

Examination into the Hammersmith and Fulham Proposed Submission Local Plan

SCHEDULE OF SUGGESTED MINOR CHANGES

28th February 2017

The suggested changes below are expressed by ~~strikethrough~~ for deletions and underlining for additions of text.

Each change has its own reference number (eg MC1) and the policy and paragraph numbering refer to the text included in the submission Local Plan. A reason for the suggested change is also provided.

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
Introduction			
MC1	Para 1.5	Amend paragraph 1.5 as follows: ‘The Local Plan will set out the council’s vision for the borough for the next 20 years <u>until 2035...</u>	To update
MC2	Para 1.8	Amend para 1.8 as follows: “Since April 2015, <u>OPDC took over planning powers for the Old Oak Regeneration Area. As the Local Planning Authority for the area,</u> the establishment of the OPDC, is the responsible body <u>entity</u> for development plan making <u>and the determination of planning applications for the area within the boundary of OPDC.</u> for the Old Oak Regeneration Area have been taken over by the Mayor of London’s Old Oak and Park Royal Development Corporation.”	For clarity
MC3	Para 1.8	Amend para 1.8 as follows: <u>Once adopted, the</u> The Local Plan will <u>replace the existing Core Strategy (2011) and Development Management Local Plan (2013)</u> The Local Plan <u>has built</u> upon the Core Strategy and Development Management Local Plan <u>policies.</u> Although a number of existing policies <u>have been</u> amended, or replaced <u>by those in the Local Plan, some policies in the Core Strategy and Development Management Local Plan are still relevant and needed, so these have been replicated in the Local Plan.</u> remain substantially the same as those included in the existing Core Strategy and Development Management Local Plan.	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
MC4	Para 1.9	<p>Add new wording after para 1.9 as follow:</p> <p><u>Neighbourhood Planning</u></p> <p><u>Neighbourhood Planning was introduced as part of the Localism Act 2011. Neighbourhood plans are development and land use documents led by members of the community. Neighbourhood plans must be developed in conformity with the strategic policies in the relevant local, regional and national documents.</u></p> <p><u>Neighbourhood Planning Regulations sets out the procedure and key milestones in developing a neighbourhood plan. Notably, for a neighbourhood plan to be adopted and form part of the Development Plan, they must be voted on in a local Referendum.</u></p>	To explain Neighbourhood Planning process
Hammersmith and Fulham			
MC5	Para 2.1	<p>Add the following sentence to the end of para 2.1:</p> <p><u>"Please note that some of the statistics in this section are from the census and other data sources which cover the whole borough, including the part of the borough now within the OPDC's planning remit."</u></p>	For clarity
MC6	Para 2.7 Deprivation	<p>Amend para 2.7 as follows:</p> <p>"According to the 2015 Indices of Deprivation, it is ranked 76th most deprived local authority area in the country (31st in 2010 and 38th in 2007) <u>however</u>, there are <u>still</u> significant pockets of deprivation, particularly in the north of the borough.</p>	For clarity
MC7	Para 2.10 Deprivation	<p>Amend figure in para 2.10 as follows:</p> <p>"About 20% of people are in poverty in Hammersmith and Fulham compared to 32-30% of children in Poverty (Children and Young People's Plan 2008-11) (Child Poverty JSNA Report 2014)</p>	To update text with new figure.
MC8	Para 2.10 Deprivation	<p>Amend and add section into paragraph 2.10 as follows:</p> <p>"Childhood poverty in Hammersmith and Fulham does not follow the general north-south divide, but is much more scattered geographically across the borough.</p> <p><u>There is a clear concentration of childhood poverty in the north of the borough, with the greatest density (35-53%) in the two northernmost wards (among the 20% wards in London with the highest density), College Park and Old Oak, Womholt and White City. The only ward</u></p>	To update text with new information

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<u>that features among the 20% wards in London with the lowest density of child poverty (0-14%) is the southernmost ward, Palace Riverside. Similarly the wards with the second lowest density of child poverty (14-22%) are both in the south of the borough.</u>	
MC9	Para 2.11 Health	Amend paragraph 2.11 as follows: "The borough's hospitals are a key part of the Local Community and the recent closure of Hammersmith Hospital A&E and the proposed <u>any potential</u> closure of Charing Cross Hospital A&E, together with the loss of 336 acute in-patient beds are <u>would be</u> a great concern"	For clarity
MC10	Para 2.17 Local Economy & Employment	Amend para 2.17 as follows: "With the development of the Westfield London shopping centre there has been an increase in importance of the retail sector to the local economy, with Westfield London providing approximately 8,000 jobs. <u>Planning permission has also been granted for an extension to Westfield which is estimated to deliver approximately 3,000 additional jobs</u> ".	To update text
MC11	Para 2.20 Housing	Make reference to the lack of housing options for older people in paragraph 2.20: "This lack of affordable homes to rent or buy for low and middle income households is a key challenge for the Local Plan. <u>There are also limited desirable housing options appropriate for older people in the borough.</u> "	To update text
MC12	Para 2.27 Housing	Make reference to the council's Home Energy and Conservation Act Report in para 2.27 as follows: " <u>The Council's Home Energy Conservation Act Report provides further details on the council's strategy to improve energy efficiency in existing social and private housing stock.</u>	For clarity
MC13	Children & Young People	Add section on play space under the heading Children & Young People: <u>"There are 114 children's play spaces in the borough across 84 sites. Most of these are unsupervised equipped playgrounds, located in the boroughs housing estates. There is general deficiency of playgrounds in the north and south of the borough. There is only a</u>	To add text on play space for children and young people

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<u>small amount of the borough within a catchment of a play space for children over 8 years old, with even fewer facilities that cater specifically for older children and teenagers. Increasing access and provision of play space for children in the borough, is likely to improve the health of reduce child obesity levels. Where appropriate, large housing developments will need to provide new playgrounds as part of the open space contribution."</u>	
MC14	Para 2.39 Transport	<p>Include sentence in para 2.39 to make reference to increasing opportunities for cycling and walking as follows:</p> <p>"Although the borough has one of the highest rates of cycling in London, there are barriers to cycling and walking at particular locations, notably the Hammersmith gyratory. <u>The council will seek to increase opportunities for walking and cycling in the borough."</u></p>	For clarity
MC15	Map 2: Open Space	Map 2 to be amended to grey out the OPDC area.	For clarity
MC16	Para 2.48	<p>Amend para 2.48 as follows:</p> <p>"The River Thames was the major influence on early settlement patterns in the borough and it remains a major asset in the environmental quality and <u>historic character</u> of Hammersmith and Fulham.....The Thames Strategy Kew to Chelsea document provides details of the <u>qualities and character of the river</u> and riverside environment."</p>	To add reference to historic character of River Thames
MC17	Map 3: Conservation Areas	Map 3 to be amended to grey out the OPDC area.	For clarity
MC18	Built Heritage	Amend paragraph title (above para 2.51) from "Built Heritage" to " <u>Historic Environment</u> "	Amend title so it includes archaeology and Historic Parks & Gardens
MC19	Para 2.52 Built Heritage	<p>Amend para 2.52 as follows:</p> <p>"and the ancient monument of the Fulham Palace</p>	To reflect its status

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		moated site, <u>which is a registered historic park and garden and scheduled monument.</u> "	
Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives			
MC20	Map 4: Key Diagram	Add the two proposed London Overground stations at Old Oak Common and Hythe Road to the Key Diagram (Map 4).	For clarity
MC21	Map 4: Key Diagram	Major roads to be added to the Key diagram.	For clarity
MC22	Map 4: Key Diagram	Add Kensal Gasworks Opportunity Area to Key diagram.	For clarity
MC23	Spatial Vision- delivering an environm entally sustainabl e borough	Amend second para of Spatial Vision under the heading 'delivering an environmentally sustainable borough' as follows: Delivering an environmentally sustainable borough "They will be valued for <u>their historic significance</u> , leisure, sport and recreation as well as for their contribution to the biodiversity, <u>clean air</u> and health..."	To reflect historic significance of boroughs open spaces
MC24	Spatial Vision- delivering an environm entally sustainabl e borough	Amend third para of Spatial Vision under the heading 'delivering an environmentally sustainable borough' as follows: Delivering an environmentally sustainable borough New development will have created a high quality, <u>accessible</u> , safe <u>and inclusive</u> environment that respects local context and the borough's natural, built <u>and historic</u> environment, including <u>the designated</u> heritage assets, conservation areas, listed buildings, historic parks and gardens and <u>scheduled monuments, as well as undesigned heritage assets and important archaeological remains. The settings of heritage assets will have been considered to secure the heritage values and enjoyment of London's historic environment.</u> Developments along the Thames ...'	To encompass a range of heritage assets
MC25	Spatial Vision- delivering	Amend Spatial Vision as follows:	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
	social & digital inclusion	<p>delivering social and digital inclusion</p> <p>“Social exclusion will have been reduced as a result of the council and its partners including the local third sector, faith groups and businesses working together and through better use of resources. <u>There will be high quality infrastructure, services and community facilities accessible to all.</u> Digital inclusion....”</p>	
MC26	Spatial Vision-providing the best start for younger people	<p>Amend section on Spatial Vision under “providing the best start for young people” as follows:</p> <p>Providing the best start for younger people</p> <p>“The standard of education in the boroughs schools and <u>child care facilities</u> will have been further improved to ensure that local schools provide the best possible education for local children, including those with special educational needs and disabilities. Schools will have strong links with their local communities and will enable community use of their facilities outside of school hours. <u>Access to quality open space, parks and play space for children and young people will be improved.</u>”</p>	For clarity
MC27	Strategic Objective 10	<p>Amend strategic objective 10 as follows:</p> <p>Delivering an environmentally sustainable borough:</p> <p>“10. To preserve and enhance the quality, character and identity of the borough’s natural and built environment (including its heritage assets) by respecting the local context, seeking high quality, intelligent developments and design , and ensuring compliance with the principles of inclusive, <u>accessible</u> and sustainable design.”</p>	For clarity
MC28	Strategic Objective 10	<p>Amend Strategic Objective 10 as follows:</p> <p>“To preserve and enhance the quality, character and identity of the borough’s natural, built <u>and historic</u> environment (including <u>all local</u> heritage assets) by respecting the local context ...”</p>	
MC29	Strategic Objective 12	<p>Amend Strategic Objective 12 as follows:</p> <p>“To reduce and mitigate the local causes of climate change, mitigate flood risk and other impacts, support the move to a low carbon future and <u>manage LBHF’s waste sustainably, increasing recycling in the borough</u>”</p>	

