

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group



Fire Risk Assessment of:	ALICE GILLIATT COURT 40-69 W14 9QN
Author of Assessment:	Michael Sharples Fire Risk Assessor
Quality Assured by:	Andy Whiting LBHF Fire Risk Assessor
Responsible Person:	Named person within the organisation, Richard Buckley
Risk Assessment Valid From:	03/01/2020
Risk Assessment Valid To:	03/01/2022

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Building Features

Approximate Square Area of the Building:	171m ²
Number of Dwellings:	37
Number of Internal Communal Stairs:	2
Number of External Escape Stairs:	0
Number of Final Exits:	3
Number of Stair Lifts:	
Number of Storeys	6

Is there an Uninhabited Roof Void?	
Is there a Basement Present?	
Is Gas Installed to Building?	yes
Are Solar Panels Installed on Building?	no

Number of Occupants:	37-74
----------------------	-------

Current Evacuation Policy:	Stay Put Procedure
Recommended Evacuation Policy:	Stay Put Procedure

Last LFB Inspection:	
----------------------	--

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Survey Findings:

<p>Building Construction & Layout:</p>	<p>Purpose-built low-rise block of flats constructed of brick and concrete in an L shaped design set over 6 storeys and consisting of 37 self contained flats with two internal staircases, one stair situated in the centre of the building with the second located to the left-hand side, both stairwells gives access to all floors, the second staircase also allows access to maisonettes 74-77 on the 3rd storey, this part of the building gives access to the front left-hand side creating the L shape and is only 4 storeys. The 4 storey part building contains maisonettes 70-73 which have separate access via the ground floor with the remainder of the dwellings (40-69) are all accessed by the main part of the building consisting of the 6 storeys. Dwellings 40-44 all have separate access from the ground floor. All floors in the main building have 5 dwellings on each level accessed from an open balcony walkway. The staircases are constructed from concrete and the walls from brick. A dry riser runs up the left-hand staircase and access to the riser inlet can be found at ground level inside the communal area. The building has two refuse chutes one situated down the right-hand side of the building the second runs down the left hand side adjacent to the second staircase.</p>
--------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>Executive Summary</p>	<p>The survey found the communal areas to be in a reasonable condition. Good management practices were noted with site inspection reports and cleaning rotas displayed on the notice board as well as up to date electrical testing and emergency lighting testing records. The dry riser is well maintained with inspection dates recorded on site.</p> <p>A number of areas of improvement were identified during the survey and these have been raised in this report. To bring the building to a high standard of fire safety and support a 'stay put' procedure, the following actions have been recommended; self-closers to be installed on correct FD60 doors. Up to date test records on in house fire exit and escape route checks to be recorded for future inspections. The refuse hopper is damaged no longer offering fire/smoke protection so requires repairing/ replacing. Survey to determine if lighting protection is needed for the building and personal items and rubbish to be removed form communal areas, including a number of damaged and broken white goods. A full survey of the roof void and lift motor room and C02 extinguisher to be tested and date of test to be recorded on site.</p>
--------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Guidance

Copyright:

The information contained within this Fire Risk Assessment (FRA) document is owned by the London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham (LBHF) and may not be used or reproduced without written permission. This document is provided, to the recipient, subject to specific obligations of confidentiality set forth in one or more binding legal agreements between LBHF and the recipient.

Scope of Assessment:

This FRA has been carried out on behalf of the 'Responsible Person' in accordance with Article 9 of the requirements of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (FSO). The purpose of this report is to provide an assessment of the risk to life from fire in this premise and where appropriate, to identify significant findings to ensure compliance with fire safety legislation as obliged observing current best practice, providing a minimum fire safety standard.

This report reflects the fire safety standards identified during inspection and does not address the risk fire may pose to property or business continuity.

In order to carry out this fire risk assessment the assessor has used their professional expertise, judgement and guidance contained in the British Standards Institute's publicly available specification (PAS 79: 2012), the Department for Communities & Local Government guidance, 'Fire Safety Risk Assessment - Sleeping Accommodation', Local Authorities Coordinators of Regulatory Services (LACORS) 'Housing Fire Safety' guidance and NFCC guidance 'Fire Safety in Specialised Housing'.

Which provides best practice guidance on fire safety provisions in England for certain types of existing housing; as well as the Local Government Association (LGA) Guidance 'Fire safety in purpose-built blocks of flats'.

