTAURANTS & BARS?
<b>EC43</b>	LEAVE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE AS IT IS.
<b>EC44</b>	KEEP THE FACADE OF THE EARLS COURT I EXHIBITION CENTRE. I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP ALL EXHIBITION CENTRES GOING. REALLY GOOD FOR RESIDENTS TO HAVE ON THEIR DOORSTEP.
<b>EC45</b>	DO NOT ABOLISH EARLS COURT & OLYMPIA EXHIBITION CENTRE.

<b>EC46</b>	LEAVE THE EXHIBITION CENTRE AND EMPRESS STATE ALONE. THEY ARE PART OF THE HERITAGE OF THE AREA.
<b>EC47</b>	CLOSE EITHER OLYMPIA OR EARL'S COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE WITH EXCEL AND NEC ONLY ONE NEEDED.
<b>EC48</b>	EARLS COURT HAS BEEN A LANDMARK FOR FULHAM / EARLS COURT FOR MANY YEARS. IT WOULD BE GREAT TO REDEVELOP SO WE COULD STILL HOLD A FEW SHOWS ETC.
<b>EC49</b>	EARLS COURT EXHIBITION HALLS BRING LOTS OF BUSINESS TO LOCAL AREA, LOTS OF CULTURE, LOTS OF FUN (DEPENDING ON THE EVENT) RBK&C & LBH&F SHOULD DO ALL POSSIBLE TO INCORPORATE THESE EXISTING HALLS INTO ANY FUTURE PLANS.
<b>EC50</b>	SHOULD NOT GET RID OF EARLS COURT EX CENTRE.
<b>EC51</b>	I LIKE THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE, THE TRADE IT BRINGS, THE SPACE COULD BE TIDIED UP - EG CEILING TILES, MORE INTELLIGENTLY USED, THE SWIMMING POOL COULD BE OPENED.
<b>EC52</b>	AREA IS TOO CONGESTED WHEN EXHIBITIONS ARE ON AT EARL'S COURT. SHOULD NEVER HAVE BUILT EARL'S COURT 2 IN AN AREA WHICH HAS DIFFICULTY IN COPING WITH EXTRA TRAFFIC, CAR PARKING ETC.
<b>EC53</b>	EXISTING EXHIBITION CENTRE IS ADEQUATE AND SHOULD NOT BE HIGHER THAN SURROUNDING BUILDINGS.
<b>EC54</b>	I LOVE THE EXHIBITION CENTRE TOO (I LIVE RIGHT BESIDE IT IN EARDLEY CRESCENT).
<b>EC55</b>	KEEP EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE.
<b>EC56</b>	EARL'S COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE SHOULD REMAIN A LANDMARK IN OUR AREA, ATTRACTING TOURISM AND BUSINESS. IT SHOULD THEREFORE REMAIN AS A CULTURAL CENTRE IN EARL'S COURT BENEFITING BOTH THE LOCAL ECONOMY & COMMUNITY.
<b>EC57</b>	I DO NOT SEE WHY EARLS COURT EXHIBITION IS CLOSING AS IS ? COMMERCIALY SUCCESSFUL.
<b>EC58</b>	I DO NOT WANT TO SEE EARL'S COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE DEMOLISHED. IT PROVIDES A LOT OF TRADE FOR BUSINESSES IN THE AREA E.G. HOTELS, RESTAURANTS AND PUBS ETC. IT ALSO PROVIDES WORK SOMETIMES FOR LOCAL PEOPLE. IT SHOULD BE A LISTED BUILDING.
<b>EC59</b>	I WILL MISS THE EXHIBITION CENTRE. IT BRINGS IN BUSINESS FOR LOCALS.
<b>EC60</b>	WE COULD BENEFIT FROM AN EXHIBITION CENTRE.
<b>EC61</b>	MOVE THE CHELSEA FOOTBALL CLUB TO EARLS COURT EXHIB CENTRE SO IT HAS FOR WEST LONDON A PROPER CENTRE FOR EVENTS (NOT JUST FOOTBALL!)
<b>EC62</b>	I LOVE THE EARLS COURT BUILDING (THE ORIGINAL ONE).
<b>EC63</b>	EXHIBITION CENTRE CAUSES A LOT OF TRAFFIC PROBLEMS, EARLY IN MORNINGS. WITH BIG LORRIES BLOCKING THE ROAD.
<b>EC64</b>	ALSO I LOVE EXHIBITION BUILDING BRINGS LOADS OF PEOPLE TO AREA. GREAT FOR LONDON.
<b>EC65</b>	LIKE THE EXHIBITION CENTRE - HELPS HOTELS, RESTAURANTS, BARS ETC IN EARLS COURT ROAD & GREAT THINGS TO VISIT FOR BUSINESS, PLEASURE & WITH THE FAMILY. CONTRIBUTES TO THE "VIBRANCY" OF THE AREA. LIKE THE NORTH END RD MARKET & SHOPS.

<b>EC66</b>	EXHIBITION CENTRES ARE VILE AND MAKE LIFE MISERABLE FOR RESIDENTS.
<b>EC67</b>	THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS ALSO A GREAT RESOURCE.
<b>EC68</b>	IF THE EXHIBITION IS KNOCKED DOWN, THERE IS AN OVER-RIDING PRIORITY FOR SOCIAL HOUSING.
<b>EC69</b>	FOR ME EXHIBITION CENTRE IS NOT CULTURAL CENTRE.
<b>EC70</b>	EARL'S COURT SHOULD NOT BE RE-DEVELOPED AS IT IS A VITAL PART OF THE LONDON EXHIBITION AND EVENT MARKET. CERTAIN AREAS HOWEVER COULD BENEFIT FROM A MAKEOVER.
<b>EC71</b>	THE MIXED USE WITH ACTIVE CONFERENCE / EXHIBITION CENTRE IS CRUCIAL TO ACTIVITY IN AREA.
<b>EC72</b>	I WOULD LIKE THE EXHIBITION CENTRE TO STAY AS IT BRINGS A LOT OF BUSINESS, CULTURE & EXCITEMENT TO AREA.
<b>EC73</b>	I HAVE LIVED IN LONDON FOR OVER 40 YEARS AND A PART OF THAT IN EARLS COURT, IT WOULD BE VERY SAD TO LOSE THE EXHIBITION CENTRE TO MORE HOUSING.
<b>EC74</b>	THE EXHIBITION CENTRE AND THE EMPRESS STATE BUILDINGS ARE ICONIC AND SHOULD NOT, ON ANY ACCOUNT, BE DEMOLISHED TO BE REPLACED BY A TEMPLATE DEVELOPMENT THAT MIMICS ALL THOSE THAT LIE LIFELESS ALONG THE THAMES DESIGNED BY PEOPLE WHO WILL NEVER LIVE IN THEM AND BOUGHT AS INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE WHO WON'T LIVE IN THEM EITHER.
<b>EC75</b>	THE EXISTING EXHIBITION CENTRE IS DAMAGING TO THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT OF ADJACENT STREETS, AND ANY REDEVELOPMENT SHOULD SUBSTANTIAL REDUCE THE HEIGHT OF CONSTRUCTION ON THE SITE.

#### THE EMPRESS STATE BUILDING

<b>ES1</b>	THE EMPRESS STATE BUILDING IS A GREAT WEST LONDON LANDMARK ICON. IT HAS ONLY RECENTLY HAD Â£27MILLION SPENT ON REFURBISHMENT. THAT WOULD BE A CRIMINAL UNJUSTIFIABLE WASTE ANY NEW SCHEME SHOULD PRESERVE THESE THREE BUILDINGS WHATEVER. OTHERWISE IT IS PURELY SHEER GREED IN OPERATION AT THE EXPENSE OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF THE IMMEDIATE LOCALITY AND OF LONDON AS A WHOLE. IT WOULD BE AN ENORMOUS LOSS TO THE DIVERSITY OF THE CITY.
<b>ES2</b>	CAN LOSE EMPRESS BUILDING
<b>ES3</b>	I REALLY LOVE THE EMPRESS STATE BUILDING AND THE FACADE OF EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE. I WOULDN'T WANT ANYTHING TO DETRACT FROM THE APPEARANCE OR VIEW OF EITHER OF THESE BUILDINGS.
<b>ES4</b>	EMPRESS STATE BUILDING A SHORT ON THE LANDSCAPE.
<b>ES5</b>	THE EMPRESS BUILDING IS NO LONGER NEEDED. IT STICKS OUT LIKE A PREHISTORIC WART. PULL IT DOWN AND BUILD LOWER OFFICES OR ACCOMMODATION.
<b>ES6</b>	I THOROUGHLY DISAPPOVE OF TRYING TO REMOVE THE EMPRESS STATE BUILDING AS THIS IS A MAJOR WEST LONDON LANDMARK AND ARCHITECTURALLY VALID.
<b>ES7</b>	I LOVE THE EMPRESS STATE BUIKING AND EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE (THE ONE DIRECTLY OPPOSITE THE UNDERGROUND STATION).

<b>ES8</b>	THE EMPRESS STATE BUILDING IS A FINE PIECE OF POST-WAR ARCHITECTURE AND A DESIGN WHICH INCORPORATES A COUNTERPART BUILDING OR, TWO, OF SIMILAR HEIGHT SHOULD NOT BE RULED OUT. GIVEN THE SIZE OF THE SITE, THIS WILL SCARCELY MAKE EARLS COURT A NEW "LA DEFENSE" OR CANARY WHARF. CENTRAL LONDON DESPARATELY NEEDS MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HIGH-RISES IN THIS CONTEXT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IF IT MAKES THE ECONOMICS WORK.
<b>ES9</b>	THE HEIGHT OF THE EMPRESS STATE IS TOO HIGH & I WOULD NOT LIKE NEW BUILDINGS TO BE THAT HIGH
<b>ES10</b>	I LIKE THE HEIGHT OF THE EMPRESS STATE & THE EXHIBITION CENTRES AS THEY ARE FAMILIAR LAND MARKS THAT ADD CHARACTER TO THE AREA, BUT I WOULDN'T LIKE ANY NEW SURROUNDING BUILDINGS TO BE HIGH.





## AIR QUALITY

<b>AQ1</b>	AIR POLLUTION DUE TO TRAFFIC IS THE ONLY SERIOUS COMPLAINT I HAVE ABOUT THE AREA - AND IT IS A VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM. I'VE LIVED IN EARLS COURT SQUARE FOR 25 YEARS AND HAVE NO OTHER PROBLEMS AT ALL WITH THE AREA.
<b>AQ2</b>	THE AIR QUALITY IS POOR.
<b>AQ3</b>	TOO MUCH NEW BUILDING WILL PUT A LOT MORE PRESSURE ON AIR QUALITY, WHICH IS ALREADY VERY POOR IN EARLS COURT.
<b>AQ4</b>	THE AREA IS PERMANENTLY POLLUTED FROM TRAFFIC CONGESTION AND IS ESSENTIALLY BUILT AROUND A MOTORWAY, THE A3320.
<b>AQ5</b>	AIR QUALITY IS VERY POOR.
<b>AQ6</b>	EARLS COURT HAS TOO MUCH POLLUTION ALREADY. HOW WILL THAT BE IMPROVED BY PUTTING 32 000 MORE PEOPLE INTO AN ALREADY OVER POPULATED/OVER BURDENED AREA?
<b>AQ7</b>	THERE IS NO FRESH AIR. BREATHING IS ALREADY DIFFICULT, AND SUCH AIR AS THERE IS HAS ALREADY BEEN THROUGH N PAIRS OF LUNGS, NOT TO MENTION N CAR ENGINES. WE ARE TOLD WE ARE TO ABSORB 5,000 MORE FAMILIES IN THE NORTH OF WARWICK ROAD. THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS NOT THERE FOR THEM, LET ALONE FOR A NEW CROWD. WE SIMPLY DO NOT WANT ANYBODY ELSE LIVING HERE; WE WANT TO REDUCE THE DENSITY, NOT TO INCREASE IT. OTHERWISE, EARL'S COURT WILL BECOME A SLUM. WE WON'T WANT TO LIVE HERE; I WON'T WANT TO LIVE HERE.
<b>AQ8</b>	PAY ATTENTION TO AIR QUALITY
<b>AQ9</b>	I LIVE ON OBR, WE ARE WEDGES BETWEEN WARWICK ROAD & EARLS COURT ROAD. BAD POLLUTION BECAUSE OF MAIN EXIT TO OUTSIDE LONDON.