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
Regeneration Area Strategies			
MC30	Strategic Policy- Regeneration Areas	Amend Strategic Policy – regeneration Areas (Bullet 1) as follows: “..delivered to the highest standards of urban design, <u>respect for the historic environment</u> , environmental sustainability and social inclusion...”	
MC31	Strategic Policy- Regeneration Areas	Amend text at bottom of Table 1 as follows: “In the London plan (2016, the Earls Court and West Kensington Opportunity Area has a minimum target of 6,500 dwellings <u>7,500 homes and 9,500 jobs across both LBHF and RBKC. It is anticipated that 6,500 homes and 8,500 jobs could be accommodated in LBHF. In addition to this capacity in the Earls Court and West Kenington opportunity Area, the FRA is considered to have the capacity to deliver an additional 500 homes and 500 jobs making an overall total of 7,000 homes and 9,000 jobs.</u> In the figures above, 7,000 dwellings have been allocated to that part of ECWK Opportunity Area that is within LBHF and 1000 to the area that is within RBKC.	
MC32	Strategic Policy- Regeneration Areas	Agreed. Amend Paragraph 5.5 as follows: ‘A fifth regeneration area, namely Old Oak, now lies within the Old Oak and Park Royal Development Corporation (OPDC). <u>The OPDC act as the planning authority for this area, and are preparing a Local Plan and will make decisions on planning applications in the OPDC area. LBHF remains heavily involved; as a partner in the development of the Local Plan and on the decision of planning applications.</u> The policies for this part of the borough are now being prepared for by the OPDC, although the council is heavily involved in their formulation.–The OPDC’s policies could result in...”	For clarity
White City Opportunity Area			
MC33	White City Regeneration Area Para 5.7	Amend paragraph 5.7 as follows: “...Imperial college London is developing a new campus to the north of the A40, bringing <u>research</u> and academic uses, related <u>to science, technology, enterprise and medicine, together with housing and other uses</u> bio- medical and technological research to this area”	To update text
MC34	Strategic	Amend first sentence of Policy WCRA as follows:	To update

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
	Policy WCRA	"...creation of a new major educational <u>research/academic</u> facility."	text
MC35	Strategic Policy WCRA	Amend third bullet point of Policy WCRA as follows: "include educational use research and academic uses, together with a limited amount of student accommodation for students, <u>researchers and staff.</u> "	For clarity
MC36	Strategic Policy WCRA Para 5.14	Amend first sentence of para 5.14 as follows: " ..., the business start up companies within the ugli building and soon... "	To update text
MC37	Strategic Policy WCRA	Amend 4 th bullet of Policy WCRA as follows: "...contribute to the provision of 6,000 new homes across a variety of tenures and 10,000 jobs mainly within White City East, but also in smaller scale developments elsewhere in White City West and in <u>Shepherds Bush</u> Town Centre".	For clarity
MC38	Strategic Policy WCRA Para 5.14	Amend penultimate sentence of para 5.14 as follows: Further educational and research <u>and academic</u> uses are likely to be brought forward on the former dairycrest site as part of a wider mix of uses to encourage start ups and incubator space."	To update text
MC39	Strategic Policy WCRA Policy 5.15	Amend para 5.15 as follows: "The Council supports the opportunity to create a world-class higher educational campus in the area <u>research/academic/business hub</u> , as it will bring much needed investment to the area. Some student accommodation <u>for students, researchers and staff</u> may be appropriate as part of the overall mix of residential types, sizes and tenures within the WCRA."	To update text
MC40	Strategic Policy WCRA Policy 5.23	Amend line 6 of para 5.23 as follows: "...may also be acceptable for tall buildings, as long as it can be demonstrated that they <u>are of the highest quality of architectural design</u> , and they enhance and do not have a negative...."	To improve text

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
MC41	Strategic Policy WCRA Policy 5.23	Add reference to the draft St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Plan at the end of para 5.23 as follows: <u>"Where appropriate, the draft St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Plan will also be considered"</u>	To make reference to draft St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Plan
MC42	Strategic Policy WCRA Policy 5.23	Second sentence in para 5.23 to be amended as follows: "However, parts of the area such as the A40 and A3220 are <u>may be</u> less sensitive to the impact of building height due to large pieces of road and rail infrastructure that act to separate potential taller elements from nearby lower rise residential areas."	For clarity
MC43	Strategic Site Policy WCRA1 - White City East	The first bullet point of Policy WCRA1 will be amended as follows: "..community uses, a major educational <u>research and academic</u> hub.."	To update
MC44	Strategic Site Policy WCRA1 - White City East	The third bullet point of Policy WCRA1 will be amended as follows: "ensure that on sites primarily developed for higher educational <u>research/academic</u> purposes, that a mix of uses is provided, including non-student accommodation; and other non educational <u>academic</u> uses"	To update text
MC45	Strategic Site Policy WCRA1 - White City East Para 5.26	The second sentence of Para 5.26 will be amended as follows: "The Council supports the development of these sites for large scale higher educational uses <u>to create a research/academic hub</u> , together with residential (<u>non student</u>), employment and local retail..."	To update text
MC46	Policy WCRA2 Map (p.41)	Amend legend on map of HRA2 as follows: WCRA2 White City <u>West</u> East	To label map correctly
MC47	Strategic Site Policy WCRA3 -	Amend 4 th bullet point as follows: "Provide affordable housing <u>and affordable workspace</u> in	To make reference to

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
	Shepherd's Bush Market and adjacent land	accordance with Policy H03 <u>and Policy E1</u> "	affordable workspace
MC48	Strategic Site Policy WCRA3 - Shepherd's Bush Market and adjacent land	Insert an additional bullet point after the second bullet as follows: <u>"assist market traders so they can continue to trade and remain part of the market".</u>	To improve wording
MC49	Strategic Site Policy WCRA3 - Shepherd's Bush Market and adjacent land	Insert new sentence at the end of paragraph 5.39 as follows: <u>"The market traders play an important role in maintaining the historical character of the market and any proposals should provide viable and reasonable opportunities for them to stay part of the market"</u>	To explain additional bullet point in policy.
Hammersmith Regeneration Area			
MC50	Policy HRA2 Map (p.51)	Amend legend on map of HRA2 as follows: Strategic Site HRA 2 3	To label map correctly
MC51	Strategic Site Policy HRA2	Amend bullet point 5 as follows: "Ensure that the tunnel entrances and exits <u>avoid, or where this is not possible</u> , have minimal impact on the amenity of residents and the <u>local environment, including the significance and setting of heritage assets"</u>	For clarity
MC52	Strategic Site Policy HRA2	Amend bullet point 10 as follows: "be of a coherent urban design that has regard to the setting and context of the regeneration area, <u>including in its approach to scale and character, heritage assets and archaeology..."</u>	For clarity
Fulham Regeneration Area			
MC53	Strategic Policy FRA	Amend last bullet point of Policy FRA as follows: "preserve or enhance the character, appearance and setting of local heritage assets including the Grade II	To make reference to surrounding heritage

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		listed Fulham Town Hall <u>and the Grade I Historic Park and Garden at Brompton Cemetery</u> "	assets
MC54	Strategic Policy FRA	The final sentence of paragraph 5.77 to be removed as follows: There is scope for providing modern shop facilities as part of possible development in North End Road.	To update
MC55	Strategic Policy FRA- Fulham Regeneration Area	Amend fifth bullet point of Policy FRA as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provide for the improvement of the West Kensington, Gibbs Green and Registered Provider estates, <u>including the potential for renewal of and additions to all or parts of the estates</u> 	
MC56	Strategic Site Policy FRA1- Earls Court & West Kensington Opportunity Area	Amend third bullet point of Policy FRA1 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provide for improvement to the West Kensington, Gibbs Green and Registered Provider estates, <u>including the potential for renewal of and additions to all or parts of the estates</u>, as part of the comprehensive approach to the regeneration of the Opportunity Area. 	
South Fulham Regeneration Area			
MC57	Strategic Policy SFRRA- South Fulham Riverside Regeneration Area	Amend bullet point 11 as follows: "Be acceptable in terms of their transport impact and contribute to the necessary public transport accessibility and highway capacity in the SFFRA <u>and surrounding areas</u> ; and"	For clarity
MC58	Strategic Policy SFRRA- South Fulham Riverside Regeneration Area	Amend para 5.106 as follows: <u>"The council will protect the three safeguarded wharfs in accordance with London Plan Policy 7.26. However, it is the council's view that vacant and under-used wharves should continue to be comprehensively assessed by the Mayor of London approximately every 5 years to determine their longer term use.</u> The council will continue to promote the consolidation of wharf capacity downstream of Wandsworth bridge on Swedish and Comleys Wharves, where road access to the	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		strategic road network can be improved. Any proposals for non..."	
MC59	Strategic Policy SFRRA- South Fulham Riverside Regenerat ion Area	Amend para 5.110 as follows: "The amount and type of development will depend on the capacity of public transport and the road network in this <u>and surrounding areas</u> and the potential for their improvement".	For clarity
Meeting Housing Needs and Aspirations			
MC60	Policy HO1 Para 6.1	Proposed text to follow 6.1 as follows: <u>'Over the plan period, a substantial number of new homes will be delivered providing a local ladder of affordable housing opportunity, supported by leisure, green space, schools and community and other facilities.'</u>	To enhance text
MC61	Policy HO1	Amend wording in policy H01 as follows: "The council will work with partner organisations and landowners to exceed the London Plan (2016) <u>minimum</u> target of 1,031 additional dwellings a year up to 2025..."	For clarity
MC62	Policy HO1	Amend HO1 policy as follows: e) Ensuring that new dwellings meet local needs and are available for for occupation by people living in London. g) working to return vacant homes to use and ensure that <u>all</u> new homes are occupied <u>and vacant homes are returned to use to meet local and London needs</u> ;	For clarity
MC63	Policy HO1	Insert additional sentence to follow 'g' at Policy H01 - Housing Supply: <u>h. where possible, support applications for self and custom builds that are in accordance with the relevant Local Plan policies.</u>	To update policy in line with national policy
MC64	Policy HO1 Para 6.7	Amend paragraph: 'In addition to the significant amounts of new housing proposed in the regeneration areas, additional housing	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		will come forward on windfall sites throughout the borough and—as a result of changes of use of non-residential buildings and the conversion of larger houses to two or more smaller dwellings.’	
MC65	Policy HO1 Para 6.9	<p>Insert new text on Self Build and Custom Housebuilding after para 6.9 as follows:</p> <p><u>“The Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Act 2015 requires local authorities to keep a register of individuals and associations of individuals seeking to acquire serviced plots of land to build houses for those individuals to occupy as homes. Self-build typically refers to individuals seeking to build their own home and to occupy them. The council has produced a self-build register, where individuals may register their interest.</u></p> <p><u>Self build and custom housebuilding refers to individuals or groups of individuals interested in buying land and building a home to occupy. The London SHMA found that self-build provides 4% of all new homes in England. In London, the figures indicate that self-build accounts for 1.9% and 3.5% of annual housing output in London.</u></p> <p><u>The London SHMA has found from a survey conducted in 2013 that 13% of adults in London were actively researching self-build, in line with the national average. Results from the same survey found that 2% of adults in London were doing something about this in terms of acquiring land, submitting a planning application, or starting construction. Those likely to complete a self-build project within a year was 1%.</u></p> <p><u>There are a number of broad barriers to delivering or undertaking such a project which indicates why there are such low output levels in London and nationally: the high cost of land, access to finance – self-build is considered as relatively risky, which in turn favour high-density development and builders able to capitalise on economies of scale. Self-build typically takes place in small infill sites, end of terrace spaces, backland sites, gardens, garages, and small industrial sites. In parts of London where land values are lower, sites which would not have interest from developers, such as on the fringe of industrial sites, there are greater opportunities to take place.</u></p> <p><u>In Hammersmith and Fulham, where land prices are high and the supply of available land for development is so competitive, these factors do not provide the best conditions for self-build or custom housebuilding to take place. Whilst the council is supportive in principle, this will continue to be monitored and assessed through the AMR and self-build register. ”</u></p>	To add additional text relating to self build & custom build housing

