

Local Plan Examination

Examination Hearing Session 6 21st June 2017 09.30 in the Playfair Room, Hammersmith Town Hall

Participants:

Hammersmith and Fulham Borough Council
17 - Hammersmith Society
24 - Home Builders Federation
44 - Hammersmith & Fulham Historic Buildings Group
49 - Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea

Agenda

- a) Welcome**
- b) Factual updates and clarifications**
- c) Focus for Discussion:**

DESIGN, CONSERVATION, ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

Does the Plan take a justified and suitably evidenced based approach towards design, conservation and environmental sustainability? Is the Plan consistent with national policy in such regards and will it be effective in implementation?

1. Is Policy DC1 (Built Environment) justified by the evidence and how will it be effective in operation?
2. Is Policy DC2 (Design of New Build) clear in its use of the word and meaning of 'respect'?
3. Will DC3 (Tall Buildings) and DC7 (Views and Landmarks) be effective and are they consistent with national policy and aligned with the London Plan?

Should the criteria for DC3 include a reference to public benefits?

4. Is Policy DC5 (Shopfronts) clear, why does it include reference to the SPD in the policy and will it be effective in operation?
5. Is Policy DC6 (Replacement windows) justified and will it be clearly effective in operation?
6. Is the approach of the Plan and wording of Policy DC8 (Heritage and Conservation) consistent with national policy and guidance in relation to heritage matters?
7. Is DC9 (Advertisements) necessary, justified and will it be effective?
8. Is DC10 (Telecommunications) consistent with national policy?

9. Is DC11 (Basements and Lightwells) justified and clearly worded to ensure effective delivery?
10. Is CC1 (Reducing Carbon Dioxide Emissions) robust and viable? Does the LP reference and plan for issues surrounding 'clean air' and the energy hierarchy robustly and adequately? Is it consistent with national policy?
11. Should CC2 (Ensuring Sustainable Design and Construction) refer to renewable energy technologies? Is CC2 (b) justified and viable?
12. Is the approach of the Local Plan towards flood risk supported by an adequate evidence base and consistent with national policy? Is the approach to flooding robust? Is a reference to the sequential test required? Is the Environment Agency in agreement with the approach of the Local Plan to such matters?
- Do Policy CC3 (Flood Risk et al) and its supporting text refer adequately to Groundwater Source Protection Zones?
13. Are the greenfield run off rates within Policy CC4 (surface water et al) deliverable and viable? Is the policy adequately aligned with the London Plan?
14. Should there be reference to the Water Framework Directive within the Plan?
15. Are matters relating to waste management and hazardous substances dealt with adequately within the LP? Is Policy CC6 (Strategic Waste Management) justified and will it be effective in implementation?
- Should Policy CC8 (Hazardous Substances) include a reference to the Fulham Holder Stations?
16. Is Policy CC10 (Air Quality) justified and viable in implementation?
17. Is Policy CC13 (Control of Polluting Uses) justified and deliverable in terms of its applicability to 'all proposed developments'?
18. Are issues of land contamination, remediation and water/air quality acknowledged sufficiently by the Plan?

d) Any other matters

e) Close