## BROMPTON CEMETERY

<b>BC1</b>	INCLUDE RESTORATION OF W. BROMPTON CEMETARY
<b>BC2</b>	THE BROMPTON CEMETARY FALLS WITHIN YOUR FRAMEWORK. I SINCERELY HOPE THAT DEVELOPERS ARE NOT PLANNING TO DO ANY CHANGES IN HERE.
<b>EC3</b>	MY INTEREST IS FOR THE SAFEGUARDING OF BROMPTON CEMETERY FROM DEVELOPMENTS NEAR BY WHICH WOULD DAMAGE ITS WONDERFUL AMENITY VALUE FOR THE AREA, AND IN PARTICULAR FROM HIGH RISE BUILDINGS. PLEASE MAY I BE CONSULTED EARLY ABOUT ANY SUCH DEVELOPMENTS?

## POLLUTION AND CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

<b>PC1</b>	I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT AIR POLLUTION - MY EYES ITCH & WATER BUT NOT WHEN I GO AWAY ON HOLIDAY. IF EARLS COURT 1 IS TO BE DEMOLISHED, I AM VERY WORRIED ABOUT DUST, POSSIBLY ASBESTOS AND NOISE DURING THE PROCESS.
<b>PC2</b>	I AM EXTREMELY CONCERNED ABOUT THE REDEVELOPMENT OF EARL'S COURT CENTRES FOR THESE REASONS. DEMOLITION COULD CAUSE DANGER TO US AND OTHER PROPERTIES -SUBSIDENCE, ASBESTOS *UNCLEAR* DUST, NOISE AND TRAFFIC. WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO US IN WARWICK ROAD.

<b>PC3</b>	WE ARE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE LONG TERM HEALTH AND HEALTH & SAFETY EFFECTS OF DEMOLISHING EC EXHIBITION CENTRE - IN PARTICULAR THE HIGH LEVEL OF DUST THAT WOULD BE GENERATED. ALSO THE POSSIBILITY OF ASBESTOS IN THE AIR. THE AIR QUALITY IS ALREADY POOR IN EC AND THIS WILL ONLY MAKE IT WORSE. HEALTH AND HEALTH & SAFETY OF LOCAL RESIDENTS SHOULD BE A PRIORITY AND THE EXISTING EXHIBITION CENTRE SHOULD REMAIN IN PLACE.
<b>PC4</b>	MY CONCERN ABOUT ANY REDEVELOPMENT OF E. COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE ARE: 1. DUST & NOISE GENERATE & DANGER OF ASBESTOS IF THEY KNOCK IT DOWN.
<b>PC5</b>	I LIVE IN EARLS COURT AND WOULD DREAD TO GO THROUGH ALL THAT CHAOS OF MORE TRAFFIC, ROAD CLOSURES, DRILLING, INCREASE IN LARGE DELIVERY'S OF STEELE ETC IT WOULD BE A NIGHTMARE.
<b>PC6</b>	I AM GENERALLY CONCERNED THAT THE DEVELOPERS HAVE INDICATED THEIR INTENTION TO CARRY OUT THE REGENERATION IN PHASES. PRESUMABLY THIS IS TO SATISFY AND HEDGE AGAINST CASH-FLOW PROBLEMS. HOWEVER THIS HAS LONG TERM IMPLICATIONS, IF PROJECTIONS OF THE PROVISION OF ESSENTIAL INFRASTRUCTURE SUCH AS WATER, SEWERAGE, AND LOAD-BEARING OF THE FUTURE DECKING OVER THE RAILWAY-LINE, ARE MODIFIED AS A RESULT OF A PIECE-MEAL MASTERPLAN. IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT A FULL COORDINATED PLAN IS AGREED.
<b>PC7</b>	CAREFUL CONSIDERATION NEEDS TO BE GIVEN TO THE DISTURBANCE WHICH WILL BE CAUSED TO RESIDENTS WHOSE PROPERTIES AJOIN THE DEVELOPMENT AREA. PARTICULARLY DURING THE DEMOLITION OF THE EXHIBITION SITE.
<b>PC8</b>	I AM ALSO VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE IMPACT OF THIS REDEVELOPMENT IN EVERY DAY OF OUR LIFE.
<b>PC9</b>	MY MAIN CONCERN IS TO DO WITH THE RESIDENTS. WHERE ARE THEY GOING TO BE ACCOMODATED DURING THE WORKS? FOR HOW LONG? WILL THEY HAVE THE SAME RIGHTS AND AMOUNT OF SPACE AS AT PRESENT?
<b>PC10</b>	THERE IS GOING TO BE MAJOR DISRUPTION. EVEN IF IT BEGINS IN 2012 IT WILL PROBABLY TAKE YEARS TO COMPLETE MAKING IT VERY DIFFICULT FOR ME TO SELL MY FLAT AND GET A REASONABLE PRICE.
<b>PC11</b>	ANY PROPOSED REDEVELOPMENT SHOULD CONSIDER THE NEEDS OF LOCAL RESIDENTS. THIS IS PRIMARILY A RESIDENTIAL AREA SO CHANGES INVOLVING AN INCREASE IN NOISE AND TRAFFIC WOULD BE VERY UNWELCOME.
<b>PC12</b>	EXTENSIVE REDEVELOPMENT AND ALL THE PROBLEMS WE RESIDENTS HAVE TO ENDURE THAT GO ALONG WITH IT IS NOT CALLED FOR THE BUILDINGS ARE ICONIC AND SHOULD REMAIN AS THEY ARE WITH THE MINIMUM OF DISRUPTION.
<b>PC13</b>	MAKE SURE LOCALS ARE NOT DISRUPTED DURING REDEVELOPMENT.
<b>PC14</b>	I AM VERY CONCERNED THAT THE PROPOSED REDEVELOPMENT WILL CAUSE CHAOS FOR MANY YEARS, PLACING AN EXTREME STRAIN ON THE ROADS IN THE AREA.

#### GREEN DESIGN

<b>GD1</b>	THE SUSTAINABLE STANDARD SHOULD BE THE HIGHEST ECOHOME RATING CODE LEVEL 6. THE DEVELOPERS GIVE THE IMPRESSION OF RUSHING TO ANTICIPATE THE INTRODUCTION OF THE MORE ROBUST LEGISLATION DEMAND OF ZERO-CARBON EMISSION REQUIREMENT IN 2014.
<b>GD2</b>	REGARDING LAYOUT: IN ORDER TO REDUCE LIGHTING AND HEATING BILLS, THE IDEAL SITE LAYOUT WOULD ALLOW SOUTH-FACING WINDOWS PRIORITY BY ORIENTATION OF BLOCKS OF BUILDINGS ONE FLAT DEEP (IE DUAL ASPECT) ON LONG EAST-WEST GRIDS. THIS IS TYPICAL IN KENSINGTON, AND ALLOWS OPTIMUM SUNLIGHT PENETRATION. THE FUTURE ADAPTABILITY OF THE BUILDINGS FOR OTHER USES, OR FOR NEW TYPES OF OCCUPANCY, SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN ORDER TO EXTEND THE LONG-TERM LIFESPAN OF THE BUILDINGS, IE CROSS-BRACING TRIANGULATION OF THE EXTERNAL SHELLS ACTS TO BEAR LOADS ALLOWING ENORMOUS FLEXIBILITY IN INTERNAL DESIGN.

<b>GD3</b>	THERE SHOULD BE CHP, AND WIND-POWER AS AN ENERGY SOURCE COULD BE EXPLORED. GREEN ROOFS, AND GREEN EXTERNAL SOUTH-FACING WALLS SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED; LASER-ETCHED METAL SCREENS ACT AS SOLAR SHADING AND CLIMBING STRUCTURES FOR PLANTS. PROVISION FOR RAINWATER HARVESTING SHOULD BE INCORPORATED, WHEREBY RAIN IS COLLECTED AND USED FOR NON-DRINKING NEEDS, SAVING WATER, AND REDUCING FLOODING OF DRAINS.
<b>GD4</b>	I SUPPORT THE CALL FOR PARKER-MORRIS SPACE STANDARDS TO BE IMPLEMENTED. GENEROUS WINDOW SIZES ENABLE MORE LIGHT TO ENTER THE HOMES. NOISE TRANSMISSION BETWEEN HOMES IS AN IMPORTANT PRIVACY ISSUE; THE BEST NOISE INSULATION SHOULD BE SOUGHT; TIMBER-FRAME CONSTRUCTION LESSENS SOUND INVASION. THE LEAST DISTANCE BETWEEN OPPOSITE HABITABLE ROOMS SHOULD BE 22 METRES. OUTDOOR SPACE IN THE FORM OF BALCONIES OF AT LEAST 3 SQ M IN EXTENT ARE IMPORTANT, RECEIVING DIRECT SUNLIGHT FOR SOME PART OF THE DAY, AND DESIGNED TO BE PRIVATE BY PARTITIONS. NATURAL VENTILATION FROM PREVAILING WINDS IS PREFERABLE TO AIR-CONDITIONING. ROOF SCOOPS CAN MOVE WITH WIND. THE CONTINGENCY FOR LEGITIMATE SMALL-SCALE INDUSTRIAL PYROLYSIS IS WELCOME AS THE COST OF CONVENTIONAL ENERGY SOURCES IS FORECAST TO ESCALATE EXPONENTIALLY. UNDERGROUND ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF WASTE AND GENERATION OF BIOGAS, AND MICRO-ALGAE TECHNOLOGY, AND GROUND SOURCE HEAT, ARE POSSIBILITIES.
<b>GD5</b>	PLEASE CONSIDER AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE INTEGRATING GREEN SPACES, RENEWABLE ENERGY AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTALLY AWARE ELEMENTS IN THE DESIGN AS THIS PROJECT PROGRESSES!
<b>GD5</b>	TIDY UP THE AREA IN GENERAL, PROVIDE A CLEANER, SAFER AREA.
<b>GD6</b>	BIODIVERSITY IS CLINGING BY ITS FINGERTIPS. THE AREA REPRESENTS A VERY PRECIOUS GREEN CORRIDOR *UNCLEAR* TO COUNTER'S *UNCLEAR* & THE HISTORIC MARKET GARDENS THAT USED TO OCCUPY THE LAND.
	<b>PUBLIC HEALTH</b>
<b>PH1</b>	ANY LONG-TERM BUILD PLAN WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO RESIDENTS' HEALTH.
<b>PH2</b>	CLEAN UP ESTATES IE - CLEAN ATLEE COURT, FULHAM COURT ETC.
<b>PH3</b>	AREA LOOKS BLEAK - NEEDS CHEERING UP - SEAGRAVE ROAD DEPRESSING - ISOLATING - NOT MANY GOOD DECENT SHOPS - STREETS NOT ALWAYS CLEAN DUE TO PEOPLES RUBBISH
<b>PH4</b>	THIS AREA IS A BIT OF A MESS & COULD BE TIDIED UP BUT IN A WAY THAT IS ITS ATTRACTION. I LIKE IT HERE.
<b>PH5</b>	FULHAM MUST BE THE DIRTIEST BOROUGH I HAVE EVER LIVED IN - TOO MUCH MONEY SPENT ON FANCY NEW ROADWAY & TOO LITTLE ON PRUNING TREES & HEDGES BY PATHWAYS. SERVE NOTICES ON OWNERS.
<b>PH6</b>	NORTH END RD IS SQUALID AND SHOPS HAVE BEEN DIVIDED UP INTO 'SOUK' BOOTHS. THERE NEED TO BE MUCH TIGHTER RESTRICTIONS ON TENANTS RE: CLEANLINESS & JUNK ON ROAD ETC.
<b>PH7</b>	THERE SEEM TO BE A NUMBER OF AREAS THAT ARE BECOMING QUITE RUN DOWN. THE FACT THAT IN THE CURRENT ECONOMIC CLIMATE SO MANY SMALL BUSINESSES ARE GOING BUST DOES NOT HELP. ON THE NORTH END ROAD THERE ARE NOW MANY CLOSED AND RUN DOWN SHOPS.
<b>PH8</b>	THERE IS MUCH TO LIKE ABOUT LIVING IN THIS AREA AND IT IS WELL-CONNECTED. BUT PARTS OF IT FEEL NEGLECTED OR EVEN BLIGHTED, AND UNLOVED. IT IS POORLY MAINTAINED AND SHABBY WITH LITTER AND PATCHED PAVEMENTS. IT FEELS ON THE EDGE.
<b>PH9</b>	EARLS COURT HAS THE ADVANTAGE OF A CENTRAL LOCATION. GENERALLY SAFE NEIGHBOURHOOD, BUT IS DETERIORATING RAPIDLY WITH THE INFLUX OF DRUG DEALING AND HIDDEN BROTHELS. THE DISTRICT IS GRADUALLY LOOKING DATED AND TIRED.