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change																																																								
MC66	Policy H01	Amend bullet b) of Policy H01 as follows: The development of sites identified in the council's London Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) ."	For clarity																																																								
MC67	Policy H01 Table 2	Amend Table 2 'Indicative Housing Targets' as follows: Indicative Housing Targets <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Area</th> <th>2015/201</th> <th>2020/256</th> <th>Total 10 years</th> <th>2025/301</th> <th>2030/35</th> <th>Total 20 years for Plan Period (up to 2035)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>White City Regeneration Area/Opportunity Area **</td> <td>1,000 <u>2,300</u></td> <td>2,500 <u>3,500</u></td> <td>3,500 <u>5,800</u></td> <td>1,500 <u>100</u></td> <td>1,000 <u>100</u></td> <td>6,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hammersmith Town Centre</td> <td>200 <u>800</u></td> <td>600 <u>0</u></td> <td>800</td> <td>1,000</td> <td>1,000</td> <td>2,800</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fulham Regeneration Area **</td> <td>1,500</td> <td>2,500 <u>500</u></td> <td>4,000 <u>2,000</u></td> <td>1,500 <u>5,000</u></td> <td>1,500 <u>0</u></td> <td>7,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>South Fulham Riverside</td> <td>1,500</td> <td>1,500</td> <td>3,000</td> <td>500 <u>300</u></td> <td>500 <u>300</u></td> <td>4,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rest of the borough</td> <td>1,000 <u>2,000</u></td> <td>700 <u>200</u></td> <td>1,700 <u>2,200</u></td> <td>700 <u>0*</u></td> <td>0*</td> <td>2,400 <u>2,200</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>5,200 <u>8,100</u></td> <td>7,800 <u>5,700</u></td> <td>13,000 <u>13,800</u></td> <td>5,200 <u>5,900</u></td> <td>4,000 <u>900</u></td> <td>22,200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average/year</td> <td>1,040 <u>1,620</u></td> <td>1,560 <u>1,140</u></td> <td>2,600 <u>2,760</u></td> <td>1,040 <u>1,180</u></td> <td>800 <u>160</u></td> <td>1,140</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Area	2015/201	2020/256	Total 10 years	2025/301	2030/35	Total 20 years for Plan Period (up to 2035)	White City Regeneration Area/Opportunity Area **	1,000 <u>2,300</u>	2,500 <u>3,500</u>	3,500 <u>5,800</u>	1,500 <u>100</u>	1,000 <u>100</u>	6,000	Hammersmith Town Centre	200 <u>800</u>	600 <u>0</u>	800	1,000	1,000	2,800	Fulham Regeneration Area **	1,500	2,500 <u>500</u>	4,000 <u>2,000</u>	1,500 <u>5,000</u>	1,500 <u>0</u>	7,000	South Fulham Riverside	1,500	1,500	3,000	500 <u>300</u>	500 <u>300</u>	4,000	Rest of the borough	1,000 <u>2,000</u>	700 <u>200</u>	1,700 <u>2,200</u>	700 <u>0*</u>	0*	2,400 <u>2,200</u>	Total	5,200 <u>8,100</u>	7,800 <u>5,700</u>	13,000 <u>13,800</u>	5,200 <u>5,900</u>	4,000 <u>900</u>	22,200	Average/year	1,040 <u>1,620</u>	1,560 <u>1,140</u>	2,600 <u>2,760</u>	1,040 <u>1,180</u>	800 <u>160</u>	1,140	To update housing figures
Area	2015/201	2020/256	Total 10 years	2025/301	2030/35	Total 20 years for Plan Period (up to 2035)																																																					
White City Regeneration Area/Opportunity Area **	1,000 <u>2,300</u>	2,500 <u>3,500</u>	3,500 <u>5,800</u>	1,500 <u>100</u>	1,000 <u>100</u>	6,000																																																					
Hammersmith Town Centre	200 <u>800</u>	600 <u>0</u>	800	1,000	1,000	2,800																																																					
Fulham Regeneration Area **	1,500	2,500 <u>500</u>	4,000 <u>2,000</u>	1,500 <u>5,000</u>	1,500 <u>0</u>	7,000																																																					
South Fulham Riverside	1,500	1,500	3,000	500 <u>300</u>	500 <u>300</u>	4,000																																																					
Rest of the borough	1,000 <u>2,000</u>	700 <u>200</u>	1,700 <u>2,200</u>	700 <u>0*</u>	0*	2,400 <u>2,200</u>																																																					
Total	5,200 <u>8,100</u>	7,800 <u>5,700</u>	13,000 <u>13,800</u>	5,200 <u>5,900</u>	4,000 <u>900</u>	22,200																																																					
Average/year	1,040 <u>1,620</u>	1,560 <u>1,140</u>	2,600 <u>2,760</u>	1,040 <u>1,180</u>	800 <u>160</u>	1,140																																																					
MC68	Policy H01, Para 6.6	Amend first sentence of Para 6.6 as follows: The indicative housing targets are based on the assessment methodology set out in the London council's SHLAA "	For clarity																																																								
MC69	Policy H01 Para 6.9	Add the following new text after paragraph 6.9: <u>'The Build to Rent or Private Rent sector has the potential to boost the supply of private rental accommodation across the borough. The SHMA identifies that private renting is high and is increasing in the borough, between 2001 and 2011 the private rented sector increased from 23% to 33%. Bearing this in mind, build to rent may offer a greater range and choice to private renters.'</u>	To explain build to rent and private rent																																																								

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<p><u>The council recognises that the financial model of build to rent is different to traditional, private market housing and there will be separate viability concerns when considering build to rent schemes. Nevertheless, a range of tenures will be expected to provide accessible housing for all. Long-term covenants will be expected on any scheme to ensure developments are rental for at least 15 years. Importantly, affordable housing should be in perpetuity.</u></p> <p><u>An integral part that makes build to rent development different is the management of the site. The council will expect that any developers will identify a suitable, long term, experienced management team in place when coming forward with any applications that will deliver high-quality housing for its residents.</u></p> <p><u>When considering build to rent schemes, it will be important to consider the nature of build to rent development. Higher turnover is anticipated in build to rent schemes which may have a wider impact in terms of the sense of community in the area and other high-street parking issues and impacts. Evidence of mitigating these issues and/or ways of managing these issues may be required by the council.'</u></p>	
MC70	Policy H03	<p>Amend Policy H03, bullet point a as follows:</p> <p>a. A borough wide target that at least 50% of all dwellings built between 2015-25 should be affordable.</p>	To delete time period
MC71	Policy H03 Para 6.17	<p>Amend para 6.17. as follows:</p> <p>"..... by setting an affordable housing target of at least 50 % of all dwellings built between 2015-2025."</p>	To delete time period
MC72	Policy H03, para 6.17	<p>Amend third sentence of para 6.17 as follows:.</p> <p>"60% of the net gain in affordable housing should be social or affordable rented housing and 40% should be intermediate housing available..."</p>	For clarity of council's position
MC73	Policy H03, paras 6.18	<p>Add new sentence to the end of paragraph 6.18 as follows:</p> <p><u>"The affordable housing proportion should be calculated in relation to gross rather than net provision i.e. it</u></p>	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<u>should be based on the total number of units proposed in the final development."</u>	
MC74	Policy HO3 Para 6.27	Amend paragraph 6.27 as follows: '...The need for more affordable housing in the borough is demonstrated by the number of households on the Housing Register – (as of October 2014 <u>February 2017</u>) there were 850 applicants and the number of households, approximately 1,200 in temporary housing, including bed and breakfast. <u>1,950 applicants on the housing register and approximately 1, 374 households in temporary housing.</u>	To update
MC75	Policy HO3 Para 6.28	Add further paragraph following 6.28: <u>'The council will seek the maximum reasonable amount of affordable housing on any development. Vacant Building Credit (VBC) was introduced by Central Government in 2014 to incentivise the redevelopment of disused and vacant buildings on brownfield sites by offering developers a financial credit equivalent to the existing gross floor space when calculating the affordable housing contribution. Any increase in floorspace, affordable housing contributions will be required. When assessing applications, it is important to apply the Government's intended purpose for VBC.</u> <u>In an area of high affordable housing need, VBC has the potential to decrease the affordable housing supply across the borough. In order to mitigate against this potential loss, VBC will not be applicable where there is evidence of the following:</u> - <u>The building is not covered by an extant planning permission or recently expired planning permission for the same or substantially the same development;</u> - <u>The building has been left vacant for the sole purpose of redevelopment; and,</u> - <u>The building, at the time of application, is not in any legal use</u>	To update in line with national policy
MC76	Policy HO3 Para 6.31	Delete last sentence in paragraph 6.31 as follows: '... Where Starter Homes are substituted for affordable housing in development proposals, the council will expect them to replace affordable home ownership	To update with national policy updates

