hsf	London Borough of Hamn	nersmith & Fulham	
the low tax borough	CABINET MEMBER	DECISION	
	16 September	2013	
	HE APPLICATIONS FOR THE DESI ANDS NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA AI		
Report of the Cabinet M	lember for Transport and Technica	al Services Department	
Open Report			
Classification: For Dec	Classification: For Decision		
Key Decision: No			
Wards Affected: College Park and Old Oak			
Accountable Executive Technical Services	Director: Nigel Pallace Executive D	irector Transport and	
Report Author: Pat Cox		Contact Details: Tel: 020 8753 5773 E-mail: (pat.cox@lbhf.gov.uk)	
AUTH	IORISED BY		

The Cabinet Member has signed this report DATE: 16 September 2013.....

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1. The St Helen's Residents Association in RBKC and Woodlands Area Residents in LBHF applied to both RBKC and LBHF to designate the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum and to designate an area for neighbourhood planning purposes (Appendix 1) in accordance with the Localism Act 2011 and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.
- 1.2. Brickfields Area Residents Association which represents residents in the LBHF part of the proposed neighbourhood area and elected H&F ward Members did not support the designation of the wider neighbourhood area which extends into RBKC. However the council is required by legislation

to designate at least a part of the proposed area as a neighbourhood area; Appendix 2 shows the proposed smaller neighbourhood area for designation agreed with Brickfields Area Residents.

1.3. Given that the the proposed neighbourhood area covers a smaller area within the boundaries of LBHF, it is considerd that the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum should not be designated within LBHF as it does not reflect the proposed neighbourhood area or the views of local residents.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

That authority be delegated to the Cabinet Member for Transport and Technical Services, in conjunction with the Executive Director of Transport and Technical Services, to:

- 2.1 Designate the area shown in Appendix 2 as a Neighbourhood Area within Hammersmith and Fulham.
- 2.2 Refuse to designate the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum; and
- 2.3 Agree the Statement of reasons for refusing the application to designate the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum in Hammersmith and Fulham and for amending the boundaries of the proposed Neighbourhood Area.

3. REASONS FOR DECISION

- 3.1. The boundary of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Area is not supported by the Brickfields Area Residents Association who represent residents living in H&F. However the council is required by legislation to designate at least a part of the proposed neighbourhood area and the boundaries shown in Appendix 2 are the boundaries suggested by Brickfields Area Residents.
- 3.2. Council officers do not consider that the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum should be designated within LBHF as it does not reflect the views of local residents and because the proposed Forum has not secured the support and membership of Brickfields Area Residents Association or from elected ward Members.
- 3.3. The Local Planning Authority are required to give reasons to the St Helens Resident Association as the organisation that made the application for the designation of the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum

4. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 4.1. In April 2012 the St Helen's Residents Association in RBKC and Woodlands Area Residents in LBHF applied to both RBKC and H&F to designate the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum and for the designation of an area for neighbourhood planning purposes (attached plan in Appendix 1) in accordance with the Localism Act 2011 and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. The proposed designation area includes land in both RBKC and H&F and covers the area to the east of Wood Lane, south of Dalgarno Gardens and north of the A40. The supporting evidence accompanying the application for the area within H&F was limited and no details were held by the council of Woodlands Area Residents as a residents' group with a formal membership.
- 4.2. In accordance with the Regulations, the council (and RBKC) consulted on the applications for 6 weeks from 11June until 20 July 2012. The results of that consultation were inconclusive with 12 respondents located within the proposed Neighbourhood Area in H&F supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Area and Forum and 13 opposed to the designation. It was therefore not possible for this council to make a decision on the basis of the consultation results on the designation of the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Area and Forum.
- 4.3. On the advice of the Communities and Local Governement (CLG) Neighbourhood Planning Team it was decided to carry out further informal local consultation in H&F. The council therefore wrote to H&F residents who had responded to the consultation in June/July 2012 encouraging more discussion in the local area about the benefits and costs of neighbourhood planning. Officers offered to attend any meetings that residents might want to hold to provide information and advice.
- 4.4. In October 2012 officers were informed that a group of local residents had held a public meeting on 12 October and had formed the Brickfields Area Residents Association. This Association invited officers to a meeting on 12 November to provide information and to answer questions about the neighbourhood planning process.
- 4.5. Most of the residents who spoke at the meeting were opposed to the designation of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum and Area, although they wanted to carry on co-operating with the St Helens RA where there were common issues of concern. There was also a view that if they had wanted to designate a neighbourhood area and a neighbourhood forum to prepare a neighbourhood plan they would have a different boundary from that proposed.
- 4.6. The Brickfields Area Residents in June 2013 had 58 members and an email survey of the membership in March 2013 showed that of the 26 members voting all were opposed to the proposed designation of the Neighbourhood Area and Forum. In addition both Ward Councillors withdrew their support for the proposed designation in early January.