<b>PH10</b>	PEOPLE NEED TO PICK UP THEIR DOG POO!! ALLEYWAY BETWEEN PALACE LAUNDRY AND STEWART'S GARAGES NEEDS TO BE RESURFACED & CLEANED MORE OFTEN - TOO MANY BROKEN BOTTLES.
<b>PH11</b>	CLEAN UP THE DOG SHIT ALL OVER THE STREETS & PARKS.
<b>PH12</b>	EARL'S COURT RD IS VERY DIRTY
<b>PH13</b>	NEIGHBOURHOOD LOOKS DIRTY / RUN DOWN. LITTER AND DOG FOULING!
<b>PH14</b>	CLEANER STREETS NEEDED.
<b>PH15</b>	IN MY AREA THE STREETS ARE FULL OF RUBBISH. IS THERE ANYTHING THAT CAN BE DONE.
<b>PH16</b>	WARWICK ROAD IS A MESS - RUBBISH & DIRTY, UNKEMPT FRONTAGES. WE NEED MORE FACILITIES IN THE WARWICK RD AREA.
<b>PH17</b>	PEOPLE SHOULD KEEP AREA MORE CLEANER, MANY OLD BEDS & TV'S ON PAVEMENTS, NOT VERY CLEAN.
<b>PH18</b>	THE GENERAL WEST KEN AREA SEEMS QUITE GROTTY AND COULD DO WITH A BIG CLEAN UP.
<b>PH19</b>	BUILDINGS ARE DIRTY, NEGLECTED & THE WHOLE AREA IS RUN DOWN & IS NOT SAFE AT NIGHT. BETTER STREET LIGHTING & POLICE PRESENCE NEEDED.
<b>PH20</b>	STILL FEELS A BIT RUN DOWN.
<b>PH21</b>	THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH PEST CONTROL.
<b>PH22</b>	STREET CLEANING TO BE INCREASED. DO NOT WANT TO SEE THE LIGHTING CHANGED AS IN SOME OTHER AREAS, BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF HEALTH & SAFETY, WHEN WALKING HOME FROM THE TUBE STATION.
<b>PH23</b>	STREETS COULD BE CLEANER. THE CAR PARK IN SEAGRAVE RD IS A REAL MESS ALONGSIDE THE PAVEMENT.
<b>PH24</b>	CLEAN THE AREA NEAR TUBE STATION EXITS.
<b>PH25</b>	COULD DO WITH MORE DOG *UNCLEAR* AS A FEW CULPRITS DO NOT CLEAN UP.
<b>PH26</b>	HEALTH NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED.

#### NOISE

<b>N1</b>	THE AREA IS AMONG LONDON'S NOISIEST.
-----------	--------------------------------------

<b>N2</b>	PLEASE INCLUDE IN YOUR FRAMEWORK CRITERIA TO ENSURE THAT NOISE POLLUTION IS REMOVED FROM THE AREA.
<b>N3</b>	I DO NOT WANT ANYMORE MUSIC VENUES, OR PUBS PUTTING ON LATE NIGHT MUSIC!

#### **RUN DOWN ENVIRONMENT**

<b>RD1</b>	MUCH OF THE AREA IS VERY RUN DOWN & GRUBBY IN THE AREA AROUND WEST KEN TUBE STATION.
<b>RD2</b>	NORTH END ROAD IS NOISY & UGLY & POLLUTED. TALGARTH ROAD CROSSING IS QUITE INCONVENIENT. MOST RESIDENTS DO NOT RECYCLE. THERE IS NO COMMUNITY. THERE ARE MICE EVERYWHERE.
<b>RD3</b>	AREA DIRTY, POORLY CLEANED, ESTATE AGENT BOARDS BLIGHT THE AREA - SHOCKING. WEST KENSINGTON STATION POOR LOOKING
<b>RD4</b>	I DO NOT LIVE IN THE IMMEDIATE AREA SO I DO NOT RELY ON THE FACILITIES SO MUCH. I DO CYCLE THROUGH THE AREA AND IN MY OPINION IT SHOULD BE CLEANER.
<b>RD5</b>	RECENTLY MOVED TO AREA. REALLY THINK PAVEMENTS ARE VERY DIRTY - ESP DOG MESS.

#### **WASTE**

<b>W1</b>	LILLIE ROAD MARKETS SHOULD BE MOVED - CREATES A NUISANCE AND CONGESTION ON THE PAVEMENT AND RUBBISH ON THE STREETS. GENERALLY, RUBBISH ON THE STREETS NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED.
<b>W2</b>	PROVISION FOR REFUSE INCLUDING ALL WASTE TO BE TRANSFERED ON SITE AND REMOVED BY RAIL OR ROAD ON TO THE A4 AND NOT LOCAL ROADS.
<b>W3</b>	REFUSE COLLECTION & RECYCLING?
<b>W4</b>	CLEAN STREETS
<b>W5</b>	CLEANLINESS THEREFORE MORE STREET CLEANERS AND BINS.

#### **WATER**

<b>WA1</b>	THERE ARE ALREADY SERIOUS SURFACE WATER FLOOD PROBLEMS WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN SOLVED IN THE BOROUGH, AND THIS WILL COMPOUND THOSE PROBLEMS.
------------	--

#### **WILDLIFE**

<b>WI1</b>	THERE SHOULD ALSO BE PROVISIONS FOR WILDLIFE.
<b>WI2</b>	'ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES' SHOULD INCLUDE PROMOTING BIODIVERSITY BY PROVIDING MORE HABITAT FOR BIRDS, BATS, INSECTS.

**APPENDIX 7**

**GENERAL COMMENTS**

---

**THE CASE FOR RE-DEVELOPMENT**

<b>CD1</b>	I DOUBT VERY MUCH AS IN THE CASE OF THE SCRAPPING OF THE WESTERN EXTENTION OF THE CONGESTION CHARGE THAT MY OPINIONS WILL ACCOUNT FOR ZERO.
<b>CD2</b>	IF THIS IS FOR A HIGH END RESIDENTIAL PROJECT IT MIGHT BE GOOD FOR THE AREA. I HAD THOUGHT EARLS COURT WAS GOING TO GET REDEVELOPED FOR A NEW STADIUM ?
<b>CD3</b>	FOR SALES IN NEW DEVELOPMENT TO REACH THEIR MAX POTENTIAL CAPCO SHOULD BEGIN WORK WITH THE RESIDENTS OF EARLS CT TO MASSIVELY IMPROVE THE AREA'S EXISTING CULTURAL AND COMMUNITY LIFE. THIS WILL ENHANCE LIFE FOR THE EXISTING RESIDENTS AND CREATE THE DESIRABILITY CAPCO NEEDS TO SELL THEIR PROPERTIES. IN SHORT MAKING EARLS COURT THE COOLEST PLACE IN LONDON WILL HELP SELL REAL ESTATE.
<b>CD4</b>	HIGH DENSITY HOUSING ,FOR WHO I AM NOT SURE DOES NOT MAKE SENSE TO ME , I LOVE THE THE ECLECTIC MIX AND BUZZ OF EARLS COURT AS IT IS WITH ITS TOURISTS , PHILIPINO COMMUNITY AND ETHNIC SHOPS , I DONT SEE THAT THIS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WILL BENEFIT LOCALS OR VISITORS.
<b>CD5</b>	I BELIEVE THAT THE PROPOSED CHANGES WOULD HAVE AN UNFAVOURABLE CHANGE ON THE NATURE OF EARLS COURT AS WELL AS THREATENING A PLETHORA OF SMALL LOCAL BUSINESSES.
<b>CD6</b>	MORE AND MORE SHOPS ARE CLOSING AND I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT THE PROPOSED PLANS TO THE HISTORIC EXHIBITION CENTRE WILL DO TO BOTH RETAILERS AND THE LOCAL COMMUNITY. I WORK IN PADDINGTON BASIN WHICH WAS DEVELOPED IN A SIMILAR WAY TO THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO EARLS COURT AND IT IS A DEAD AREA. THE LOCAL SHOPS COME AND GO, WE AS WORKERS WOULD RATHER WORK SOMEWHERE MORE VIBRANT (BUT DON'T HAVE A CHOICE) AND THERE IS NO SIGN OF LOCAL PEOPLE LIVING THERE - RATHER THE FLATS SEEM TO HAVE BEEN BOUGHT AS INVESTMENTS BY PEOPLE FROM OVERSEAS. IT WOULD BE A CRYING SHAME IF THIS WERE TO HAPPEN TO EARLS COURT AND YOU HAVE THE CHANCE TO STOP THIS NOW BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE.
<b>CD7</b>	THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR MIXED USES OF FLATS / LEISURE & BUSINESS SPACE WITH HIGH DENSITY ON TOP OF THE TRANSPORT INTERCHANGE. REBUILD THE EXHIBITION SPACE AS PART OF A MAJOR REDEVELOPMENT.
<b>CD8</b>	THERE ARE ENOUGH OFFICES / BUSINESSES & EATARIES ALREADY.
<b>CD9</b>	QUALITY OF LIFE IS AS GOOD FOR RESIDENTIAL PEOPLE AS FOR BUSINESS AREAS.
<b>CD10</b>	I LIKE THE MIX OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC GROUPS AND THE "REAL" COMMUNITY FEEL THAT COMES FROM THIS AND FROM AMENITIES LIKE THE MARKET, INDEPENDENT SHOPS, LIBRARIES, PUBS, VICTORIAN STREETS.
<b>CD11</b>	YES - I WANTED TO SELL OUR FLAT WHEN ALL THIS STARTED AND I JUST WANT YOU TO GET ON WITH THE JOB AND COMPENSATE US - QUICKLY! THIS WHOLE ESTATE IS A BLIGHT ON THE LANDSCAPE! JUST GET RID OF IT!
<b>CD12</b>	WEST KENSINGTON HAS BECOME A CENTRE OF GRAVITY FOR THE DISABLED, REFUGEES AND THE VERY POOR. THE COUNCIL ESTATES NEED DEMOLISHING & RELOCATING.
<b>CD13</b>	THERE IS CLEARLY NO NEED TO REDEVELOP A PERFECTLY GOOD PART OF RESIDENTIAL & COMMERCIAL LONDON. IT MUST BE BEING CONSIDERED FOR MONEY FROM SOME COMMERCIAL ENTREPRENEUR.
<b>CD14</b>	WHAT RESIDENTS THINK WILL NEVER COUNT AGAINST "BUSINESS INTERESTS" AREA IS TOO CROWDED ALREADY. LOCAL PEOPLE ARE PUSHED OUT IN FAVOUR OF RICH YUPPIES. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THIS SCHEME WILL, OR IS INTENDED TO BENEFIT CURRENT RESIDENTS / COMMUNITIES.
<b>CD15</b>	I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE DEMOLITION OF OUR WEST KENSINGTON HOUSING ESTATE. YOU DID NOT ASK US IF WE AGREE OR NOT!!! AS YOU ARE SO ARROGANT AND DON'T CARE ABOUT WHAT WE WANT.