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		products (primarily shared ownership) rather than affordable rented housing.'	
MC77	Policy HO3 Para 6.33	Add additional text to paragraph 6.33 as follows: "Mixed tenure housing developments should be tenure blind, meaning that it should be difficult to spot the difference in the architectural quality of market and affordable properties. <u>It is important for the council to ensure that housing developments are inclusive for all residents. The council recognises that spreading of affordable housing units may not be practical in all schemes. For example, separate service cores may be necessary between private and all affordable housing units to maintain affordable service charges and to aid good housing management when locating affordable tenures.</u> "	For clarity in response to consultation comment
MC78	Policy H04	Amend last para of Policy H04 as follows: High density housing with limited car parking <u>can help ensure housing output is optimised</u> and may be appropriate in locations with high levels of public transport accessibility (PTAL 4-6) provided it <u>is compatible with the local context and the principles of good design and</u> is satisfactory in all other respects."	For clarity
MC79	Policy HO5 Para 6.41	Add the following text into first sentence of para 6.41 as follows: "There is a particular need in this borough for more family sized housing (three or more bedrooms), particularly affordable housing <u>. The Council's SHMA has found that 42% of the current affordable/social rented accommodation is one bedroom properties, predominantly flats, and only 24% of properties with three or more bedrooms. Furthermore, 42% of all affordable social rent sector are occupied with children, higher than owner occupied and private rented sector...'</u>	To provide further justification
MC80	Policy HO5 Para 6.43	Add additional sentence in paragraph 6.43 as follows: "Although there is a recognised need for larger house sizes in the intermediate housing market, costs of larger units can mean that that the level of subsidy required to make three or more bedroom houses affordable can make it difficult to achieve a higher proportion of the	To provide further justification

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		other affordable family dwellings. <u>In addition, the Council's SHMA has identified a high need for 1 bedroom properties (64%) and 2 bedroom properties (30%), based on the Council's HomeBuy Register.</u> Therefore the focus..."	
MC81	Policy HO10	Amend Policy HO10 as follows: The council will work closely with the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, and any other relevant partners to protect, improve and, if necessary, increase the capacity of the existing gypsy and traveller site at Westway <u>Stable Way.</u> <u>Applications for additional sites should meet the requirements set out in the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (2015).</u>	To update
MC82	Policy HO10 Para 6.33	Amend para 6.63 as follows: 'The council and the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea (RBKC) jointly provide a site for 19 <u>20</u> travellers' pitches on land in RBKC to the east of the White City Opportunity Area (19 authorised and 1 unauthorised pitch). Following engagement with the local traveller community an assessment of the need for traveller pitches was carried out in accordance with the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments (DCLG 2007). This study suggested a need for extra pitches for an additional five families by 2020 Planning Policy for Travellers Sites (PPTS) Aug 2015. <u>The assessment identified that 3 additional pitches are required in the first five years, 9 in total over the plan period.</u> The council is currently working with RBKC and the local traveller community to determine how best to meet the identified needs. <u>Both authorities are working together to determine how best to meet this identified need, where possible, in accordance with further Site Appraisal work. Any applications should be developed in accordance with the NPPF and Planning Policy for Traveller Sites guidance note.'</u>	To update text
MC83	Policy HO11	Amend point c) of Policy H011 as follows: '... amenity and <u>green</u> garden space...'	
MC84	Policy HO11	Amend last sentence of para 6.66 as follows: '... if not consistently managed <u>under sound</u>	

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
	Para 6.66	<u>environmental principles .’</u>	
Local Economy and Employment			
MC85	Policy E1	<p>Amend first sentence of paragraph 2 in policy E1 as follows:</p> <p>Policy E1</p> <p>“...The council will also support the retention, <u>enhancement</u>, and intensification of existing employment works...”</p>	To clarify
MC86	Policy E1 Para 6.67	<p>Add sentence to paragraph 6.67 as follows:</p> <p>“...often provide services direct to residents or to other businesses in the borough. <u>This range of employment uses goes across the scope of employment land uses, including some sui generis uses (as defined in the glossary)”</u></p>	To make reference to sui generis uses in justification text
MC87	Policy E1 Para 6.68	<p>Add additional paragraph to follow 6.68:</p> <p><u>“As there is a large proportion of small to medium sized enterprises in the borough, the council is keen to provide a wide range of workspace to support existing and new businesses as well as respond to the changing behaviours of doing business. The overall loss in B1 land use across the borough has resulted in increased rents. A significant loss of B1 office space has been from smaller buildings - 74% of permitted development has been from the conversion of office buildings below 100 sq m to residential use. The council is therefore keen to provide a range of workspaces in terms of size, cost and leasing arrangements.</u></p> <p><u>Applications for purpose built business development schemes will be expected to provide affordable workspace. For example, flexible leasing arrangements, cross subsidised rent through S106 agreements, reduced rent arrangements, provide co-hubs or start up space. In mixed use schemes, the council will consider the requirement for affordable workspace in relation to viability and the impact it could have upon delivery.</u></p> <p><u>Successful working of affordable workspace will require an understanding of the occupant and the type of space required. Therefore, the council will expect developers to engage with workspace providers in order to manage the space and/or to identify the future occupants of the space.”</u></p>	To add new text on affordable workspace

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
MC88	Policy E1 Additional text	<p>Add further text to follow 6.70:</p> <p><u>'Where applications include replacement employment uses on existing employment sites, the replacement employment uses should not be of a lesser quality than at present. Where possible, the proposed scheme should be of a higher quality and seek to meet the needs of the employer. The replacement employment uses should not affect the long-term functioning of the employer in terms of either the proposed scale and/or location of the employment use on the site.'</u></p>	To strengthen policy
MC89	Policy E2	<p>Add additional paragraph after paragraph 6.73 as follows:</p> <p><u>"Applications for an alternative use will be expected to demonstrate the manner in which the alternative use provides a demonstrably greater benefit than the current use. The following considerations may apply, but is not limited to, the amount of full time equivalent jobs generated against the current use, the types of employment and how it accords with the H&F Economic Development Strategy. Applicants will also be expected to include details on alternative sites, the search process and how the current site does not fulfil its current or future purposes."</u></p>	To strengthen policy wording
MC90	Policy E2 Para 6.73	<p>Amend paragraph 6.73 as follows:</p> <p>"In general, where there is a planning application for a site or building for change of use out of employment, the council will require supporting evidence that indicates that despite best efforts to find a user for premises, it remains vacant. Applications for an alternative or change of use, consideration will be given to any extensive, on-going issues with neighbouring uses and/or will be expected to demonstrate the benefits. Where there is a long-standing history between the current use and established residential areas, evidence will be required to identify how any measures have been taken by either party to manage the particular concern.</p> <p><u>The council adopts the GLA's Agent of Change principle, where the applicant is expected to mitigate their development in order to accommodate the existing, surrounding development. This therefore puts the onus on new development and should contribute to the protection of employment uses in the borough."</u></p> <p>-As proposed at MC89, insert additional text.</p> <p>- Retain current wording from paragraph 6.73 from "In respect of demonstrating that a property is</p>	To add greater clarification to the justification text

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		no longer required for employment use..." to follow proposed additional text at MC89.	
MC91	Policy E2 Para 6.75	Amend third sentence of paragraph 6.75 as follows: "The borough is currently identified in the London Plan (2016) <u>The London Plan (2016) identifies White City</u> as an area where transfer of industrial and warehousing land to other uses should be "restricted (with exceptional planned release)".	To update
MC92	Policy E3 Para 6.77	Amend paragraph 6.77 as follows: The London Plan (2016) seeks 40,000 additional hotel bedrooms by 203 <u>16</u> located primarily in London's town centres and opportunity areas.	To update year to be in line with the London Plan (2016)
MC93	Policy E4 Para 6.80	Add the following sentence to the end of paragraph 6.80: "This will increase pressure on the already overstretched supply of housing and local transport infrastructure. <u>This is also important in addressing social inequalities across the borough.</u> "	To strengthen justification text
Town and Local Centres			
MC94	Policy TLC1	Amend bullet point d of Policy TLC1 as follows: d) support the conversion <u>or redevelopment</u> of unused or underused space above ground floor for new residential accommodation (subject to the requirements of other relevant policies).	For clarity
MC95	Policy TLC1 Para 6.90	Delete the following sentence of paragraph 6.90 as follows: .One opportunity for improvement is in the northern part of the centre, along North End Road and Lillie Road.	
MC96	Map 5: Shopping Hierarchy	Amend Map 5 by showing OPDC area.	For clarity
MC97	Policy TLC6, para 6.117	Amend text in paragraph 6.117 as follows: "The Betting shop exclusion zone of 400 metres <u>applies to each use separately and</u> enables the council to manage the amount of new premises within walking	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		distance of existing premises, thereby reducing the clustering and concentration of such uses.”	
MC98	Policy TLC7	Add the following text to the end of the 4 th paragraph of the justification text: <u>“Marketing evidence will be expected to show that the rent or property value of the pub is a fair reflection of the going rate and not artificially inflated. It could for example, compare the property with other examples of properties that are occupied as pubs or have been recently let as pubs to ensure the marketing exercise has been fairly undertaken”.</u>	To enhance justification text
Community Facilities, Leisure & Recreation			
MC99	Policy CF1	Amend point 3 c) as follows: “Seeking new <u>or enhanced</u> facilities where appropriate and viable, including as part of major development proposals, in particular: - major new leisure...”	For clarity
MC100	Policy CF1	Amend point 4 as follows: Supporting the continued presence of the major public sports venues for football, <u>athletics</u> and tennis...”	For clarity
MC101	Policy CF1 Para 6.128	Amend paragraph 6.128 as follows: “In respect of sport the limited amount of open space in the borough, including in most of our secondary schools, means that the council has to maximise the use of its resources. The council have prepared a Sports and Physical Activity Strategy to increase participation in sports <u>and leisure, this covers a wide range of facilities in the borough, including indoor sports and leisure facilities such as sports halls, swimming pools and health and fitness centres, as well as outdoor facilities such as sports pitches, playing fields, tennis courts and basketball/netball courts. The council undertook a comprehensive needs assessment of sports and leisure facilities across the borough which focused on swimming pools, sports halls, health and fitness centres, Synthetic Turf Pitches, athletics, indoor tennis and climbing facilities. This identified several areas of the borough where there are deficiencies in certain types of facilities, as well as the types of facilities where improvements and/or new provision is needed to support future growth. In particular, a significant need for sport halls</u>	To update & add further text relating to sports & leisure facilities evidence