- 4.7. The main support in this borough for the proposed designation of the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum and Area has been from Dr Cooper of Woodlands Area Residents. Dr. Cooper has informed the council that Woodlands Area Residents is an informal grouping set up to represent residents in the Woodlands area of Hammersmith and Fulham on a number of specific issues, prompted by the redevelopment of the former Woodlands site. He stated that it was established in the absence of an effective residents association to represent the interests of this area, which is bounded by Wood Lane, North Pole Road and Eynham Road, north of the A40 Westway in London W12.
- 4.8. Following the period of informal consultation in September and October 2012, officers had intended to formally re-consult residents and businesses in H&F on the proposed designation. However, given the clear opposition that was voiced by the Brickfields Area Residents Association and by the local ward councillors and given that apart from Dr Cooper there had been no further expressions of support or contact from residents in this borough about the designation of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Area and Forum, it was decided not to carry out further consultation on the proposal. It should be noted that on 4 July 2013 RBKC approved the part of the Area that is within that borough

5. PROPOSAL AND ISSUES

ISSUES FOR THE DETERMINATION OF THE APPLICATION FOR THE DESIGNATION OF THE ST QUINTIN AND WOODLANDS NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA

Legal Requirements

- **5.1.** The determination of the application for the designation of the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Area has to be in accordance with the relevant legislation and regulations in particular section 61G of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Localism Act 2011 and the relevant regulations in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. The council needs to ensure that the neighbourhood area is coherent, consistent and appropriate in planning terms. It also has to take on board representations received from the consultation.
 - 5.2. The legislation the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Localism Act 2011 Section 61G(4) states that

In determining an application the authority must have regard to-

- a) the desirability of designating the the whole of the area of a parish council as a neighbourhood area
- b) the desirability of maintaining the existing boundaries of areas already designated as neighbourhood areas.

And Section 61G (5) states that *'lf-*

a) a valid application is made to the authority,

b) some or all the specified area has not been designated as a neighbourhood area, and

c) the authority refuse the application because they consider that the speicified area is not an appropriate area to be designated as a neighbourhood area,

the authority must exercise their power of designation so as to secure that some or all of the specified area forms part of one or more areas designated (or to be designated) as a neighbourhood area.'

Proposed Boundary of the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Area

- 5.3. That part of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands neighbourhood area in H&F is part of a much larger area extending into RBKC. The reasons for preparing a neighbourhood plan put forward by St Quintin and Woodlands are "to build local consensus around a set of detailed planning policies governing issues such as rooflights, basement development, and eco retrofitting. These would be designed to ensure the long-term protection of the heritage of the area while also recognising that the context has changed since 1975".
- 5.4. The applicant's justification for identifying an area that spreads into both boroughs (including the Eynham Road area and Imperial College site in H&F) is:
 - The LBHF area is of similar built form and demographics to the K&C area;
 - The residents share the same shops and open spaces;
 - The LBHF area is physically separated from the remainder of H&F by Westway and Wood Lane;
 - both areas are experiencing the same development pressures arising from the development of the White City Opportunity Area, HS2 and the development of Old Oak Common Area;
 - The need to integrate the residents of the Imperial College (Woodlands) site into the wider area.
 - Involvement in the design and management of the proposed pedestrian and cycle link between H&F and RBKC.
- 5.5. In relation to the first bullet point, it is correct that the existing residential streets south of North Pole Road are of similar built form, but the area to the north of North Pole Road and the Imperial College site are of a very different built form.
- 5.6. Regarding the second point, although there may be some sharing of shops and open spaces, in the future the main link from the Imperial College site and from Eynham Road will be to the south, towards the tube stations and other public transport facilities and major retail and community facilities in this area. This link is part of the two recently approved planning approvals and the Dairy Crest site to the south of the A40 includes community facilities and open space extending under the A40. Health services and

retailing to meet local needs will be also be included in this new development.