<b>CD16</b>	THE DEVELOPMENT WILL DOUBTLESSLY BENEFIT THE DEVELOPERS AND WILL FILL THE COFFERS OF THE COUNCILS CONCERNED BUT IT IS HARD TO SEE HOW PLANS TO DEMOLISH THE AREA'S RESIDUAL ARCHITECTURAL HERITAGE WILL ENHANCE THE LIVING ENVIRONMENT OF THOSE WHO LIVE THERE OR PRESERVE THE COMPLEXITY, DIVERSITY AND EXCITEMENT OF LONDON STREETLIFE.
<b>CD17</b>	THIS PLACE IS A LEGENDARY ONE. IT IS FULL OF RECORDS, FEELINGS FROM OTHER TIME TO NOWADAYS. EVEN PEOPLE WHO HAD NEVER BEEN THERE BEFORE - I MEAN IN ANOTHER ERA - CAN FEEL AND APPRECIATE THE HERITAGE OF THIS PLACE. IT SHOULD STAY THE WAY IT IS, TO CONTINUE GIVING TO YOUNGEST PEOPLE THE PRESENTS THE PLACE HAS TO DELIVER.
<b>CD18</b>	I AM NOT VERY HAPPY FOR REDEVELOPMENT OF THIS SCALE TO GO ON IN SUCH A SMALL ALREADY CONGESTED AREA!!
<b>CD19</b>	IT WOULD BE GREAT TO LEAVE THE AREA AS IT IS WITH IT'S CHARME AND NOT TURN IT INTO ANOTHER MODERN PROJECT. THE OLD BUILDINGS ARE LOVELY AND IF YOU NEED TO SPEND MONEY - DO SOME REPAIRS - BUT DON'T PULL THE OLD CENTRE DOWN - THAT WOULD BE A SHAME.
<b>CD20</b>	I DO NOT THINK THE LOCAL RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY HAS BEEN GIVEN ENOUGH SAY IN THIS DEVELOPMENT - THE PLANNERS AND DEVELOPERS ARE PUSHING THIS THROUGH WITHOUT SUFFICIENT CONSULTATION AND THOUGHT - IT LOOKS AND SOUNDS LIKE IT WILL BECOME AN URBAN MESS IF PLANS GO THROUGH AS PLANNED. I HOPE IT CAN BE STOPPED AND RE THOUGHT THROUGH.
<b>CD21</b>	I BELIEVE THIS IS A PRIME OPPORTUNITY TO TOTALLY REVISIT THE FUNADMENTLAS OF EARLS COURT WHERE I HAVE LIVED FOR 20 YEARS.
<b>CD22</b>	THE IDEA OF CREATING A 'VILLAGE' OVER A SMALL AMOUNT OF YEARS SEEMS LUDICROUS AND FOLLOWS THE TREND OF GENTRIFYING THE CHARACTER AND CHARM OF THE LARGE GROUP OF VILLAGES WE CALL LONDON.
<b>CD23</b>	THE TRUNK ROADS BREAK UP THE COMMUNITY. REALISTICALLY THERE MAY NOT BE A SOLUTION. BUT IF THERE WAS ONE, IT WOULD BE GRAND TO HAVE IT APPLIED!
<b>CD24</b>	PLEASE FORGIVE ME, BUT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE EXHIBITION CENTRE COMPLETELY MISSES WHAT I SEE AS THE MAIN POINT. IN A VERY SMALL AREA, SMALLER THAN THE CITY OF LONDON, AN AREA YOU CAN WALK ROUND IN LESS THAN AN HOUR, THERE ARE SOME 10,000 RESIDENTS IF YOU INCLUDE CHILDREN. (HOW DOES THAT COMPARE WITH HONG KONG'S WALLED CITY?) EARL'S COURT IS ALREADY OVERCROWDED, VASTLY OVERCROWDED.
<b>CD25</b>	ONE OF THE JOYS OF THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS THAT IT IS EMPTY SPACE. NOBODY LIVES IN IT. PEOPLE COME AND GO, BUT ONCE THEY'VE GONE THEY'VE GONE. THERE IS SPACE IN FRONT, THERE IS SPACE AT EACH SIDE, THERE IS SPACE ON TOP, THERE IS EVEN SPACE INSIDE. WE NEED SPACE, WE NEED OPEN SPACE, WE NEED EMPTY SPACE. NOT MORE BUILDINGS, NOT MORE PEOPLE. IT'S NOT NIMBYISM (ALTHOUGH I HAVE NOTHING AGAINST NIMBYISM), BUT IT WOULD DESTROY THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA.
<b>CD26</b>	THERE ARE ALREADY TOO MANY HIGH RISE BUILDINGS IN CENTRAL LONDON, WHICH UNFORTUNATELY IS CHANGING THE LOOK OF THIS CITY TO RESEMBLE MANY OTHERS DOTTED ALL OVER THE WORLD. PLEASE RECONSIDER THIS PROJECT - AND KEEP THE COMMUNITY IN MIND.
<b>CD27</b>	I DO NOT SEE THE POINT OF RE-DEVELOPING THE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTER NOR THE EMPRESS STATE BUILDING. IT IS NOT GOING TO BENEFIT THE LOCAL COMMUNITY IN ANY WAY.
<b>CD28</b>	EARL'S COURT HAS A PARTICULAR AND SPECIAL ATMOSPHERE AND COMMUNITY - IT SHOULD BE KEPT AS MUCH AS IT IS AS POSSIBLE.
<b>CD29</b>	PLEASE DO NOT DESTROY THESE EXHIBITION BUILDINGS. THEY ARE PRECIOUS MONUMENTS AND LONDON IS SADLY SO FULL OR DREADFUL ARCHTECTURE AND POINTLESS DEVELOPMENTS.
<b>CD30</b>	THE CABAL FORMED BETWEEN THE LANDOWNERS LBHF, NETWORK RAIL, AND CAPITOL & COUNTIES IS LAID OPEN TO ACCUSATION OF IMPROPIETY, BY UNFAIR LOSS OF COMPETITION FOR PLANNING CONSENT. THE RESIDENTS ARE THEREBY BEING HINDERED FROM BLOCKING THE PROPOSED DEMOLITION OF THEIR HOMES. THE DEVELOPERS ARE PROPOSING A HIGH HOUSING DENSITY SCHEME. THIS CASE OF 'TOWN-CRAMMING' IS NOT WITNESSED IN RBKC. THERE IS A DANGER THAT THE 'PACKED-IN' NATURE OF THIS REGENERATION COULD SAP THE SPIRIT OF RESIDENTS, REMOVING THEIR HOPE AND AMBITION. THE HOUSING DENSITY SHOULD BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SITE'S NON-CENTRAL LOCATION, AND THAT IT IS A PROPOSED MIXED-USE SCHEME. THE DEVELOPERS WOULD ARGUE THAT THE PUBLIC TRANSPORT ACCESSIBILITY LEVEL IS GOING TO BE HIGH IF THERE ARE IMPROVEMENTS. BUT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE GUARANTEE OF THE DELIVERY OF THESE IMPROVEMENTS, FOR WHICH THE DEVELOPERS THEMSELVES WILL BE RESPONSIBLE. IMPERIAL WHARF



	STATION WAS DELAYED OVER SIX YEARS.
CD31	I HAVE LIVED IN EARLS COURT FOR MORE THAN 20 YEARS. THE AREA HAS IMPROVED GREATLY IN THAT TIME, HOWEVER THE IMPACT OF SOCIAL HOUSING AND 'DROP IN' CENTRES IS DRAGGING THE AREA DOWN!
CD32	THIS AREA IS PRIME REAL ESTATE IN TERMS OF ITS LOCATION. HOWEVER, THE CURRENT QUALITY OF ITS BUILDING IS GENERALLY VERY POOR.
CD33	PLEASE USE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SOMETHING BEAUTIFUL THAT IS GOING TO IMPROVE THE AREA AND LAST THROUGH THE YEARS AS AN INSPIRATION OF HARMONIOUS DESIGN.
CD34	PLEASE USE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO DO SOMETHING OF QUALITY THAT WOULD STAND THE TEST OF TIME.
CD35	REDEVELOPMENT. THE AREA IS LONG OVERDUE. WEST KENSINGTON ESTATE SHOULD BE REDEVELOP. AS IT IS FULL OF CRIME ANOTHER SMALLER BUILDING SHOULD IN PLACE.
CD36	I LIVE NEAR BARONS COURT. I LOVE THE VICTORIAN HOUSES IN THIS AREA AND THE TREES. IT'S VERY SAFE AND WE HAVE A GOOD SENSE OF COMMUNITY.
CD37	WE LIVE IN A LOVELY PERIOD BUILDING ON ONGAR RD. IT'S A GREAT AREA AND VERY DIVERSE, WE ESPECIALLY LOVE THE RANGE OF SHOPS ON NORTH END RD AND THE MARKET. WE WOULD HATE FOR THE AREA TO BE REDEVELOPED SO THEY COULDN'T AFFORD IT.
CD38	I AM NOT CONVINCED THAT THIS NEW DEVELOPMENT WILL DO THE AREA ANY BENEFITS. IT WILL NOT BE A NATURALLY GROWN AREA BUT AN ARTIFICIAL DEVELOPMENT LIKE CHELSEA HARBOUR WHICH LACKS ANY IDENTITY AND COMMUNITY FEEL THAT HAS E.C. NOW.
CD39	GREAT TO HEAR THERE IS AN INTENTION TO IMPROVE THE AREA. IN THE 12 YEAR'S I'VE LIVED HERE, IT'S BEEN SAD TO SEE THE AREA GOING DOWNHILL RATHER THAN IMPROVE AND BECOME MORE COMESIVE.
CD40	ANY GOOD CHANGES AND IMPROVEMENTS WOULD BE WELCOME - THE AREA IS A MESS AT THE MOMENT.
CD41	MY COMMENTS ARE AS A NEIGHBOURING RESIDENT IN LONGRIDGE ROAD. THIS IS A BIG OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT IF PROPERLY HANDLED.
CD42	I DO NOT BELIEVE IN THIS PLANNING - NEVER WILL BE SUSTAINED BY ME.
CD43	I HOPE ANY NEW DEVELOPMENT OF THE SPECIFIED AREA, STRENGTHENS THE SENSE OF COMMUNITY AND CREATES AN ENVIROMENT THAT ENCOURAGES POSITIVE INTERACTION BETWEEN ALL THOSE THAT LIVE AND WORK THERE.
CD44	WEST KENSINGTON ESTATE WAS BADLY BUILT AS WAS ATTLEE ESTATE.
CD45	CHANGE SHOULD NOT LIMIT ACCESS TO SOCIAL HOUSING BUT SHOULD ENHANCE & BENEFIT ALL
CD46	THE AREA STILL HAS A LATE VICTORIAN FEEL. THE RATHER DOWN AT HEEL TERRACES SHOULD BE REFURBISHED, NOT PULLED DOWN. THEY GIVE THE AREA ITS CHARACTER.
CD47	THE AREA IS AN EYESORE IN WHAT COULD BE A LOVELY RESIDENTIAL AREA WITH PARKS & ATTRACTIVE HOUSING / CULTURAL AREA. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM TAKEN DOWN AND SEE THE AREA REGENERATED. IT IS AN URBAN GHETTO.

<b>CD48</b>	DON'T WANT THE AREA TO LOSE ITS COMMUNITY FEEL. IF THE EXHIBITION CENTRE IS REDEVELOPED INTO FLATS, LOCAL BUSINESSES COULD LOSE OUT, AS THERE WOULD BE FEWER VISITORS. COULD BECOME A FACELESS WASTELAND.
<b>CD49</b>	PLANNING DECISIONS NEED TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE WISHES OF THE RESIDENTS ON WHOM THEY IMPACT & BE LESS LABORIOUS AND STRINGENT (IE SOME FLEXIBILITY IN SO CALLED CONSERVATION AREAS ESPECIALLY AROUND THE HOLLAND ROAD AREA.
<b>CD50</b>	RENOVATE THE PERIOD BUILDINGS AND IT WILL RESTORE PRIDE TO THE AREA. AND KEEP IT CLEAN IT IS REALLY FILTHY WHICH MAKES IT DEPRESSING
<b>CD51</b>	AREA DOES NEED REDEVELOPMENT, GENERALLY SMARTENING UP A BIT, WEST KEN IS THE FORGOTTEN PART OF WEST LONDON,
<b>CD52</b>	MIXED USE REDEVELOPMENT REQD - AREA IS VERY RUN DOWN. MIX OF SOCIAL HOUSING / PRIVATE / BUSINESS
<b>CD53</b>	*UNCLEAR* THIS AREA BEHIND EARLS COURT IS QUITE UGLY AND UNAPPEALING TO LIVE IN OR VISIT, ANY MODERNISATION WILL BE BENEFICIAL.
<b>CD54</b>	THERE IS MUCH ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT IN THE AREA.
<b>CD55</b>	THERE IS ALREADY ENOUGH REDEVELOPMENT GOING ON AROUND EARLS COURT - IT DOES NOT NEED FURTHER DEVELOPMENT!! IT WOULD BE A REAL SHAME TO REDEVELOP IT.
<b>CD56</b>	WITH THIS PROPOSED REDEVELOPMENT THE CITY PLANNERS HAVE A HUGE RESPONSIBILITY TO MEET MANY COMPETING DEMANDS. ONE FUNDAMENTAL CRITERIA COULD BE: WILL THE RE-DEVELOPMENT ENHANCE THE AREA AND IMPROVE THE LIVES OF THOSE WHO LIVE & WORK IN THAT COMMUNITY
<b>CD57</b>	EARLS COURT RD & NORTHEND ROAD ARE A MESS! THEY ARE NOT ATTRACTIVE AS A LOCAL OR TOURIST VISITING THE EXHIBITION CENTRES.
<b>CD58</b>	AS A FOCUS POINT DUE TO EXHIBITION CENTRES, THE SURROUNDING AREA COULD BE MORE ASPIRATIONAL - AN EXAMPLE TO THOSE WHO ARE PASSING THROUGH.
<b>CD59</b>	THE AREA NEEDS UPDATING IT LOOKS TOO OLD. IF IT MEANS MORE JOBS, HOUSES AND MUCH MORE IT WILL BE MUCH BETTER FOR EVERYONE.
<b>CD60</b>	WEST KENSINGTON AND NORTHEND ROAD ARE GENERALLY VERY RUN DOWN AND EMBARRASSING TO RESIDENTS AND LOCAL BUSINESSES. THE AREA IS IN NEED OF RE-GENERATION DESPERATELY TO BOOST UP BUSINESSES, QUALITY OF LIFE AND ASPIRATIONS FOR THE YOUTH.
<b>CD61</b>	I THINK IT IS RIDICULOUS TO PULL DOWN WEST KEN ESTATE AND BUILD FOR PRIVATE USE AND BUSINESSES & NEW RESTAURANTS / SHOPS ARE LASTING ON AVERAGE A COUPLE OF MONTHS IT WILL BE OVERLOADED AND BASIC GREED TO BUILD FOR PRIVATE.
<b>CD62</b>	NEW PLANNING NEEDS TO BE CONSIDERED CAREFULLY AS NEW DEVELOPMENTS CAN DESTROY A COMMUNITY OR "FEEL" OF AN AREA. HORTENSIA RD IS A PRIME EXAMPLE - NEW "TOWER BLOCKS" HAVE BLOCKED LIGHT AND SPACE FROM THIS AREA.
<b>CD63</b>	YOU CAN'T AFFORD ANY CHANGES
<b>CD64</b>	THIS AREA IS VIBRANT, LETS KEEP IT THAT WAY.
<b>CD65</b>	ANY DEVELOPMENT SHOULD REGARD WHY PEOPLE WANT TO LIVE IN RBKC - 19TH C STYLE OF BUILDINGS. GARDEN SQUARE, TREES, LOCAL SHOPPING CENTRE, LOCALIZED COMMUNITIES. DO NOT LET URBAN PLANNERS AND SHORT EGOIST ARCHITECT, *UNCLEAR*