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<u>was identified. The council have also prepared Community Sports and Physical Activity Strategy, which sets out the main priorities for increasing participation in sports and leisure activities."</u>	
MC102	Policy CF1	<p>Insert two new paragraphs after para 6.128 (above) as follows:</p> <p><u>The council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan provides further details of the future requirements for sports and leisure provision in the borough. A number of schemes have been identified to improve and enhance existing sports facilities. In the council's regeneration areas there will be opportunities for new sports and leisure facilities such as sports halls to be provided as part of major developments, which includes proposals for new provision within the White City Opportunity Area and the Earls Court and West Kensington Opportunity Area.</u></p> <p><u>The council's Open Space Audit and subsequent update papers provide a detailed assessment of outdoor sports provision in the borough which found general deficiencies in the level of outdoor sporting facilities across the borough. Given the limited amount of open space in the borough, it is important that the use of existing open space is maximised including better use of the River Thames is made for water sports, with new facilities negotiated as part of redevelopment schemes where appropriate.</u></p>	To make reference to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan & Open Space evidence
MC103	Policy CF1	<p>Delete paragraph 6.129 as follows:</p> <p>6.129 Given the limited amount of open space, the council also wants to make better use of the Thames River for water sports and the council will negotiate for new facilities, as part of redevelopment schemes, where appropriate.</p>	Updated text added above
MC104	Policy CF1 Para 6.133 p.114	<p>Amend Para 6.133 as follows:</p> <p>Para 6.133: line 3: delete "National Commissioning Board (NCB)" and replace with "<u>NHS England</u>". Line 3: delete "The NCB" and replace with: "<u>NHS England</u>"</p>	To update
MC105	Policy CF1 Para 6.136 p.114	<p>Amend second bullet point of paragraph 6.136 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • delivering White City Collaborative Care Centre <u>Park View Centre for Health and Well Being</u> to improve care 	To update

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		for residents and every child has the best start in life	
MC106	Policy CF2	Amend para 6.139 as follows: "Buildings and land used for community uses constitute a major community resource, <u>promoting social inclusion and community cohesion</u> "	To strengthen justification text
MC107	Policy CF3	Amend para 6.142 first sentence as follows: "...of all members of the community as well as visitors to the borough <u>by enhancing social inclusion and community cohesion.</u> "	To strengthen justification text
MC108	Policy CF4	Amend first sentence of para 6.146 as follows: "..provides a major source of entertainment and contributes to the life of the community by <u>enhancing social inclusion and community cohesion</u> "	To strengthen justification text
Green and Public Open Space			
MC109	Policy OS1	Para 6.148 to be amended as follows: "The council's 2008 Parks Survey and other background information shows that open space is important for peoples' quality of life, <u>clean air, reduction of urban heat</u> and enhancing biodiversity in the borough."	To make reference to further benefits of open space.
MC110	Map 6: Open Space	Amend map 6 to show OPDC area greyed out	For clarity
MC111	Policy OS2	Amend policy OS2 as follows: The council will seek to reduce open space deficiency and to improve will <u>protect and enhance</u> the quality of and access to existing open space by a. Refusing development on public open space and other green space of <u>strategic</u> and borough-wide importance, <u>as identified in the council's Open Space Hierarchy</u> (Appendix 3) and Proposals Map unless.... b. Refusing development on open space that is not identified in the Local Plan..... • The proposed development would release a site for built development..... c. Requiring <u>provision of</u> accessible and inclusive new open space in new major new developments,	To clarify and align better with Sport England's objectives

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<u>particularly within the council's Regeneration Areas."</u>	
MC112	Policy OS2	Delete last sentence of paragraph 6.153 as follows: " In order to improve access to the borough's parks and open spaces for local residents, the council will restrict their use for private events and use by out of of borough schools"	Not required
MC113	Policy OS5	Add point f. to the policy as follows: f. <u>making Tree Preservation Orders where justified and in the interests of amenity</u>	To improve polict text.
MC114	Policy OS3	Add specific reference to play facilities for teenagers in Policy OS3 as follows: "in new residential developments that provides family accommodation; accessible and inclusive, safe and secure communal playspace will be required on site that is well designed and located and caters for the different needs of all children, including children in younger age groups, older children, <u>teenagers</u> and disabled children".	For clarity
MC115	Policy OS4	Amend para 6.160 as follows: "The closely built up nature of the borough, and the overall deficiency in accessible nature conservation areas, makes it important that all new development contributes to addressing these deficiencies by <u>respecting and enhancing</u> existing nature conservation interest and provides future opportunities to improve the biodiversity of the area, as well as <u>opening up currently inaccessible sites where appropriate</u> .. The regeneration areas <u>can</u> plays an important part in this".	To improve & enhance text relating to nature conservation areas.
MC116	Policy OS4	Section to be added into para 6.158 to explain areas of deficiency in the borough as follows: <u>"The Council's Parks and Open Spaces Strategy 2008-2018 and the 2006 Open Spaces Audit identify that there is an overall deficiency in access to nature conservation areas in the borough, with an area of deficiency running north/south through the borough from the River Thames to Brook Green. The London Plan Implementation Report: Improving Londoners' Access to Nature provides information on addressing these deficiencies in access to nature, with a number of priority sites for improving biodiversity identified within Hammersmith and Fulham."</u>	To add text to explain deficiencies in access to nature conservation areas.

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
MC117	Map 7: Nature Conservation Areas	Amend Map 7 to show a greyed out area for OPDC land.	For clarity
MC118	Map 7: Nature Conservation Areas	Amend Map 7 to show Margravine Cemetery (Hammersmith Cemetery) as Grade II borough-wide importance.	To update
MC119	Policy OS5	Amend para 6.161 as follows: "for example through improved local air quality. <u>Walking in green areas has also been shown to improve the physical and mental health of participants</u> There will also be visual benefits from a greener borough....".	To strengthen policy by adding reference to health benefits
MC120	Policy OS5	Include sentence in para 6.161 as follows: "Green or brown roofs and walls are also an essential sustainable design consideration and provide many of the benefits of more conventional urban greening. <u>Where opportunities arise, space for community food growing should also be encouraged, for example through creative use of green roofs, walls and balconies.</u>	To include reference to space for community food growing
River Thames			
MC121	Policy RTC1	Add point (e) to Policy RTC1 as follows: e. <u>promoting use of the River Thames for transport uses, including passengers and freight</u>	For clarity- To be consistent with transport policies
MC122	Policy RTC1	Add bullet point (f) to Policy RTC1 as follows: <u>"Seeking improvements to the tidal foreshore in line with the requirements of the Thames River Basin Management Plan and the Thames Estuary 2100 Plan".</u>	For clarity- To be consistent with policy CC3.
MC123	Policy RTC3	Third bullet point of RTC3 to be amended as follows: • "Maintains or enhances the quality of the built, natural and <u>historic</u> environment".	For clarity
MC124	Policy RTC4	Reference to "leisure" to be included in paragraph 6.177 as follows: "The River Thames can and should be used in ways that reflect its special character, for example as a base for	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		many water related recreational, leisure and commercial activities, a transport route..."	
MC125	Policy RTC4	Amend point a. of policy RTC4 as follows: "Developments that include provision in the river for water based and river related activities and uses, including new permanent moorings, passenger services, and for facilities associated therewith, particularly where these would be publicly accessible, will be welcomed, provided: a. they are suitably located and compatible with the character of the River..."	For clarity
MC126	Policy RTC4	Add text into of paragraph 6.177 as follows: "The River also provides a home for a number of boat dwellers. A range of permanently moored vessels can add diversity and vibrancy to the river. However, these need to be carefully located and managed. Where developments include provision for new permanent moorings, the Thames Strategy Kew-Chelsea (2000) should be considered."	To make reference to boat dwellers.
MC127	Policy RTC4	Amend first sentence of para 6.178 as follows: "It is important to retain and improve river infrastructure identified in paragraph 6.176 above , such as..."	To update and improve text.
Design and Conservation			
MC128	Policy DC1 Para 6.182, p.129	Amend last sentence of paragraph 6.182 as follows: "...and the associated English Heritage Historic England Historic Environment Planning Practice Guide."	To update name
MC129	Policy DC1	Amend second sentence of para 6.183 as follows: "Where this is appropriate ¶the council will seek this form of design in development proposals."	For clarity
MC130	Policy DC1	Amend first sentence of Policy DC1 as follows: "All development in the borough, including in the regeneration areas , should...."	To clarify
MC131	Policy DC2	Amend point e) of Policy DC2 as follows:	To improve text.

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		" <u>good neighbourliness and</u> the principles of residential amenity"	
MC132	Policy DC3	Amend bullet point [d] of Policy DC3 as follows: " <u>does not have an unacceptably</u> has no harmful impact in terms of <u>on the</u> setting of, and views to and from, heritage assets."	For clarity
MC133	Policy DC3	Amend first sentence of para 6.194 as follows: "Hammersmith Town centre has a number of existing tall buildings and further tall buildings of a similar height could be appropriate in some parts of the centre"	For clarity
MC134	Policy DC3	Add sentence to point (d) as follows: " <u>..and should comply with Historic England guidance on Tall Buildings.</u> "	To improve polict text.
MC135	Policy DC4	Amend para 6.203 as follows: "Planted front and rear gardens form <u>an important</u> part of the <u>townscape</u> character of many of the borough's streets."	To improve text.
MC136	Policy DC5	Amend para 6.211 as follows: ".....retention of the shopfront <u>including original windows and glazing bars</u> , where it is historically"	To improve text.
MC137	Policy DC5	Amend second paragraph of Policy DC5 as follows: Where an original shopfront is converted to another use or a consistent traditional shopfront remains, the council will expect ot to be retained and restored	For clarity.
MC138	Policy DC5	Amend third paragraph of Policy DC5 as follows: New developments which include retail areas should provide a framework into which a shopfront <u>and signage</u> of a suitable scale can be inserted.	For clarity
MC139	Policy DC5	Amend fourth paragraph of Policy DC5 as follows: Fascia signs and projecting signs should not be overly large and should be designed to be appropriate to the	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		styles of the shopfront (see section on Shopfront Guidance in the Planning Guidance Supplementary Planning Document).	
MC140	Policy DC7	Amend parts 1 and 2 of policy DC7 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Development Applications within the Thames Policy Area will not be permitted if it would cause demonstrable harm to the view from the following points:... 2. Development Applications will also not be permitted if it would cause demonstrable harm to the view from the following points:..." 	For clarity- to cover advertisement consents & tree works and to be consistent with the NPPF
MC141	Policy DC7	Amend second paragraph of Policy DC7 as follows: <p>"... where proposed development applications in these views..."</p>	For clarity- to cover advertisement consents & tree works
MC142	Policy DC7	Amend para 6.217 as follows: <p>"..The council will seek to ensure that proposed development, due to its location, scale, and massing, does not harm these views in terms of location, scale and massing. The council will seek to ensure that significant views in and out of conservation areas remain unharmed are not unacceptably harmed by new development such that the conservation area is preserved and or enhanced...."</p>	To be consistent with NPPF.
MC143	Policy DC7	Amend para 6.220 as follows: <p>"The council is aware that the landmarks identified are also enjoyed in important views from outside the borough boundary, and will ensure that these are fully considered when assessing the impact of any development which may impinge impact on these views."</p>	To improve text
MC144	Policy DC8	Amend first paragraph of Policy DC8 as follows: <p>The council will conserve the significance of the boroughs historic environment by protecting, restoring and enhancing it's its heritage assets. These assets..."</p>	Typo
MC145	Policy DC8	Delete 'development' from the last sentence of the first paragraph of Policy DC8 as follows: <p>When determining applications for development affecting heritage assets, the council will apply the</p>	For clarity- to cover advertisement consents &