The aim of the fire risk assessment process is not necessarily to bring an existing building up to the standard expected for a new building, constructed under current legislation. Rather, the intention is to identify measures which are practicable to implement in order to provide a reasonable level of safety for people in and around the premises. Information for the completion of this assessment was obtained by a physical type 1 survey, in compliance with LBHF policy and for the purpose of satisfying the FSO. The inspection of the building is non-destructive. The fire risk assessment will consider the arrangements for means of escape and so forth that will include examination of at least a sample of flat entrance doors. It also considers, so far as reasonably practicable, the separating construction between the flats and the common parts without any opening up of construction; however, in this type of survey, entry to flats beyond the area of the flat entrance door, is not involved as there is normally no automatic right of access for freeholders.

If your premises have been designed and built in line with modern building regulations (and are being used in line with those regulations), your structural fire precautions should be acceptable. While every effort is made to inspect fire compartmentation & fire separating elements of buildings, dependant on accessibility, including roof spaces, voids and service risers, to assess the integrity, comments reflect reasonable assumption. Unless there is reason to expect serious deficiencies in structural fire protection – such as inadequate compartmentation, or poor fire stopping – a type 1 inspection will normally be sufficient. Where doubt exists in relation to these matters, the action plan may recommend that one of the other types of fire risk assessment be carried out or that further investigation be carried out by specialists. (Any such recommendation would be based on identification of issues that justify reason for doubt.)

The FRA includes an Action Plan that sets out measures to enable the Responsible Person to achieve this benchmark risk mitigation level, satisfy the requirements of the FSO and to protect Relevant Persons (as defined in Article 2 of the FSO), from the risks of fire.

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Compartmentation and Building Features

From a Type 1 inspection perspective, are there breaches identified effecting compartmentation along the escape route?	No
From a Type 1 inspection perspective, are there ineffective or inappropriate materials used to create compartmentation?	No
Does the building have a roof void?	Yes
Are there roof void access hatches within the communal areas?	Yes
Are all roof void access hatches fitted with securing devices?	Yes
Are all hatches providing suitable fire and smoke resistance?	Yes
Was a survey of the roof void carried out as part of this inspection?	No
Are there other concerns identified with the roof void?	No
Are lifts installed?	Yes
Does each lift have a fire service over-ride switch?	Yes
Are there any fire-fighting lifts?	No
Is there a lift motor room?	Unable to Confirm
Are there any other concerns with Lifts or the Lift Motor Room?	Unable to Confirm
Are there utility cupboards within the communal area?	Yes
Are there any breaches in compartmentation?	No
Do utility cupboard doors appear to be FD30s standard?	Yes
Is there evidence to confirm FD30s doors are certified?	No
Is there damage to any part of the door or frame affecting its performance as a 30 minute fire and smoke resistant door?	Yes
Is there personal items or rubbish in any inspected utility or riser cupboard?	No
Is there a CO2 extinguisher installed inside any large electrical riser cupboard?	Yes
Are CO2 extinguishers compliant?	No

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Are there other concerns identified with the utility cupboards and vertical risers?	No
Is external cladding fitted to the building?	No
Are the internal escape route walls and ceilings to Class 0 standard?	Yes
Are there other concerns identified with flammable materials?	No

Means of Escape

Is the stated emergency evacuation strategy suitable?	Yes
Are fire action notices displayed at the entrances, fire exits and each level as required?	Yes
Are travel distances appropriate for the building design?	Yes
Are the internal escape route corridors free of trip hazards?	No
Are stairs free of all trip hazards?	Yes
Are there personal items exceeding the managed policy for communal areas, adversely affecting the escape routes?	Yes
Do final exits open in the direction of flow where required?	Yes
Are cable and wire fixings to external walls/ceilings to current standards to limit the likelihood of wire entanglement?	Yes
Are there suitable door opening devices such as thumb turns, push pad/bar?	Yes
Is directional and exit signage necessary in this building?	Yes
Are directional and exit signs displayed appropriately?	Yes
Does the building have an external escape route?	No
Are there other concerns identified with the evacuation of the building?	No
Is emergency lighting installed?	Yes
Does the installed emergency lighting provide suitable coverage?	Yes
Are there recorded or observable defects with the emergency lighting system?	No
Is there evidence of a current and up-to-date emergency lighting service contract and maintenance programme?	Yes

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

If no emergency lighting is installed, does the building require the installation of an emergency lighting system? N/A