<b>CD66</b>	I THINK THE AREA COULD BE <b>REMORDINED</b>
<b>CD67</b>	WHY IS NORTH OF A4 NOT CONSIDERED. COUNCIL HOUSING BETWEEN OLYMPIA ST & WEST KEN IS VERY POOR AND LOWERS THE QUALITY OF THE AREA. AMENITIES ALSO POOR. NORTH END ROAD TOO BUSY. POOR SOCIAL QUALITY.
<b>CD68</b>	WHERE WILL THE CURRENT TENANTS GO. WILL THEY HAVE AN OPTION TO MOVE BACK OR WILL ALL THE UNITS BE ONLY FOR SHARED OWNERSHIP? WILL THERE BE ANY ONE BED PROPERTIES INCLUDED.
<b>CD69</b>	I HAVE LIVED IN THE AREA FOR 32 YEARS AND FEEL PART OF A COMMUNITY. I AM SORRY THAT I DO NOT HAVE STRONG FEELINGS ABOUT THE BUILDINGS IN SECTION 4 URBAN DESIGN AND ARCHITECTURE OF THE BUILDINGS MENTIONED.
<b>CD70</b>	AS I DON'T LIVE IN THE AREA & SELDOM GO THERE I DON'T FEEL I SHOULD COMMENT. HOWEVER, I DEFINATELY WOULD NOT LIKE TO LIVE ON THE WEST KEN ESTATE & THINK IT WOULD BENEFIT FROM MORE FAMILY HOUSES & LOW RISE.
<b>CD71</b>	I STRONGLY SUPPORT THE REDEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNCIL ESTATE AS IT IS AN EYE SORE AND A 'NO GO' AREA DUE TO ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR.
<b>CD72</b>	AREA IS GENERALLY RUNDOWN WITH LITTLE QUALITY HOUSING OR DECENT SHOPS. IS THIS THE TYPE OF AREA / IMPRESSION WE WANT TO GIVE INTERNATIONAL VISITORS TO LONDON WHEN THEY COME TO THE EXHIBITION CENTRES?
<b>CD73</b>	I THINK IS REALLY EXCITING. MY THOUGHTS ARE THIS NEEDS SOME SERIOUS THOUGHT. THIS AREA WAS ALWAYS MEANT TO BE SMART AND I THINK THERE NEEDS TO BE A CLEAN UP OF NORTH END ROAD. THREATENING AND HAS A BAD REPUTATION. NEEDS A VARIETY OF SHOPS.
<b>CD74</b>	WE DO NOT NEED ANY MORE TALL BUILDINGS OR MORE PEOPLE MOVING IN TO THIS AREA AS WE DON'T HAVE ANY SPACE TO MOVE NOW THIS AREA IS TOO OVERCROWDED WITH PEOPLE AND TRAFFIC AND THE FUMES.
<b>CD75</b>	A GOLDEN OPPORTUNITY TO REDEVELOP A LARGE AREA WITH MIXED DEVELOPMENT INCORPORATING SHOPS, OFFICES, HOUSING AND LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
<b>CD76</b>	ANY DEVELOPMENT WILL BRING A GREAT AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC - AND PEOPLE
<b>CD77</b>	NORTH END RD IS A NIGHTMARE. WHILE I DON'T THINK THE BUILDING, AS IT IS AT THE MOMENT, IS VERY ATTRACTIVE, I DO THINK THAT IT'S AN INTERESTING LANDMARK. I HOPE THAT WHATEVER REPLACES IT IS NOT ANOTHER BORING OFFICE BLOCK.
<b>CD78</b>	PLEASE CONTINUE TO MAKE KENSINGTON AN ATTRACTIVE (VIA BLDGS ETC) & LIVELY AREA - ESPECIALLY VIA ARTS PROGRAMMES.
<b>CD79</b>	I THINK THIS AREA HAS ITS OWN CHARACTER & REPRESENTS THE MIX OF OLD & NEW, RESIDENTIAL & COMMERCIAL AND AN ETHNIC ASSORTMENT THATS TYPIFIES LONDON, AND ITS PEOPLE. ANY LARGE SCALE CHANGES NEED TO CHAMPION THIS DIVERSITY. DV.
<b>CD80</b>	LIKE IT BECAUSE IT IS MIXED AREA. NEEDS NOT TO BE MADE BLAND & UNIFORM BUT COULD DO WITH BETTER TRAFFIC FLOW - HARD TO SEE HOW THIS WOULD BE ACCOMPLISHED. LILLIE RD A BIT BLEAK & RUN DOWN.
<b>CD81</b>	I LOVE LIVING HERE AND WOULD NOT CHANGE A THING.

<b>CD82</b>	WE ARE VERY HAPPY TO LIVE IN THIS AREA BECAUSE OF THE LOCATION (CLOSE TO FULHAM & EARL'S COURT), BUT WE STRONGLY FEEL THAT THIS AREA NEEDS REDEVELOPMENT AS IT WAS ABANDONED FOR MANY YEARS.
<b>CD83</b>	TO ANSWER PARA 4 WOULD MEAN REBUILDING AREA! WEST KENSINGTON IS DYING ON ITS FEEL - SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE DONE WITH IT UNLESS THAT IS THE INTENTION.
<b>CD84</b>	THE HOUSING ESTATES DO NOT INTEGRATE WITH THE REST OF THE AREA. THE AREA AROUND THE STAR PUB ON NORTH END ROAD IS A DESERT AT THE MOMENT.
<b>CD85</b>	NICE AREA TO LIVE (W. KEN), NEAR TO HIGH ST. KEN, N. END MKT, AMENITIES.
<b>CD86</b>	I'VE LIVED IN THE AREA SINCE 1982 AND IN ALL THAT TIME NORTH END ROAD HASN'T IMPROVED.
<b>CD87</b>	THIS IS A GOOD IDEA. THE CURRENT ESTATES BRING DOWN WHAT IS AN OTHERWISE LOVELY AREA. A RE-DESIGN WOULD REJUVENATE THE AREA.
<b>CD88</b>	NO NEW TOWN - PLEASE RESPECT THE CONSERVATION AREA OF THE RBKC!
<b>CD89</b>	THE COMMUNITY IS IMPORTANT. THE RE-DEVELOPMENT SHOULD INCLUDE BUSINESS AS WELL AS RESIDENTIAL SO THAT RESIDENTS CAN GET THEIR GROCERIES, PAPERS, DAILY ITEMS, CLOTHES ETC. LOCALLY. SUPPORT FOR LOCAL & SMALL BUSINESSES IN AFFORDABLE BUL RENTS.
<b>CD90</b>	THE AREA IS REALLY ROUGH WITH TOO MANY RUN DOWN SHOPS AND CHEAP HOUSING. WE NEED BETTER QUALITY SHOPS AND HOUSING TO ATTRACT MIDDLE CLASSES. MAKE IT MORE LIKE CHISWICK.
<b>CD91</b>	I DO NOT WISH TO VACATE MY HOME OF 30 YEARS. NO DEVELOPMENT NEEDED.
<b>CD92</b>	I THINK THE AREA IN QUESTION UNATTRACTIVE, BUT Q.C. GARDENS WHERE I LIVE, IS A PLEASANT OASIS AND IS FAIRLY CENTRAL.
<b>CD93</b>	REDEVELOPMENT WILL RUIN THE WHOLE AREA. NOT NEEDED.
<b>CD94</b>	I WOULD NOT LIKE TO SEE FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE OLYMPIA SITE AS THIS IS IN AN AREA OF VERY DENSE HOUSING.
<b>CD95</b>	THE AREA ON THE WHOLE IS A HOTCH POTCH. EARLS COURT IN PARTICULAR BEING NOTORIOUSLY TRANSIGENT. HOWEVER MUCH GOOD IS IN THERE IN COMMUNITY AS LOWER INCOME HOUSING & WORKING OPPORTUNITIES.
<b>CD96</b>	I THINK THERE COULD BE REFURBISHMENT, FROM THE LOOK OF THE OUTSIDE OF THE PROPERTIES.
<b>CD97</b>	KEEP YOUR HANDS OFF OF MY HOME
<b>CD98</b>	AREA IS ALREADY OVERCROWDED WITH HOUSES, TRANSPORT ETC.
<b>CD99</b>	AIM TO PROVIDE AN INTEGRATED COMMUNITY WITH A HEART OF PUBLIC SERVICES AND PUBLIC SPACES.

<b>CD100</b>	I LIKE THE HOUSE WHERE I LIVE IN NEVERN SQUARE AND WANT IT TO REMAIN AS IT IS, WITH NO CHANGES. I LIKE THE SHOPS, BANKS, POST OFFICE, BUSES AND UNDERGROUND IN EARLS COURT AND DO NOT WANT CHANGES.
<b>CD101</b>	I AM NOT OPPOSED TO REDEVELOPMENT PRE SAY (TO THE CONTRARY) THOUGH HAVE SEEN TOO MANY CONSULTATIONS IN LONDON THAT RESULT IN DIRE TOWN PLANNING. CROYDON IS A PRIME EXAMPLE. ALSO LOOK AT THE RECENT WESTFIELD DEVELOPMENT.
<b>CD102</b>	THIS DEVELOPMENT IS NOT WELCOME BY LOCAL RESIDENTS AT ALL.
<b>CD103</b>	THE AREA I LIVE IN HAS POTENTIAL BUT IS BLIGHTED BY THE UGLY, HIGH RISE, HOUSING ESTATES AND THE HAPHAZARD, UNSIGHTLY, SPRAWLING N. END ROAD MARKET. IT NEEDS SMARTENING UP & SHOP FRONTS STANDARDISED INCLUDING FASCIAS.
<b>CD104</b>	WARWICK ROAD AND TO ITS WEST IS IN NEED OF UPGRADE.
<b>CD105</b>	SEAGRAVE ROAD CAR PARK SHOULD BE DEVELOPED TO PROVIDE A TENNIS CLUB AFFORDABLE FOR ALL IN THE AREA.
<b>CD106</b>	V. MUCH LIKE THE RAILWAY AND THE OPEN AIR TO THE WEST, NOT BLIGHTED BY HIGH-RISE, LIGHT POLLUTION, ROAD NOISE.
<b>CD107</b>	LIKE THE IDEA OF A NEW CENTRE
<b>CD108</b>	YES, THIS AREA IS VERY UGLY, WITH ONLY SPOTS THAT ARE ATTRACTIVE, & THESE MAINLY VICTORIAN. MANY ROADS & ESTATES ARE AWFUL (THOUGH SLIGHTLY IMPROVED RECENTLY)
<b>CD109</b>	NO MORE COUNCIL ESTATES PLEASE & WE NEED MORE SPORTS FACILITIES EG. INDOOR TENNIS COURTS.
<b>CD110</b>	I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY SUCH A VIBRANT & LOVED AREA NEEDS TO BE CHANGED. I CANNOT BELIEVE IT WILL BE USEFUL FOR H&F OR RBKC & IS SIMPLY BEING USED TO MAKE MONEY FOR A COMMERCIAL VENTURE.
<b>CD111</b>	I WOULD HOPE THAT CHANGES COULD BE MADE WITHOUT AFFECTING THE CHARACTER, HISTORY, AND ORIGINALITY OF THIS AREA, AND SURROUNDING AREAS.
<b>CD112</b>	GLAD TO SEE AREA COULD BE REDEVELOPED. AIR QUALITY IS TERRIBLE. GENERALLY, EARLS COURT & WEST KENSINGTON IS SOMEWHERE I TRAVEL THROUGH TO GET SOMEWHERE ELSE.
<b>CD113</b>	THIS AREA NEEDS A MORE VIBRANT SENSE OF COMMUNITY AND OPPORTUNITY. IT HAS A GREAT DEAL OF CHARACTER AND POTENTIAL FOR DEVELOPMENT THAT WILL MAKE IT LESS 'GHETTO-ISED' AND A REALLY LIVELY PART OF LONDON. THE PLANNERS NEED IMAGINATION AND PURPOSE.
<b>CD114</b>	NOT SURE WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING FOR HERE. THE AREA IS DEPRESSED. IT WOULD BE FAB TO SMARTEN IT UP BUT THEN THOSE OF US RENTING WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO AFFORD TO LIVE HERE!!
<b>CD115</b>	THE COUNCIL HAS ALLOWED TOO MANY BUILDINGS (HISTORIC ONES) TO LIE EMPTY AND WHEN THEY ARE REDEVELOPED THEY GO TO MORE PUBS, MORE COFFEE BARS.
<b>CD116</b>	THE AREA IS OVERDEVELOPED. THERE ARE TOO MANY PEOPLE. EXCESSIVE HEIGHT AND DIGGING DEEP BASEMENTS TO BUILDINGS SHOULD BE PROHIBITED.
<b>CD117</b>	EARLS COURT IS UNIQUE - THERE ARE GENUINE CORNERS OF OLD COBBLED STREETS (BEHIND IN REVENUE BUILDING) A WONDERFUL MIX OF PEOPLE, SOME BEAUTIFUL ARCHITECTURE, AND LOVELY GARDEN SQUARES.