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		following principles:	tree works
MC146	Policy DC8	In part b of Policy DC8, change 'development' to 'applications' as follows: b. development <u>applications</u> affecting designated heritage assets, including alterations and extensions to buildings will only be permitted..."	For clarity- to cover advertisement consents & tree works
MC147	Policy DC8	In part c of Policy DC8, change 'development to applications as follows: c. development <u>applications</u> should conserve the setting of, make a positive contribution to, or reveal the significance of the heritage asset.	For clarity- to cover advertisement consents
MC148	Policy DC8	Amend part c of Policy DC8 as follows: c.The presence of heritage assets should inform high quality design within its <u>their</u> setting	For clarity
MC149	Policy DC8	Amend part d of policy DC8 as follows: d. applications for development affecting non-designated heritage assets (building and artefacts of local importance and interest	For clarity- to cover advertisement consent applications
MC150	Policy DC8	Amend part f as follows: f. where changes of use are proposed for heritage assets, the proposed use, and any alterations that are required resulting from the proposed use should be consistent with the aims of conservation of the asset's character and significance, <u>including securing its optimum viable use.</u>	For clarity
MC151	Policy DC8	Amend part g as follows: g. applications should include a description of the significance of the asset concerned and an assessment of the impact of the proposed development <u>proposal</u> upon it or its setting which should be carried out with the assistance of a suitably qualified person.	For clarity- to cover advertisement consent applications
MC152	Policy DC8, para 6.221	Amend paragraph 6.221 as follows: Hammersmith and Fulham has maintained much-valued built heritage, much of which falls within the borough's 45 <u>44</u> designated conservation areas (see Proposals Map and Table 5 below).	Grand Union now lies within boundary of OPDC.
MC153	Policy DC8	Add new para before 6.221 as follows: <u>Planning [listed buildings and conservation areas] act</u>	To improve text and to reference

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<p><u>1990 sets out the principal statutory duties which must be considered in the determination of any application affecting listed buildings or conservation areas. The Act requires local planning authorities to :-</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• Have special regard to the desirability of preserving the [listed] building or its setting or any features of special, architectural, or historic interest which it possesses</u> <u>• Pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area</u> 	relevant legislation.
MC154	Policy DC8, Table 5 Conservation Areas	Amend Table 5, change number 41. ' Sands End Riverside ' to 41. ' <u>Sands End</u> '	To update
MC155	Policy DC8	<p>bullet point [b] should end at ".....conserved or enhanced." So delete as follows:</p> <p>"...or where there is less than substantial harm and the harm is outweighed by the public benefits of the proposal..."</p>	To correspond with changes elsewhere
MC156	Policy DC8	<p>move the last sentence of bullet point b to a new bullet point [k]</p> <p><u>k) where measures to mitigate the effects of climate change are proposed, the applicants will be required to demonstrate how they have considered the significance of the heritage asset and tailored their proposals accordingly.</u></p>	
MC157	Policy DC8	<p>Bullet point [h] amend as follows:</p> <p>"Proposals which involve harm to or loss of, <u>substantial harm, or less than substantial harm</u> of any designated a heritage asset will be refused unless it can be demonstrated that they meet the criteria specified in paragraphs 133 <u>and 134</u> of the National Planning Policy Framework"</p>	
MC158	Policy DC8	<p>Add addition to the end of bullet point [g]. as follows:</p> <p>"...level of the assets significance. <u>Where archaeological remains of national significance may be affected applications should also be supported by an archaeological field evaluation</u>"</p>	

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
MC159	Policy DC8	Include Historic England website reference in para. 6.232 as follows: "....Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service [GLAAS] at an early stage. <u>Further guidance on archaeological priority areas can be found on the Historic England website.</u> "	
MC160	Policy DC8	Substitute Historic England in para 6.227 as follows: "...work with English Heritage <u>Historic England</u> to maintain..."	To update name
MC161	Policy DC8, para 6.230	reword para 6.230 as follows: Locally important buildings are of value in terms of townscape, architectural or historic interest and is especially important that they should not be demolished. <u>The council will seek to preserve in a manner appropriate to their significance.</u> Any alterations should be carried out in a way that respects the scale, character, and materials of the building (see relevant <u>Planning Guidance</u> Supplementary Planning Document.	To improve text.
MC162	Policy DC9	Amend para 2 of Policy DC9 as follows: "....be located at ground floor level and <u>in the case of shopfronts and commercial buildings,</u> relate to the commercial zone of"	To improve text.
MC163	Policy DC9	Amend first sentence of Policy DC9 as follows: "... and should not impact adversely on public <u>have an unacceptable impact on road</u> safety."	To take account of road safety
MC164	Policy DC9	Amend first paragraph of Policy DC9 as follows: "...The council will resist excessive or obtrusive advertising and illuminating signs which adversely affect the character and appearances of the neighbourhood.."	For clarity
MC165	Policy DC9	Amend second paragraph of Policy DC9 as follows: ...Further detailed guidance for shopfronts and advertisements in conservation areas <u>shop signs</u> is included in the Planning Guidance Supplementary Planning Document.	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
MC166	Policy DC11	Amend part i) as follows: i. ensure that lightwells and railings at the front or side of the property are as discreet as possible and allow the scale, character and appearance of the property, street or terrace to remain largely unchanged;	For clarity
Environmental Sustainability			
MC167	2035 Vision: delivering an environmentally sustainable borough	Amend last but one sentence in the first paragraph of the Vision 2035 statement as follows: “...carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions <u>and air pollutants harmful to health</u> ”.	
MC168	Policy CC1	Amendment proposed to bullet point (d) of CC1 to add text at the end as follows: <u>“...if this can be done without having an unacceptable impact on air quality”.</u>	To improve text
MC169	Policy CC3	Add the following text to the end of paragraph 6.261 as follows: <u>“...although there are currently no Groundwater Source Protection Zones in the borough that require specific protection”</u>	To improve text.
MC170	Policy CC3	Amend the text in paragraph 6.266 to insert the following sentence after the opening line: <u>“The borough is in an area of Serious Water Stress which is defined by the Environment Agency as a region where the current or future demand for household water is, or is likely to be, a high proportion of the effective rainfall which is available to meet that demand”.</u>	To improve text.
MC171	Policy CC4	Amend bullet point 4 of Policy CC4 as follows: “....biodiversity, amenity and recreation, water efficiency and quality <u>and safe environments for pedestrians and cyclists</u> ”.	To improve text.
MC172	Policy CC4	Amend second to last bullet point of Policy CC4 as follows: • All flat roofs in new developments should be green or brown <u>living</u> roofs to help contribute to	To update

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		reducing surface water run-off;	
MC173	Policy CC4	Amend penultimate sentence of para 6.275 as follows: "may also be feasible for some developments, <u>where this can be implemented without causing adverse impacts on the river, including its habitat and associated biodiversity</u> ".	To improve text.
MC174	Policy CC5	Insert the following text at the start of the second sentence in paragraph 6.278 as follows: <u>"In order to comply with the Drinking Water Directive and the Water Framework Directive Ppotable and..."</u>	To improve text.
MC175	Policy CC6	Amend point (c) of Policy CC6 as follows: "C. seeking, where possible, the movement of waste and recyclable materials by sustainable means of transport, <u>maximising the use of the River Thames where possible.</u> "	To include reference to the River Thames
MC176	Policy CC6	Add the following new text after para 6.284 of Policy CC6 as follows : <u>Part of LBHF falls within the boundary of OPDC and therefore a certain proportion of LBHF's waste arisings and capacity will be derived from the OPDC area. As OPDC does not have a waste apportionment target in the current London Plan (2016), OPDC is required to co-operate with LBHF to ensure its apportionment requirements are met.</u>	To clarify position of OPDC
MC177	Policy CC6	Add new paragraph after para 6.284 (following new text above) of policy CC6 as follows: <u>"OPDC is currently preparing its Local Plan. The draft Local Plan was published for consultation in February 2016 and was accompanied by a draft Waste Strategy. The draft waste strategy demonstrated how OPDC could help constituent boroughs, including LBHF, meet the apportionment targets in the London Plan. For LBHF, the strategy shows that there would be sufficient capacity at the Old Oak Sidings (Powerday) site to meet LBHF's London Plan apportionment targets. On this basis, OPDC's draft Local Plan identifies and is seeking to safeguard the Powerday site". OPDC is not proposing to safeguard the remaining waste sites (including the EMR), which will be redeveloped to facilitate</u>	For clarity- to provide overview of OPDC