Is there a need to increase the emergency lighting provision?	No
Are there other concerns identified with the emergency lighting?	No
Does the building have suitable means to naturally ventilate the escape routes?	Yes
Is there a smoke ventilation system installed?	No
Are there any concerns identified with ventilation of the internal escape route?	No

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Doors

Is the main entrance door suitable as part of the evacuation strategy for the building?	Yes
Is security to the property suitable to restrict access to uninvited persons during 'out of hour' times?	Yes
Are there a sufficient number of fire exits?	Yes
Are there any defects (glazing, furniture, frames, door) requiring repair or maintenance works?	No
Do any fire exits lead to areas that could put persons at further risk?	No
Do all fire exits have suitable signage?	Yes
Are there other concerns identified with the main entrance and fire exit doors?	No
Are there any compartment fire doors installed in this building?	No
Are there locations where compartment fire doors should be installed?	No
Are there other concerns identified with the compartment fire doors?	No
Are there any flat entrance doors not conforming to FD60s standard?	Yes
Do the inspected FD60s doors have certified markings?	No
Are positive action self-closers fitted and to the front face of the doors?	No
From the sample inspection taken, do the flat entrance doors freely self close into the frame?	No
Are there any defective flat entrance doors (glazing, furniture, frames, door) requiring repair or maintenance works?	No
Are there other concerns identified with the flat entrance doors?	No

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Fire Hazards

Are "No Smoking" signs displayed at each entrance?	Yes
Is a no smoking policy being observed in the communal areas?	No
Any there other concerns identified with smoking?	No
Are there suitable locations provided for storage of refuse?	Yes
Is the refuse area appropriately clear and well managed?	Yes
Are vertical refuse chutes fitted to the building?	Yes
Are the hoppers in good condition and fitted with smoke seals?	No
Is there a working pull plate at the base of the chute?	Yes
Does the refuse system appear to be free of physical defects?	No
Are there other concerns identified with refuse?	No
Has fixed electrical wiring been subject to a safety inspection within the past five years?	Yes
Is there a lightning protection system installed?	No
Is there a wheelchair or stair lift in the communal area?	No
Are there electrical or charged items in the communal area (fridges, tumble dryers, mobility scooters etc)?	No
Any there other concerns identified with ignition sources?	No

Fire Detection

From the sample flats accessed, is early warning fire detection appropriate?	Yes
------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Fire Safety Management

Are there hydrants within the grounds of the property estate?	No
Are there notable restrictions for the positioning of fire appliances within 20 metres of the building?	No
Is a Premises Information Box installed?	No
Are there complexities or unique features to the building to warrant the installation of a Premises Information Box?	N/A
Is there a working Drop Key mechanism to access the building?	Yes
Is there a Dry Riser installed?	Yes
Are there outlets on each level above the 6th storey?	N/A
Is there evidence to confirm the Dry Riser is serviced?	Yes
Is Dry Riser signage displayed appropriately?	Yes
Are there any observable defects to inlets or outlets and their casings?	No
Are there other concerns identified for fire service operations?	No
Did you encounter any potential or actual hoarding risks?	No
LBHF have a medical register of O2 users, did you encounter a resident declaring they were using O2 but not registered?	No
Is there a suppression system installed within any part of the building?	No
Did you encounter any potential hazards due to negligent contractor work at the property and its grounds?	No
Are there other concerns identified to do with fire safety management?	No
Does the building contain both commercial outlets and residential dwellings?	No
Any other concerns identified with control of shared means of escape?	No

Hammersmith and Fulham by The Oakleaf Group

Safety Management

Are there staff or site managers based at and working in the building? Unable to Confirm

Are staff trained to support an evacuation of the building during a fire emergency? Unable to Confirm

Any other concerns identified with on-site staff and their training? No

Are fire safety records accessible in a suitable physical or digital format for fire inspection audits? Unable to Confirm

Is LBHF emergency and general contact details displayed in the communal area? Yes

Any other concerns identified with the management of information? No

Actions Arising from the Survey:

	Slight Harm	Moderate Harm	Extreme Harm
Low	Trivial Risk	Tolerable Risk	Moderate Risk
Medium	Tolerable Risk	Moderate Risk	Substantial Risk
High	Moderate Risk	Substantial Risk	Intolerable Risk

Risk Scores:	
Risk Score at the time of the Assessment	Moderate Risk
Risk Score if all actions are implemented:	Tolerable Risk