CD118	IN GENERAL I THINK THE REDEVELOPMENT OF EARLS CT TO PROVIDE A MORE BALANCED MIX OF USES AND TALLER BUILDINGS TO OFFSET AGAINST EMPRESS STATE BUILDING IS A GREAT IDEA. NEED TO TRY AND DRAG KENSINGTON WEST INTO NEW EARL'S CT DISTRICT.
CD119	QUEENS CLUB GARDEN - V. PLEASANT PLACE TO LIVE - SITUATED BETWEEN BARONS COURT TUBE ST. AND WEST KENSINGTON - LONG WALK AT NIGHT - VERY LITTLE PARKING, ALSO DIFFICULT WALK FROM LOCAL BUSES.
CD120	PLEASE DO NOT HAVE A GIANT RE-DEVELOPMENT, REDEVELOPMENT MAKES PRICES & RENTS GO WAY UP - THAT'S HOW RE-DEVELOPMENT GETS PAID FOR. RIGHT NOW THE AREA IS WONDERFULLY DIVERSE. IF RE-DEVELOPED IT WILL BECOME BLAND, HOMOGENOUS & BORING.
CD121	IT WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE MORE CONCERTS AT THE CENTRES, PLUS FASHION SHOWS - MORE PUBLICITY IF THESE GO AHEAD. GOOD PUBLICITY OF WHAT IS ON ALWAYS HELPS. PULL DOWN UGLY ESTATES AND PUT UP HOUSES WITH TREES / FLOWERS, PLAY AREA, COMMUNITY CENTRES.
CD122	MY COMMENTS RELATE ENTIRELY TO WEST OF THE W L LINE. EARL'S COURT AREA IS VIBRANT, DIVERSE, INTERESTING. WE MUST NOT LOSE THIS.
CD123	THIS SMALL AREA IS ALREADY CROWDED AS IT IS, IT MUST NOT GET OVERCROWDED, THEREFORE NO NEW BUILDINGS OF ANY KIND. MAKE GARDENS AND PLANT TREES FOR THE HEALTH OF THE RESIDENTS.
CD124	BASICALLY I MOVED HERE 30+ YEARS AGO BECAUSE I LOVED THE AREA AND STILL LOVE IT JUST AS IT IS - NO CHANGES ARE NEEDED AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED (BEST IN LONDON).
CD125	WE MUST NOT MISS THE OPPORTUNITY TO UPGRADE NORTHEND ROAD. THE MARKET IS A MESS AND HOUSES / SHOPS NEED AN UPGRADE. TOO MUCH DIVERSITY.
CD126	YES, I DO. I'VE THOUGHT FOR THE PAST TEN YEARS THAT THIS ESTATE IS A BLIGHT ON THE LANDSCAPE. IT'S A GHETTO AND THERE IS NO SENSE OF COMMUNITY DESPITE THE SILLY LEAFLETS GOING ROUND THAT CLAIM IT IS. IT'S NOT NICE AT NIGHT ROUND THE BACK OF THE BLOCKS AND THE LAYOUT FACILITATES A CLOSED IN SAFE AREA FOR ANY YOUTHS WISHING TO CAUSE HAVOC - ACCESS BY POLICE CARS OR PATROLS IS HINDERED AND YOUTHS ARE ABLE TO HIDE BEFORE THE COPS CAN MAKE TO THE INTERIOR OF THE ESTATE. I AM JUST ANGRY THAT I WANTED TO SELL MY FLAT YEARS AGO AND HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO DO SO BECAUSE OF THE RE-DEVELOPMENT PLANS. KNOCK IT ALL DOWN AND LET US BE COMPENSATED FOR THE ANGUISH AND HOLD UP IN BEING ABLE TO MOVE.
CD127	MAKE IT HIGH-DENSITY AND MULTI-USE, WITH GREEN SPACE AND PROPER STREETS, LIKE THE UPPER WEST SIDE OF NEW YORK
CD128	PEOPLE DON'T WANT TO BE MOVED FROM THEIR HOMES AS SPACE STANDARDS ARE NOT WHAT THEY USED TO BE. ANY NEW DEVELOPMENT NEEDS TO CONSIDER THE IMPACT ON THE RESIDENTS WHO LIVE HERE AND NOT LEAVE PEOPLE WITH A POORER QUALITY OF LIFE OR SMALLER SIZED PROPERTIES AS SEEMS TO BE THE CURRENT TREND IN HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS. THE COMMUNITY IS VERY STRONG IN THE AREA WHICH IS OFTEN NOT FULLY UNDERSTOOD OR APPRECIATED BY THOSE WHO DON'T LIVE HERE. HOUSING SHOULD ALSO BE CONSIDERED FOR FAMILIES, ESPECIALLY FOR LARGER FAMILIES I.E THOSE WITH FOUR OR MORE CHILDREN AS THE CURRENT SITUATION IMPACTS BADLY ON LARGER FAMILIES WHO ALL TOO OFTEN IN THE LAST DECADE, HAVE TO REMAIN FOR MANY YEARS IN CRAMPED/OVERCROWDED CONDITIONS IN UNSUITABLE SMALL PROPERTIES AS THERE IS LITTLE OTHER CHOICE. AS CHILDREN GROW OLDER AND WANT TO REMAIN IN OR NEAR THE FAMILY HOME THEY ARE OFTEN FORCED TO LEAVE THE FAMILY HOME TO ALLEVIATE OVERCROWDING WHICH IS OFFERED AS A SOLUTION BUT THIS IS NOT A SOLUTION TO ALL. THERE SHOULD BE ALTERNATIVES TO ENCOURAGE YOUNG PEOPLE TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY INDEPENDENTLY AND ENABLE THE TRANSITION TO ADULTHOOD TO BE STRAIGHT FORWARD NOT JUST FORCING THEM INTO BEDSITS BECAUSE THEY CANT AFFORD OTHERWISE. THE RESULTING IMPACT THIS HAS ON HEALTH AND OTHER SOCIAL ASPECTS BOTH WITHIN THE FAMILY AND SOCIETY CAN EASILY BE EVIDENCED. SOMETHING LIKE STARTER HOMES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE A BABY AND ARE WORKING OR IN TRAINING/COLLEGE, SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED. AFFORDABLE HOUSING SHOULD BE INTRODUCED THAT IS ACTUALLY AFFORDABLE TO THOSE WITH INCOMES AROUND THE 30K MARK AS CURRENTLY THIS IS NOT THE CASE. THE SCHEMES THAT ARE ADVERTISED ARE ONLY AFFORDABLE IF YOU ARE SINGLE OR A COUPLE AND IF YOU GO ON TO HAVE A COUPLE OF CHILDREN YOU BECOME UNABLE TO AFFORD ANYTHING BIGGER AS THE FINANCIAL COMMITMENT IS FAR TOO GREAT TO BE PRACTICAL SO PEOPLE END UP WITH NO WAY OUT EVEN IF THEY WANT ONE THERES NOTHING AVAILABLE. THE SIZE OF PROPERTIES AVAILABLE UNDER SUCH SCHEMES SEEMS TO BE NO BIGGER THAN TWO BED IN ANY CASE SO LARGER AFFORDABLE PROPERTIES SHOULD BE BUILT FOR WORKING FAMILIES WHO WOULD BE INTERESTED, THERE ARE PLENTY IN THE AREA.
CD129	NORTH END ROAD IS A COMPLETE DISASTER! IT IS EFFECTIVELY A SLUM FOR THE IMMIGRANTS AND THE DISABLED. I CAN'T BEAR WALKING DOWN THE ROAD AS IT IS ONE OF THE WORST IN LONDON. THIS SITUATION IN MY VIEW IS DUE TO TOO HIGH A CONCENTRATION OF COUNCIL ESTATES / HOUSING TRUST ESTATES IN THE AREA. IT EVEN EXTENDS FURTHER NORTH OUTSIDE OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA ALONG NORTH END ROAD.

	THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME HIGHER END HOUSING INTRODUCED TO BALANCE THE AREA. I DO NOT DOUBT THAT THIS IS A MONUMENTAL TASK, BUT THIS OPPORTUNITY IS ABOUT THE BEST CATALYST THERE COULD BE TO INTRODUCE THE CHANGE REQUIRED. I HOPE THIS OPPORTUNITY IS FULLY EXPLOITED.
CD130	THE COUNCIL APPEARS TO THINK THAT OUTSIDE PROPERTY DEVELOPERS SHOULD MAKE A HATFUL OF MONEY OUT OF CONSTRUCTING SOME ORWELLIAN CONCRETE DREAM OF THREE "VILLAGES" ON THE SITE WITH THE NORMAL "NEWS SPEAK" REGARDING MUCH NEEDED HOMES FOR "POOR PEOPLE". HOW STUPID DO YOU THINK WE ARE? THE CURRENT OWNERS DO NOT APPEAR TO BE INTERESTED IN INVESTING IN THEIR ASSET AND MAKING IT A COMPETITIVE EVENT CENTRE TO RIVAL ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD IN THE 21ST CENTURY. THEY APPEAR TO SEE IT ONLY AS A VALUABLE GOLDMINE PROPERTY SPACE IN CENTRAL LONDON THAT CAN BE "TURNED" OR BROKEN UP AND RE-SOLD AS HUNDREDS, IF NOT THOUSANDS, OF OVER PRICED RABBIT HUTCHES FOR AN UNBELIEVABLE PROFIT. DO THE MATHS! THEY WILL DO EVERYTHING TO PRESSURISE THE COUNCIL TO AGREE WITH THEM THAT IT CANNOT CONTINUE AS AN EVENT CENTRE AND ALLOW ALTERNATIVE PLANNING.
CD131	WE DO NOT NEED OVER EXPANSION OF EARLS COURT AS WE ARE STRUGGLING TRAFFIC.
CD132	THIS AREA REALLY NEEDS REDEVELOPMENT! MOST IMPORTANT IS TO IMPROVE USAGE OF WEST KEN STATION THROUGH MORE FREQUENT SERVICES.
CD133	STOP KNOCKING DOWN SUPERB BUILDINGS FOR YET ANOTHER DANGEROUS ROUNDABOUT OR TRAFFIC LIGHTS ALLOWING DISABLED PEOPLE 20 SECS TO CROSS!
CD134	MEKEI TO LOOK BEAUTIFUL THANK YOU
CD135	HOW IS IT TAMWORTH ST NEED NEW WINDOWS BUT BECAUSE THERE IS NO MONEY ITS NOT HAPPENING BUT WEST KENSINGTON ESTATE THE BLOCKS IN THE FRONT OF NORTH END ROAD ONCE HAVING NEW WINDOWS, KITCHENS AND BATHROOMS IF THERE GOING TO BE PULLED DOWN.
CD136	*UNCLEAR* DEVELOPMENT WOULD BE VERY WASTED.
CD137	I HAVE LIVE IN FULHAM 27 YEARS IS TOO LONG.
CD138	WHO WILL PAY FOR ALL THIS REDEVELOPMENT?
CD139	I HOPE IT WILL NOT INCREASE THE COUNCIL TAX.

