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Main Issues Raised during the Design Review Panel

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The relationship of the roof form with the existing and any future development of the adjoining stands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The current design as a template to be used in any future development of the adjoining stands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Separation of fans and general public on match days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Night time access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Residential access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Uses along the ground floor of the stand on non-match days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Revenue generated on non-match days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Drop-off arrangements for hospitality guests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wind impacts and structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Light pollution from the glazed facade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Panel Summary and Recommendation

The Panel thank the team for their thorough presentation of their proposals. This is a good scheme that is well-considered, and is seen as an improvement over the consented scheme. The Panel enjoyed the concept of a “dual-aspect” stand maximising the use of its locational advantages. The roof hovering above the stand is both ambitious and elegant and would positively contribute to views along the river. However, the Panel were concerned of any impacts of the wind dynamics generated by the roof form, particularly in relation to additional demands it may place on the structure, but also in the use of the proposed roof garden terrace.

In terms of architectural language, the panel felt that generally there is potential in the structural expression which has not been fully resolved at this point and would expect this element to be developed once the demands on the structure have been fully understood.

The Panel were united in supporting the overall form, but less so on the extensive use of a glazed curtain wall facade for the main part of the elevation. Concerns relate to potential light spillage impacts on the river as well as solar gain issues which may
force the design to adopt tinted or mirrored glass which would dramatically change the appearance of the elevation for the worse. The panel would encourage the applicants team to resolve these issues as soon as possible in order that any implications they may have for the facade can be understood and resolved early in the design development process. The simplicity of the glass façade would need to be very well detailed in order to provide the high-quality solution which is being aspired to.

The Panel liked the idea of greening the edges, and the undulating form, giving a rhythm to the facade.

The Panel suggest that the applicants team should provide information as part of their Design and Access Statement of any masterplan for the wider renewal of the stadium and confirmation that the current design does not constrain opportunities for any future development of the adjoining stands.

The Panel support the general direction of the scheme and look forward to it being developed.