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change																								
		<u>regeneration of the Old Oak area. For LBHF, the strategy shows that there would be sufficient capacity at the Powerday site to meet LBHF's London Plan apportionment targets.</u>																									
MC178	Policy CC6 6.280	Amend paragraph 6.280 as follows: London Plan (2016) policies are seeking to manage as much of London's waste within London as practicable, and are working towards managing the equivalent of 100% of London's waste (municipal and commercial and industrial waste) arising in London by 2026. <u>The London Plan sets out the waste apportionment to be managed by London Boroughs for household and commercial and industrial waste.</u> Hammersmith and Fulham's apportioned waste total for 2036, as specified in the London Plan (2016), comprises 106,000 tonnes household waste and 141,000 tonnes commercial and industrial waste by 2036 <u>(247,000 tonnes in total by 2036).</u>	To add clarity																								
MC179	Policy CC6 6.280	Insert new table after paragraph 6.280 (above) as follows: <u>London Plan Waste Apportionment for LBHF and Waste Planning Authorities (WPA's) in the WRWA</u> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="5"><u>London Plan Apportionment (tonnes per annum)</u></th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>2016</u></th> <th><u>2021</u></th> <th><u>2026</u></th> <th><u>2031</u></th> <th><u>2036</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>LBHF</u></td> <td><u>172,000</u></td> <td><u>199,000</u></td> <td><u>238,000</u></td> <td><u>242,000</u></td> <td><u>247,000</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>WPA's in the WRWA</u></td> <td><u>683,000</u></td> <td><u>790,000</u></td> <td><u>944,000</u></td> <td><u>961,000</u></td> <td><u>981,000</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		<u>London Plan Apportionment (tonnes per annum)</u>						<u>2016</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2026</u>	<u>2031</u>	<u>2036</u>	<u>LBHF</u>	<u>172,000</u>	<u>199,000</u>	<u>238,000</u>	<u>242,000</u>	<u>247,000</u>	<u>WPA's in the WRWA</u>	<u>683,000</u>	<u>790,000</u>	<u>944,000</u>	<u>961,000</u>	<u>981,000</u>	To show apportionment targets more clearly
	<u>London Plan Apportionment (tonnes per annum)</u>																										
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2026</u>	<u>2031</u>	<u>2036</u>																						
<u>LBHF</u>	<u>172,000</u>	<u>199,000</u>	<u>238,000</u>	<u>242,000</u>	<u>247,000</u>																						
<u>WPA's in the WRWA</u>	<u>683,000</u>	<u>790,000</u>	<u>944,000</u>	<u>961,000</u>	<u>981,000</u>																						
MC180	Policy CC6 Para 6.281	Amend para 6.281 as follows: <u>The Western Riverside Waste Authority (WRWA) is the statutory Waste Disposal Authority for LBHF, as well as the London Boroughs of Kensington and Chelsea, Lambeth and Wandsworth. The council is therefore statutorily required to deliver its Local Authority Collected Waste (LACW) to places as directed by the WRWA. Currently all of the LACW goes to the WRWA facilities in the London Borough of Wandsworth for transfer and treatment (Western Riverside Transfer Station near Wandsworth Bridge and Cringle Dock Transfer Station next to Battersea Power Station).</u> borough's municipal waste, together with that of the three other boroughs in the Western	To update and make clearer																								

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<p>Riverside Waste Authority area (WRWA). Since 2011, recyclables go to the Materials Recycling Facility at the is managed through a riverside site (Smuggler's Way), close to Wandsworth Bridge in the London Borough of Wandsworth. Currently most of the non-recyclable municipal waste is transported by river to an Energy from waste facility at Belvedere in the London Borough of Bexley. The contract which does not expire until the early 2032 0's does not commit the Waste Authority to a specified amount of waste for incineration and therefore recycling rates can continue to rise without any penalty. Recyclable materials are dealt with by a materials reclamation facility (or MRF) with a capacity for 84,000 tonnes located at WRWA's Smuggler's Way site at Wandsworth. If recycling targets are met there will be a need for further facilities.</p>	
MC181	Policy CC6 Para 6.283	<p>Amend paragraph 6.283 as follows:</p> <p>6.283 In addition to the Wandsworth facilities for managing the disposal of municipal waste Two large waste sites (Powerday at Old Oak Sidings and the EMR site), and some other smaller sites exist within the Old Oak Common Opportunity Area. Since April 2015 this Opportunity Area and the waste sites have fallen within the boundary of the Old Oak and Park Royal Development Corporation (OPDC).</p>	For clarity
MC182	Policy CC6 Para 6.283	<p>Add section on current position of OPDC to end of paragraph 6.283 as follows:</p> <p>"Since April 2015 this opportunity Area and the waste sites have fallen within the boundary of the Old Oak and Park Royal Development Corporation. OPDC became the local planning authority for part of the Hammersmith and Fulham area, which means that these existing waste sites now fall within OPDC's boundary. Some of these waste sites are being considered for redevelopment as part of plans to develop new homes and jobs in OPDC area, but OPDC is working with Hammersmith and Fulham Council to help meet the apportionment targets for household and Commercial and Industrial wastes set out in the London Plan."</p>	For clarity, to explain OPDC's role & position
MC183	Policy CC6 Para	<p>Amend paragraph 6.284 as follows:</p> <p>6.284 The Powerday (Old Oak Sidings) site is approximately 3.9 5ha and is licenced to manage up to</p>	To update text in relation to the findings

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
	2.284	<p>1.6 million tonnes of waste per annum. The site is capable of managing both household/commercial/industrial waste and construction and demolition waste. In 2014, the site received 148,434 tonnes of household/commercial/industrial waste out of a total of 346,322 tonnes of waste received. This represented approximately 42.8% of waste received at the site. Based on this proportion, it is estimated that the site has an ultimate licenced capacity to manage a maximum of 681,600 tonnes of household and commercial and industrial waste (subject to market variation and realising the potential of rail and canal for waste transport). The EMR site is approximately 3.3ha and has a licenced capacity of 419,000 tonnes per annum. The site specialises in metal recycling and materials recovery (particularly end of life vehicles and white goods). The EMR site is approximately 4.4ha 3.3ha and has a licenced capacity to manage 419,000 tonnes of waste per annum. The site specialises in metal recycling and materials recovery (particularly end of life vehicles and white-goods).</p>	of the Waste Technical Paper (2017)
MC184	Policy CC6 Para 6.284	<p>Add new text relating to the findings of the Waste Technical Paper (2017) after Paragraph 6.284 as follows:</p> <p><u>“LBHF have jointly prepared a Waste Technical Paper (2016) with the Western Riverside Waste Planning Authorities, including OPDC. The study provides up to date waste capacity evidence for the WPA’s within the WRWA area to support meeting their waste apportionments as required by the London Plan (2016). The Waste Technical Paper takes into account site closures and also includes details on the management of other waste streams not apportioned in the London Plan (construction, demolition and excavation, low level radioactive, agricultural, hazardous and waste water).</u></p> <p><u>The joint Waste Technical Paper concluded that LBHF, including OPDC have surplus capacity against LBHF’s London Plan apportionment, due to extensive recycle and residual (RDF) capacity of 537ktpa (2016) reducing to 426ktpa by 2036. As a whole, the WRWA WPA’s have surplus capacity of 346ktpa in 2016 reducing to 48ktpa by 2036 against the London Plan apportionments. This demonstrates that individually LBHF and the WRWA authorities as a whole have sufficient waste management capacity to meet the London Plan apportionments</u></p>	To add the findings of the Waste Technical Paper (2017)

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<p><u>up to 2036.</u></p> <p><u>For the other waste streams not apportioned in the London Plan, the Waste Technical Paper concluded that there is little or no waste arising from low level radioactive and agricultural waste therefore there is no need for additional management capacity for these waste streams.</u></p> <p><u>Construction, demolition and excavation waste (CD&E waste) comprises of waste arising from the construction and demolition industries, including excavation material. The London Plan has a target for London to recycle and re-use 95% of CD&E waste by 2020. The joint Waste Technical Paper forecasts arisings of 158,921tpa of CD&E waste in LBHF by 2036, with a total of 507,626tpa forecast for the WRWA area up to 2036. The study demonstrates that there is surplus capacity for the sorting, transfer and treatment of CD&E waste within the WRWA, with a surplus of 317,000 tonnes in 2016, increasing to approximately 627,000 tonnes in 2036. LBHF will encourage the re-use and recycling of CD&E waste where possible, Local Plan Policy CC7: On-site Waste Management provides further guidance on this.</u></p> <p><u>For hazardous waste, the EA Sites activity listing for 2015 identifies around 90ktpa of permitted hazardous waste capacity within the WRWA area, consisting of clinical waste transfer, vehicle depollution sites and car breakers. This total capacity is considered to be in considerable excess of the waste arisings forecast and therefore it is considered that no provision needs to be made for additional capacity.”</u></p>	
MC185	Policy CC6 Para 6.285	<p>Amend paragraph 6.285 as follows:</p> <p>6.285 The council notes that the London Plan (2016) states in paragraph 5.80 that “Where a Mayoral Development Corporation (MDC) exists or is established within a Borough, the MDC will co-operate with the borough to ensure that the Borough’s apportionment requirements are met”. The council considers that the Old Oak Sidings(Powerday) site could meet the borough’s waste apportionment target set out in the London Plan (2016). The council therefore considers that the Old Oak Sidings(Powerday) site could can meet borough’s LBHF’s waste apportionment target set out in the London Plan (2016).-The council will continue to work with OPDC to ensure LBHF’s apportionments are met and encourage the OPDC to safeguard the Old Oak Sidings (Powerday) site for waste</p>	To enhance and add greater clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		management activities, whilst acknowledging that its long term future is subject to the OPDC's regeneration proposals for the Old Oak Common Opportunity Area. The council is investigating ways forward with the OPDC as well as the potential for pooling apportionment requirements with <u>the other waste planning authorities within the WRWA</u> . In addition, major development sites will be expected to sort, process and recover materials on site thereby further increasing LBHF's capacity to locally manage waste.	
MC186	Policy CC7	Update figure in first line of para 6.287 as follows: "In 2013/14, 20.53% <u>2015/16 22%</u> of household waste collected by the council was recycled."	To update figure
MC187	Policy CC7	Add new text after para 6.290 as follows: "Further details on the requirements for on-site waste management is provided within the council's Planning Guidance SPD".	To make reference to Planning Guidance SPD
MC188	Policy CC10	Amend point (a) of policy CC10 as follows: " major developments <u>developments which may be impacted by local sources of poor air quality or may adversely contribute to local air quality</u> "...	To improve text.
MC189	Policy CC10	Add the following text to the end of bullet point (a) as follows: <u>" The assessment should include separate consideration of the impacts of (i) the construction/demolition phase of development and (ii) the operational phase of development with appropriate mitigation measures highlighted for each phase"</u> .	To improve text.
MC190	Policy CC10	create a new bullet point requirement (d) in policy CC10 as follows: <u>d) "requiring developments to be 'air quality neutral' and resist development proposals which would materially increase exceedances of local air pollutants and have an unacceptable impact on amenity or health unless the development mitigates this impact through physical measures and/or financial contributions to implement proposals in the Council's Local Air Quality</u>	To improve text.

