ISSUE NO	DEPARTMENT	ISSUE TYPE	TEAM	STATUS	DESCRIPTION	PRIORITY	ASSIGNEE	PROJECT NAME	COMMENTS
1	ASC	General SV concern	Assistant Director ASC	Closed	ASC raised concerns that the TOMs Matrix is not customisable on a project by project basis. This can lead to suppliers delivering measures that are not relevant to the contract or the service area	HIGH	Head of Procurement	General query	A meeting was held with Ilaria Agueci (SV Officer) and SVP to reach a solution. SVP recommended the use of multipliers to allow commissionners to prioritise measurements. The solution was communicated to CAB and ASC and was implemented in the procurement documents allowing all service areas to use multipliers where appropriate.
2	ECONOMY	SV Evaluation	Development	Closed	Evaluation - Two suppliers very closed to win the conatract. The difference in the overall score was at 0.50%.	HIGH	Social Value Lead	H&L	This project was a call-off from a framework and the social value quantitative assessment was created and responded to into an offline spreadsheet, rather than on the social value portal. 12 bidders responded. The social value question was set as 17% of the quality and two suppliers scored very close in the overall score. The social value question made a conclusive difference in winning this contarct. H&F asked Social Value Portal to re- evaluate all the submissions, and moderate. The result did not change, the winning contarctor submitted an outstanding SV response and this was confirmed by SVP at the moderation stage.
3	RESOURCES	SV Evaluation	Digital Services	Closed	IT suppliers won't be able to deliver most of the outcomes in the TOMs due to the nature of their services. It was proposed to apply less than 10% in the SV question.	HIGH	Social Value Lead	Integrated Housing Management System	It was clarified that 10% socila value assessment is a standard approach to all procured contract. This was agreed by the Council through the SV Startegy. Suppliers have the option to choose commitments from the TOMs, the list is not prescrbed. Social Value was included in the tender, in accordance to Social Value Strategy. It was was agreed that supplier that do not submit a social value response, will be scored at 0 and this will have an impact on their overall score.