#### CHELSEA FOOTBALL CLUB

CF1	THROUGH A RECENT PRESS RELEASE IT HAS BECOME APPARENT THAT CHELSEA FOOTBALL CLUB IS LOOKING TO ECQUIRE A SPACE FOR A MUCH LARGER FOOTBALL GROUND 41,000 SPECTATORS TO 70,000. GUESS WHERE THEY WOULD LIKE TO BUILD IT. THIS DEVELOPMENT WOULD BE TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE IN THIS RESIDENTIAL AREA AND SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED.
CF2	CAN YOU MOVE THE CHELSEA STADIUM TO EARL'S COURT?
CF3	I CANNOT GO OUT WHERE IT IS FOOTBALL GAME IS ON. TOO MUCH CROWD OF PEOPLE AFRAID TO BE PUSHED!

#### CONSULTATION

<b>C1</b>	FOR QUESTIONS 4 W - I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THIS STATE TO GIVE MY OPINION.
<b>C2</b>	THIS IS A BIT OF A DAFT FORM AS IT ONLY GIVES TINY SPACES TO COMMENT & DOES NOT ALLOW FOR FULL PROPER COMMENTS - DESIGNED BY KIDS?? OR AMATEURS?? IS THIS THEREFORE REAL MEANINGFUL CONSULTATION??
<b>C3</b>	WHOEVER DESIGNED THIS FORM SHOULD BE SACKED IMMEDIATELY. IF ITS LOUSY DESIGN WITH NO ROOM TO COMMENT & MUMBO JUMBO SOUND BITE ISSUES COLUMN IS INDICTIVE OF THE NATURE OF THE PROPOSED SCHEME THEN I FEEL VERY DEPRESSED.
<b>C4</b>	THE SHEPHERDS BUSH 'LEADER' IS A CON: THE STATISTICS YOU HAVE GIVEN ARE SKEWED. WHY PRETEND THERE ARE CONSULTATIONS THAT WILL MEAN ANYTHING.
<b>C5</b>	IS THIS TOKENISM, OR A GENUINE CONSULTATION EXERCISE - IS AN AGENDA ALREADY SET AND THIS A CYNICAL PLOY?
<b>C6</b>	VERY STRANGE QUESTIONNAIRE. I DID NOT FEEL I UNDERSTOOD WHAT I WAS ANSWERING?
<b>C7</b>	THE PROBLEM WITH THIS FORM IS THAT THERE ARE TWO DISTINCT AREAS. MY ANSWERS ARE SPECIFICALLY WITH EARL'S COURT PERSPECTIVE.
<b>C8</b>	THESE QUESTIONS ARE NOT THAT USEFUL AS THEY GIVE NO ROOM FOR ACTUAL ANSWERS.
<b>C9</b>	I FEEL THAT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE HAS NOT GIVEN THE OPTION TO DISAGREE WITHOUT THE SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEMS BEING BASED ON THE REDEVELOPMENT OF THE EARL'S COURT EXHIBITION AREA.
<b>C10</b>	I'VE LOOKED AT THESE QUESTIONS, BUT THEY ARE IRRELEVANT, AREN'T THEY? THE ISSUE IS OVERCROWDING, DENSITY. CONT. P.94. WE DON'T WANT THIS PROSPECTIVE INFLUX OF NEW RESIDENTS, ESPECIALLY IN THE LIGHT OF THE WARWICK ROAD DEVELOPMENT. HANDBAG IT! NO, NO, NO.
<b>C11</b>	THIS IS NOT A PARTICULARLY GOOD CONSULTATION EXERCISE, LEAVING HARDLY ANY ROOM FOR COMMENTS.
<b>C12</b>	I HAVE PROBLEMS WITH THE POTENTIAL FOR MISLEADING FROM THE QUESTIONS IN THIS CONSULTATION. FOR INSTANCE, IN 3K. I WOULD CHANGE LOCAL JOB OPPORTUNITIES, BUT I HAVE NO CONFIDENCE THAT THIS CAN BE SECURED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY THROUGH REDEVELOPMENT. THE SHORT DEADLINE FOR THE COMPLETION OF THIS CONSULTATION IS LUDICROUS, CONSIDERING THE IMPORTANCE.
<b>C13</b>	THERE HAS BEEN LITTLE EFFORT TO CONSULT THE VULNERABLE RESIDENTS.
<b>C14</b>	NO ROOM TO WRITE ANY MEANINGFUL COMMENTS IN SPACE ABOVE. V POORLY DESIGNED QUESTIONNAIRE. YOU SEEM NOT TO WANT REAL FEEDBACK AS A RESULT.
<b>C15</b>	WE FOUND THIS FORM VERY DIFFICULT TO COMPLETE - A VERY BADLY DESIGNED QUESTIONNAIRE WHICH IS A PITY AS THIS IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE.



<b>C16</b>	POORLY DESIGNED QUESTIONNAIRE!!!
<b>C17</b>	IN FUTURE MORE ROOM FOR COMMENTS.
<b>C18</b>	1. FORM POORLY DESIGNED / WRITTEN VERY AMATEURISH.2. SEE ABOVE. WHY OR? SUGGESTS THAT ONLY ONE SIDE CAN BE COMPLETED WHICH WAS NOT YOUR INTENTION 3. INADEQUATE SPACE FOR USEFUL COMMENTS 4. FORM TOO COMPLEX FOR LAYMAN
<b>C19</b>	IF YOU REALLY WANTED COMMENTS OF VALUE YOU SHOULD HAVE MADE MORE SPACE FOR THEM.
<b>C20</b>	THIS IS NOT A VERY CLEVER SURVEY. IT'S HARD TO KNOW WHAT TO DO: TICK OR COMMENT. AND QUESTIONS ABOUT THE EC EXHIBITION CENTRE ARE IRRELEVANT. IT'S GOING TO BE DEMOLISHED! I HOPE THERE WILL BE ANOTHER, BETTER CHANCE TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEBATE.
<b>C21</b>	I DON'T THINK THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS VERY USEFUL OR WELL LAID OUT.
<b>C22</b>	IT IS NOT CLEAR IN ANY WAY HOW YOU WILL USE / PROCESS / ASSESS RESPONSES TO THESE QUESTIONS. THE STRUCTURE OF YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE APPEARS VERY MISLEADING.
<b>C23</b>	THIS IS A VERY BADLY DESIGNED QUESTIONNAIRE. IS THAT THE PURPOSE?
<b>C24</b>	ILLEGIBLE
<b>C25</b>	THERE IS NOTHING SPECIFIC TO COMMENT UPON AT THIS POINT OF TIME. WHEN DRAFT PROPOSAL IS READY, ONE COULD MAKE INTELLIGENT OBSERVATIONS. NOW IT IS CART BEFORE THE HORSE.
<b>C26</b>	UNFORTUNATELY THERE IS NOT ENOUGH SPACE PROVIDED ABOVE FOR MY COMMENTS.
<b>C27</b>	QUESTIONS MUCH TOO GENERAL TO BE USEFUL. MASSIVE VARIATION THROUGHOUT AREA.
<b>C28</b>	IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO PROVIDE ANY MEANINGFUL COMMENTS IN THE RIDICULOUS SPACE JUST ONE INCH LONG
<b>C29</b>	FORM ON RHS / RESPONSES POORLY DESIGNED.
<b>C30</b>	MOST QUESTIONS ABOVE ARE NOT RELEVANT TO ME OR I DO NOT HAVE STRONG OPINIONS ON THE SUBJECTS.

<b>C31</b>	WHERE, EXACTLY, SHOULD ONE ANSWER YR QUESTIONS WHEN THE PROVIDED 'BOX' IS INADEQUATE?
<b>C32</b>	VERY POOR QUESTIONNAIRE - INSUFFICIENTLY DETAILED QUESTIONS TO BE MEANINGFUL
<b>C33</b>	NOT VERY HELPFUL THAT UNABLE TO SPECIFY IN WHAT RESPECT EACH CATEGORY SHOULD BE "CHANGED" THROUGH REDEVELOPMENT. WILL THERE BE MORE FOCUSSED CONSULTATION?
<b>C34</b>	THE QUESTIONS DO NOT ALLOW US TO SPECIFY HOW WE WOULD MAKE CHANGES - THIS IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE ABOVE.
<b>C34</b>	THIS QUESTIONNAIRE DOES NOT ALLOW A TRUE CONSULTATION, THE QUESTIONS BIASED TO GIVE ANSWERS DEVELOPERS WANT.
<b>C35</b>	YOU INVITE COMMENTS, BUT THEN PROVIDE TINY BOXES TO WRITE IN!
<b>C36</b>	THIS QUESTIONNAIRE LIKE OUR PARKING SIGNS IS CONFUSING, MISDIRECTING AND NOT WELL THOUGHT OUT.
<b>C37</b>	YES, THIS IS A VERY POOR QUESTIONNAIRE
<b>C38</b>	WHAT A BADLY DESIGNED FORM! YOU ASK FOR COMMENTS YET LEAVE NO SPACE FOR THEM.
<b>C39</b>	THIS ISN'T A VERY GOOD SURVEY FOR GIVING YOUR OPINIONS.
<b>C40</b>	BIT CONFUSING DO I TICK BOXES OR WRITE IN THEM? I HAVE DONE MY BEST. THIS WAS A VERY STRANGE QUESTIONNAIRE, HOW DO YOU WANT PEOPLE TO HELP YOU WHEN YOU CANNOT DESIGN A NORMAL QUESTIONNAIRE?
<b>C41</b>	YOU HAVE LEFT A 1" BOX FOR QUESTIONS THAT, AT LEAST, REQUIRE A 10 WORD ANSWER. THE FORM DOES NOT MAKE SENSE, IT IS NOT CLEAR.
<b>C42</b>	I THINK THIS IS A SILLY QUESTIONNAIRE - I LIVE IN SOUTH FULHAM & HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OR EXPERIENCE OF MOST OF THE THINGS YOU ASK ABOUT IN THE AREA UNDER CONSIDERATION. IF I DID HAVE A VIEW, THE SPACE ALLOWED TO EXPRESS IT IS TOTALLY INADEQUATE.
<b>C43</b>	REALLY POORLY DESIGNED QUESTIONNAIRE!
<b>C44</b>	THERE IS NOT ENOUGH ROOM TO WRITE COMMENTS.

<b>C45</b>	THESE "QUESTIONS" DONT MAKE MUCH SENSE, NOR THE 2 COLUMNS ON THE RIGHT. NO ROOM TO WRITE RESPONSES.
<b>C46</b>	FAR FROM ENOUGH SPACE FOR ANY MEANINGFUL COMMENTS. I SHALL USE THE FORUM FOR MY COMMENTS.
<b>C47</b>	THE BOXES ABOVE DO NOT ALLOW ADEQUATE SPACE FOR RESPONSES.
<b>C48</b>	WHO DESIGNED THESE QUESTIONS? THEY ARE OUTRAGEOUS.
<b>C49</b>	THIS FORM HAS RIDICULOUSLY SMALL SPACES FOR COMMENT.
<b>C50</b>	THE PURPOSE OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS NOT CLEAR WHEN THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE IS NOT MENTIONED OR ITS PRACTICALITY IN TERMS OF FINANCING OR REDUCING TRAFFIC & PROVIDING MORE SPACE NOT ADDRESSED.
<b>C51</b>	MANY EARLS COURT RESIDENTS ARE ONLY NOW BECOMING AWARE OF THE NATURE, SCOPE AND ADVANCED NATURE OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT. IT APPEARS THAT SOME SELF-APPOINTED "GROUPS" HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN "CONSULTATIONS" OVER THE PAST THREE YEARS BUT IT IS A PUZZLE WHY HAS THERE NOT BEEN WIDER PUBLICITY GIVEN TO SUCH IMPORTANT PROPOSALS AND GREATER OPPORTUNITIES TO ENGAGE WITH THE WIDER PUBLIC IN EARLS COURT AND BEYOND, BECAUSE EARLS COURT EXHIBITION CENTRE IS IMPORTANT TO PEOPLE ACROSS THE CAPITAL.
<b>C52</b>	FORGIVE ME, BUT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS USELESS. IT IS FULL OF LEADING QUESTIONS AND WAS CLEARLY DRAWN UP BY PEOPLE WHO KNOW NOTHING ABOUT THE AREA OR THOSE OF US WHO LIVE HERE, BUT JUST WANT TO MAKE MONEY. THE LOVE OF MONEY IS THE ROOT OF ALL KINDS OF EVIL.
<b>C53</b>	WHERE I HAVE LEFT A QUESTION BLANK IT EITHER DOES NOT APPLY TO ME OR I HAVE NO FEELINGS EITHER WAY.
	<b>DENSITY</b>
<b>D1</b>	K&C IS ALREADY VERY DENSELY POPULATED. EVEN MUNDANE THINGS LIKE THE LACK OF GOOD WATER PRESSURE IN OUR HOMES IS BEGINNING TO HAVE AN IMPACT ON US, AND WILL ONLY BE MADE WORSE BY INTENSIVE DEVELOPMENT NEARBY.
<b>D2</b>	THE AREA IS ALREADY OVER CAPACITY. IT WOULD BE FOLLY TO PUT EVEN MORE RESIDENTIAL UNITS IN OR TO ADD STREETS.
<b>D3</b>	CONCERNED ABOUT DENSITY OF LIVING SPACES PROPOSED AND ITS EFFECT ON AMENITIES.
<b>D4</b>	THE AREA'S INFRASTRUCTURE COULD NOT COPE WITH AN INFLUX OF MORE RESIDENTS, PARTICULARLY NOT ON THE SCALE MOOTED BY CAPCO.