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<u>Management Plan</u> ".	
MC191	Policy CC10	Add new bullet (e) in policy CC10 as follows: "(e) <u>Requiring all decentralised energy schemes to demonstrate that they can be used without having an unacceptable impact on air quality. Where this is not possible, CHP systems will not be prioritised over other air quality neutral technologies</u> ".	To improve text.
MC192	Policy CC10	New paragraph to be inserted after 6.298 as follows: " <u>Some carbon reduction measures for energy generation and spatial heating can adversely impact local air quality if not properly mitigated. The use of individual Combined Cooling, Heating, and Power (CCHP), Combined Heat and Power (CHP) and Biomass, to produce heat and power can deliver significant reductions of CO2. However, the use of these technologies could also lead to increases in NO2 and particle emissions. Therefore, their air quality impacts need to be assessed as part of an Air Quality Assessment. CHP or other combustion based technologies that cannot demonstrate that they will have acceptable impacts will not be accepted and instead the use of other sustainable energy generation air quality neutral technologies should be used which reduce both CO2 and NO2 emissions</u> ".	To improve text.
Transport and Accessibility			
MC193	Policy T1	Amend 2 nd bullet point in T1 as follows: "supporting the implementation of a HS2 Crossrail/Great Western interchange at Old Oak with Interchanges with the West London Line and underground services, <u>a new and enhanced station at Wilsden Junction and connect development in the north of the borough with the stations at Old Oak</u> "	To update
MC194	Policy T1	Amend last sentence of paragraph 6.307 as follows: "The possibilities for additional stations should be explored, for example at North Pole Road <u>or adjacent to the Imperial College Campus</u> , as advocated by RBKC"	To update
MC195	Policy T2	Add new sentence to paragraph 6.314 as follows: " <u>The TA should consider accessibility from the perspective of disabled people or people with mobility impairments. Further guidance on this is contained</u>	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<u>within the Mayor of London's Accessible London SPG"</u>	
MC196	Policy T2	Add the following at the end of paragraph 6.316: <u>"Large developments will be required to produce Servicing and Delivery Plans which will encourage the use of freight consolidation centres where appropriate.".</u> <u>Westtrans, the west London transport partnership, are pursuing the establishment of a freight consolidation centre and the council supports this."</u>	For clarity.
MC197	Policy T3 Para 6.319	Add new second sentence to paragraph as follows: <u>"The council support the Mayor's Cycle Super Highway through the borough and around Hammersmith Broadway."</u>	For clarity
MC198	Policy T3	Amend bullet point 3 of Policy T3 as follows: "Developer contributions for improvements to cycling infrastructure, including contributions to TfL's <u>Cycle hire scheme</u> TfL or other Cycle Hire schemes to mitigate their impact on the existing network"	For clarity
MC199	Policy T4	Amend second sentence of Policy T4 as follows: ".....unless evidence is provided to show that there is a significant lack of public transport available <u>and where on-street blue-badge parking may be required as set out in Policy T5."</u>	For clarity
MC200	Policy T7	Add the following text to the end of para 6.331: <u>The council will encourage, operators of construction and logistics vehicles to become FORS (Freight Operator Recognition System) recognised and members of CLOCS (Construction Logistics and Community Safety), in order to minimise road danger emanating from these vehicles</u> "	To improve text and make clearer.
Planning Contributions and Infrastructure			
MC201	Policy INFRA1	Add reference to Hammersmith Hospital at Para. 7.27 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing secondary health care services in the borough (<u>Hammersmith</u>/Queen Charlotte's Hospital and Charing Cross Hospital) by working in partnership 	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		with the Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust".	
MC202	Policy INFRA1	Amend first sentence of Para 7.16 as follows: "The Council will work with partners and stakeholders separately to deliver strategic sites and detailed delivery programmes."	For clarity
MC203	Policy INFRA1	Amend second sentence of para 7.19 as follows: "The council will work with the Government, Greater London Authority (GLA), Homes and Communities Agency (HCA), Registered Providers and private Homes and Communities Agency (HCA), Registered Providers and private house builders to tackle affordability issues with low cost home ownership housing <u>and rented accommodation.</u> '	For clarity
MC204	Policy INFRA1	Additional text to be added at 7.5 and a new para 7.6 added as follows: The council has produced the R123 list which identified the borough's strategic priorities in terms of infrastructure spending. "The CIL Regulations 2010 also identify that where there is a neighbourhood plan or neighbourhood forum in place, through the production of a neighbourhood plan policies may be developed to identify <u>development order in place (ie., passed Referendum and adopted), the local charging authority may choose to pass on 25% of the levy in accordance with</u> the neighbourhood plan's infrastructure priorities. <u>7.6 Where there is no neighbourhood plan or neighbourhood development order in place, a 15% portion can still benefit the community. The council/charging authority will retain the levy receipts but where appropriate, the council will consult with the local community."</u>	To include reference to neighbourhood CIL.
Glossary			
MC205	Glossary	Delete the Code for Sustainable Homes definition	To reflect changes in national policy
MC206	Glossary	Amend definition of 'Estate renewal' in the glossary as follows: Estate renewal — Improvement to housing estates <u>area-based programmes that physically renew housing stock</u>	For clarity

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
		<u>through, refurbishment or other change</u> to enable improved housing opportunities for local residents.	
MC207	Glossary	Amend MOL definition as follows: Metropolitan Open Land is strategic open land within the urban area that contributes towards the Structure of London. <u>Land designated MOL is afforded the same level of protection as the Metropolitan Green belt. Designation is intended to protect areas of landscape, recreation, nature conservation and scientific interest which are strategically important. Any alterations to the boundary of MOL should be undertaken by Boroughs through the Local Plan process, in consultation with the Mayor and adjoining authorities.</u>	To enhance definition
MC208	Glossary	Amend definition of Affordable Housing in glossary as follows: "The affordable housing definitions are from the NPPF Annex 2: Glossary. <u>Households eligible for intermediate housing</u> households can earn up to <u>£90,000</u> £60,000 per annum (<u>as at 1st April 2016</u>) (as at 2009)".	To update definition
MC209	Glossary	Change 'Public Transport Accessibility Level' to 'Public Transport Access Level'	To update name of definition
MC210	Glossary	Add definition: 'Self-build and custom housebuild: a building or completion by individuals, associations of individuals, or persons working with or for individuals or associations of individuals of houses to be occupied as homes by those individuals. But it does not include the building of a house on a plot acquired from a person who builds the house wholly or mainly to plans or specifications decided or offered by that person.'	Update to national policy
MC211	Glossary	Add definition: 'Neighbourhood Planning was introduced as part of the Localism Act 2011. Neighbourhood planning enables communities to develop spatial plans at a neighbourhood level. Neighbourhood plans must be developed in conformity with the relevant regulations, which includes a referendum to determine whether the plan will be adopted and become part of the Local Development Framework.'	To update definition

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
Appendices			
MC212	Appendix 3: Open Space Hierarchy	Under the heading 'Cemeteries and Open Spaces adjoining places of Worship', amend the name of OS33 Hammersmith Cemetery as follows: OS33 Margravine Cemetery (Hammersmith Cemetery)	To update name
MC213	Appendix 3: Open Space Hierarchy	Amend size of Hammersmith cemetery in appendix 3 from 6.53 to 6.2 ha.	To update size
MC214	Appendix 4: Nature Conservation Areas	Delete heading in Appendix 4 on p.218 as follows: Areas of Metropolitan Importance	Technical error (incorrect heading carried over the page)
MC215	Appendix 5: Archaeological Priority Areas	Further wording to be included within the notes section of Appendix 5 as follows: Note: These Archaeological Priority Areas may be subject to a review by Historic England in 2020. More information about Archaeological Areas will be provided in the Planning Guidance Supplementary Planning Document.	For clarity
MC216	Appendix 6: Monitoring Indicators Policy HO1	Housing Policy HO1: strategic housing supply- in the "target & direction" column amend as follows: "Indicative borough target: 1,410 1348 per annum"	To update year
MC217	Appendix 6: Monitoring Indicators Policy HO4	Housing Policy HO4: Housing quality & density- delete indicator " percentage of homes permitted meeting COSH Level 3,4, 5 & 6 "	To delete reference to COSH
MC218	Appendix 6: Monitoring Indicators	Employment Policy E3: provision for visitor accommodation and facilities- in "Target and Direction" column amend as follows: "London Plan: 40,000 additional hotel bedrooms by 2021 2036 "	To update year

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
	Policy E3		
MC219	Appendix 6: Monitoring Indicators Housing Policy HO6	<p>The monitoring indicator for Policy HO6 to be updated to delete reference to lifetime homes and include reference to building regulations part M4(2) and M4(3). Amend Appendix 6 as follows:</p> <p>Housing policy HO6: Accessible housing</p> <p><u>Monitoring Indicator</u></p> <p>- <u>Number and</u> percentage of homes granted permission that meet achieving the Lifetime Homes standards <u>Building Regulation requirement M4(2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings'</u>.</p> <p>- Number and % of homes granted permission that meet Building Regulation requirement M4(3) 'wheelchair user dwellings' Building that are wheelchair accessible in developments providing ten or more residential units</p> <p><u>Target and Direction</u></p> <p>All new dwellings to be built to 'lifetime homes' standards with 10% to be wheelchair accessible.</p> <p><u>90% of new housing should meet Building regulation requirement M4(2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings'</u>.</p> <p><u>10% of new housing should meet Building Regulation requirement M4(3) 'wheelchair user dwellings' designed to be wheelchair accessible.</u></p>	To update monitoring indicator to be in line with national policy
MC220	Appendix 6: Monitoring Indicators Policy OS1	<p>Open Space Policy OS1: protecting parks and open spaces- amend monitoring indicator as follows:</p> <p>"Net change in total area of public open space"</p>	For clarity
MC221	Appendix 9: Viability Protocol	<p>Point 4 – Profit: insert at the end of point 4 as follows; <u>"Supporting evidence of target profit should take account of the individual characteristics of the scheme, the risks related to the scheme and comparable schemes and be appropriate to current market conditions"</u>.</p>	To improve text.
Proposals Map			
MC222	Proposals	Add heliport safeguarding boundary to the Proposals	To update

Ref No	Policy/ Para	Suggested Change	Reason for change
	Map	Map	