<b>D5</b>	THE AREA IS ALREADY OVER-POPULATED AND TOO DENSELY POPULATED.
<b>D6</b>	THE AREA IS ALREADY DENSELY POPULATED, ANY CHANGES MUST NOT EXACERBATE THESE CHALLENGES.
<b>D7</b>	THE AREA REALLY DOESN'T NEED ANY MORE HOUSES; IT IS ALREADY DENSELY POPULATED. THE DEVELOPERS WILL ONLY AVOID AN ALMIGHTY BATTLE WITH FAMOUSLY-INTRANSIGENT EARL'S COURTIER'S IF THERE IS SIGNIFICANT GAIN IN TERMS OF GREEN SPACES, SCHOOLS, MEDICAL CENTRE, SPORTS & LEISURE AND OTHER PUBLIC AMENITIES.

#### GENERAL

<b>G1</b>	I NEED TO BE KEPT FULLY INFORMED AT ALL TIMES.
<b>G2</b>	I HAVE LIVED IN PHILBEACH GARDENS SINCE 1987. I KNOW A LOT OF MY NEIGHBOURS BY NAME AND WE ALWAYS HAVE A CHAT WHENEVER WE SEE EACH OTHER.
<b>G3</b>	WHEN WAS THE LAST REDEVELOPMENT OF THIS AREA ASIDE FROM THE EXPRESS STATE, NOT IN KEEPING WITH THE 2012 OLYMPICS FOR TOURISTS, NO GOOD LOCALS, I WOULDN'T WANT TO STAY IN ONE *UNCLEAR*
<b>G4</b>	FAMILIES NEED HELP WITH POOR PARENTING, HIGH TEENAGE PREGNANCIES. LOW FAMILY VALUES AND TOO MANY MIXES OF RACE.
<b>G5</b>	REMOVE COUNCIL HOUSING & ALL PEOPLE ON BENEFITS / NOT WORKING TO SOMEWHERE OUTSIDE CENTRAL LONDON, USE THE SPACE MADE AVAILABLE FOR PEOPLE DOING IMPORTANT WORK FOR SMALL WAGES.
<b>G6</b>	THE WORD "COMMUNITY" IS UNQUALIFIED. SOCIALISM AND COMMUNISM COLLAPSED. THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS "COMMUNITY". IT IS A COLLECTIVE FICTION LEFT OVER FROM THE MISPHILOSOPHY OF THE PREVIOUS FAILED LABOUR GOVERNMENT OF UK.
<b>G7</b>	IT'S A WHOOP DE DOO PLACE. I LIVE OPPOSITE A BROTHEL 154 CROMWELL RD WHICH WE WOULD LIKE CLOSED!
<b>G8</b>	PLANNING PERMISSION FOR RESIDENTS MUCH TOO EASY TO OBTAIN. APPEALS AGAINST IGNORED.
<b>G9</b>	LONDON OVER POPULATED, NO ONE EVER LISTENS TO THE INDIGENOUS POPULATION, STOP IMMIGRATION FOR 5 YEARS.
<b>G10</b>	MORE SPACE FOR COMMENTS WOULD BE GOOD. MORE AFFLUENT BOROUGH'S SUCH AS RBKC & H&F NEED TO START LEADING THE REST OF LONDON & HENCE THE COUNTRY BY EXAMPLE & SOLVE THE COUNCIL ESTATE SOCIALIST CATASTROPHE.
<b>G11</b>	I HAVE A DREADFUL SUSPICION THAT THE ABOVE COMMENTS WILL NOT REALLY INFLUENCE BUSINESS DECISIONS WHERE HUGE SUMS ARE CONCERNED, BUT ARE USED TO GUIDE PUBLIC RELATIONS CAMPAIGNS THAT WILL APPEAR TO REFLECT THE OPINIONS OF LOCAL RESIDENTS.

<b>G12</b>	IF REDEVELOPMENT GOES AHEAD - RESIDENTS COULD BE OFFERED A SUM OF MONEY IN ORDER TO PURCHASE THEIR OWN HOME - £50,000? GOOD DEPOSIT.
<b>G13</b>	I WANTED TO UNDERLINE TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE BETTER AS SOME CHANGES IN THE *UNCLEAR* CAN BE DONE FOR THE WORSE.
<b>G14</b>	WHEN I FIRST CAME TO LONDON I LIVED IN KING ST HAMMERSMITH WHICH HAD A NICE GARDEN IN FRONT OF THE TOWN HALL. THE COUNCIL CONCRETED OVER THE GARDEN AND PUT UP A VERY UGLY EXTENSION TO THE TOWN HALL.
<b>G15</b>	TOO MUCH HOUSING FOR TRANSIENTS, ADDICTS ETC. LIKE THAT RESIDENTS BAND TOGETHER AND DEFEND THEMSELVES AGAINST TOO MANY DISRUPTIVE PLANNING APPLICATIONS.
<b>G16</b>	LOCALLY, WE COULD ALSO WONDER WHY SO FEW CANDIDATES IN THE RECENT ELECTION NOT MAKE THEIR VIEWS ABOUT/PARTICIPATION IN THIS PROCESS PLAIN TO THE ELECTORATE? THE COMPLETE RECONFIGURATION OF THE AREA HAS BEEN KEPT UNDER WRAPS VERY EFFECTIVELY AND MANY OF US WONDER WHY.
<b>G17</b>	ERASING THE CHARACTER OF THE AREA WILL NOT IMPROVE THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT OF EARLS COURT. SHOULD WE NOW BE WORRYING ABOUT WHAT ELSE IS ALREADY IN THE PIPELINE, I.E. BROMPTON CEMETERY AS A SHOPPING MALL ?
<b>G18</b>	ALL ADVERSE USERS MUST BE LOCATED ON THE SITE TO PROVIDE FOR THE SITE ITSELF AND THE SURROUNDING AREA SO THAT WASTE TRANSFER STATION ARE LOCATED ON THE SITE AS ALREADY MENTIONED BUT ALSO SAY OTHER USERS ARE KEPT ON THE SITE SUCH AS NIGHT CLUBS, SCHOOLS, BAIL HOSTELS ET AL.
<b>G19</b>	WEST LONDON HAS ALWAYS (I HAVE COME BACK AGAIN AND AGAIN SINCE THE SIXTIES) BEEN AN ATTRACTIVE PART OF LONDON BECAUSE IT IS IN "HUMAN SCALE", I WALK AND CYCLE AND KNOW PEOPLE AND RESPECT THE GENERATIONS OF CULTURAL INNOVATION THAT HAS BLOOMED HERE. I WILL BE HORRIFIED IF IT STARTS TO RESEMBLE WHAT HAS BEEN DONE SOUTH OF THE RIVER.
<b>G20</b>	PLEASE SAVE EARL'S COURT -- I'M IN TOTAL AGREEMENT WITH DUGGIE FIELDS!
<b>G21</b>	I ALSO FEEL THAT THE COUNCILS ARE USING THIS AS A BAND WAGON TO REDEVELOP AREAS THAT HAVE BEEN LACKING IN DEVELOPMENT FOR MANY YEARS ALTHOUGH THE RENTS AND COUNCIL TAXES ARE HIGH.
<b>G22</b>	A MUCH MORE DETAILED RESPONSE HAS BEEN SENT TO THE RELEVANT PLANNING OFFICERS.
<b>G23</b>	OUR NEW TAX REGIME IS GOING TO MAKE THE AREA LESS ATTRACTIVE TO FOREIGN TAX EXILE RESIDENTS. IF PROPERTY PRICES CONTINUE TO FALL IN THE RECESSION THE LOCAL PROPERTY SPECULATION ROUNDABOUT IS GOING TO COME UNDER MORE PRESSURE AND A "GLUT" OF NEW CHEAP HOUSING WILL NOT HELP CURRENT PROPERTY OWNERS BELIEVE ME! LOCAL HOME OWNERS INVESTMENT VALUE WILL DROP LIKE A STONE WITH SEVERAL THOUSAND NEW "AFFORDABLE" HOMES FLOODING THE MARKET IN TEN YEARS. WE ARE BEING HOODWINKED.
<b>G24</b>	AN ALTRUISTIC LANDLORD AND A COUNCIL WITH A HIGHLY DEVELOPED SENSE OF DUTY SHOULD BE CAPABLE OF MAKING A PROFIT AND PROVIDING FOR THE COMMUNITY. GET HRH THE PRINCE OF WALES INVOLVED! THIS ISSUE IS ABOUT SAVING LOCAL HOME OWNERS INVESTMENT VALUE, PRESERVING A CULTURAL CENTRE AND EVENT LOCATION WITH AN INTERNATIONAL REPUTATION IN CENTRAL LONDON AND DEMANDING A CAREFUL, WELL THOUGHT OUT DEVELOPMENT PLAN FROM BOTH OUTSIDE PROPERTY DEVELOPERS AND OUR COUNCIL TO MAKE IT AN EFFICIENT AND PROFITABLE ENTERPRISE NOT JUST A PROPERTY SCAM.
<b>G25</b>	I AM CONCERNED THAT THE "THREE DRAGON" RESIDUAL LAND-VALUE VIABILITY TOOLKIT CALCULATIONS MAY NOT BE SUFFICIENTLY ROBUSTLY SCRUTINISED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITIES; THE COMMUNITY WOULD BE MORE LIKELY REASSURED IF INDEPENDENT COMMERCIAL VALUERS WERE APPOINTED TO ENSURE A TRANSPARENT PROCESS. THE SCANDAL OF THE ODEON CINEMA SITE BEING OFFERED FOR SALE FOR £61M

	PROFIT AFTER FOUR YEARS WITH PLANNING CONSENT, WITH NO ON-SITE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROVIDED, SHOULD NOT BE REPEATED.
<b>G26</b>	IT'S A TREASURE WITH A RICH HISTORY. VALUE AND RESPECT THAT :-)
<b>G27</b>	ALL YOU'VE DONE JUST TALKING. DO SOMETHING TO EU - CITIZENS WHO SALES PASSPORTS AND *UNCLEAR* CARD AND COME TO SUB-RENT THE HOUSING AND ABUSE THE LAW WHEN WILL YOU WAKE UP!
<b>G28</b>	WE DO NOT WANT FAKE GENTRIFICATION!!
<b>G29</b>	MAKE DELIVERY - ON TIME & BUDGET.
<b>G30</b>	EXTEND HOW RBKC LOOKS AND FEELS INTO LBHF.
<b>G31</b>	IT REMINDS ME OF WHEN I USED TO WORK IN THE ISLAMIC WORLD. I NO LONGER WISH TO BE PART OF THAT WORLD ONE DOES NOT LIVE IN THE ISLAMIC WORLD.
<b>G32</b>	I LOVE MY BOROUGH
<b>G33</b>	SEAGRAVE LODGE IS AN EYE SORE AND VERY UNAPPEALING. IT REALLY LETS THE AREA DOWN.
<b>G34</b>	MY COMMENTS ARE FOR MY PERSPECTIVE AS A RESIDENT OF EPIRUS RD. I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE BULK OF THE AREA YOU HAVE MARKED ON THE MAP.
<b>G35</b>	NO MORE PLANNING PERMITS TO SUB-BASEMENTS. A HORRIBLE NUISANCE!!!!
<b>G36</b>	PLEASE, DO NOT REMOVE HOMEBASE - VERY USEFUL. ALSO, PLEASE, SAVE THE OLD PUB ON WARWICK ROAD. THANKS.
<b>G37</b>	SEAGRAVE LODGE NEEDS REPLACING.
<b>G38</b>	THE TELEPHONE EXCHANGE @ WEST BROMPTON STATION MUST BE THE UGLIEST BUILDING IN THE AREA. IT IS DERELICT & UNSIGHTLY & NEXT TO THE OUTSTANDING BROMPTON CEMETRY.
<b>G39</b>	IN THE CATEGORISING INFORMATION, YOU SHOULD INCLUDE THE PEOPLE WHO LOOK AFTER OTHERS WITH DISABILITIES.