- 5.7. The proposed pedestrian link to the east is likely to be a less significant community link for either the future residents of the Imperial College site or the existing residents in the Eynham Road area as there are limited shops, community facilities and open space to the south of the St Helens area. This east west link is included in the Imperial College S106 and will facilitate east west pedestrian and cycle movement.
- 5.8. The reference to the same development pressures (bullet point 4) being experienced in both areas may be true, but the neighbourhood planning process is not the most appropriate process for inputting into major regeneration proposals that are included in the adopted Local Plan documents and will be reviewed as part of the Local Plan Review.
- 5.9. In relation to the fifth bullet point, with the exception of 9 Imperial College key workers, the first residents of the Imperial College site will be students who are unlikely to get involved in any neighbourhood planning process. The development of housing for non students is unlikely to be built and occupied for at least another 5 years and therefore these residents will not be involved in the development of the neighbourhood plan.
- 5.10. The proposed pedestrian and cycle link in H&F will be managed by Imperial College and therefore this site does not need to be included in the neighbourhood area in H&F. Imperial College have already demonstrated that they are willing to work with the local community in developing detailed plans and it is not clear what value a neighbourhood plan that includes this site would add.

DETERMINATION OF THE APPLICATION FOR THE DESIGNATION OF THE ST QUINTIN AND WOODLANDS NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

Legal Requirements

5.11. In relation to the designation of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum, the Local Planning Authority has to be satisfied that it meets certain conditions set out in the of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Localism Act. In particular, the council needs to be satisfied that the proposed Forum as it relates to this borough has met the requirements of Section 61F (5) and (7) (a):

61F(5) states that "a local planning authority may designate an organisation or body as a neighbourhood forum if the authority are satisfied that it is established for the express purpose of promoting or improving the social, economic and environmental well-being of an area that consists of or includes the neighbourhood area concerned".

61F (7) (a) sets out the requirements that a Neighbourhood Forum should meet, including:

"i) secured (or taken reasonable steps to attempt to secure) that its membership includes at least one individual falling within the categories of persons living in the area, individuals working or carrying on a business in the area and elected members for the area; and

ii) secured membership that is drawn from different places within the area.

iii) whose purpose reflects (in general terms) the character of that area."

6. OPTIONS AND ANALYSIS OF OPTIONS

Boundary of the Proposed H&F Neighbourhood Area

- 6.1. As the council is required by legislation to designate a neighbourhood area for at least a part of the proposed neighbourhood area within H&F, officers requested the view of the Brickfields Area Residents (BAR) Association on the appropriate boundaries of the designated area within H&F. A council officer was invited to a meeting by BAR on 26 June 2013 to answer any questions that the association members might have relating to the requirement to designate a neighbourhood area.
- 6.2. Although some residents expressed concern at being required to be included in a neighbourhood area whatever the boundaries, following discussion at this meeting BAR has requested that the boundaries of the neighbourhood area should be the same as the BAR boundaries which currently exclude the Imperial College site and the small area to the north of North Pole Road (Appendix 2).
- 6.3. As the Brickfields Area Residents are the only residents association within this area of H&F, officers consider that the boundary shown in Appendix 2 is the most appropriate area to designate as a neighbourhood area.

Options for the designation of the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum

- 6.4. Section 61F(5) of the the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Localism Act requires the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum to have been set up for 'the express purpose of promoting or improving the social and environmental wellbeing' of that part of the proposed area that is within LBHF. However, the opposition to the proposed designation of the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum and Area by the Brickfields Area Residents and by the elected ward members indicates that the local community in H&F do not consider that the proposed Forum has been set up for the express purpose of promoting or improving the social and environmental well-being of residents and businesses in H&F.
- 6.5. In relation to section 61F (7) (a), Dr Cooper of Woodlands Area Residents is a Member of the management committee of the St Quintin and

Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum. However Woodlands Area Residents does not have any formal membership and Dr Cooper does not have the support of the Brickfields Area Residents association which currently has a membership of 58 local residents within the H&F neighbourhood area as proposed for designation.

- 6.6. Although at the time of the formal consultation on the proposed designation of the St Quintin and Woodlands NF and Area there was a similar number of objections to the proposed designation as supporters, since then the Brickfield Area Residents Association has been established. None of the membership who voted in an email poll supported the designation of either the St Quintin and Woodlands NF or NA. Also, at the two meetings attended by council officers the majority of people at the meeting did not want to prepare a neighbourhood plan for the area within H&F and had no clear view about what it might include. The area within H&F is not within a Conservation Area (as is most of the area within RBKC) and to achieve consensus around a set of detailed planning policies governing issues (see para 5.3) such as rooflights, basement development, and eco retrofitting designed to ensure the long-term protection of the heritage is likely to be difficult.
- 6.7. Therefore, although the membership of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum has secured the membership of one resident from H&F (we have not been made aware of any others), it has not secured support from different places within the proposed neighbourhood area or from different sections of the community in that area. In addition, Ward Members do not support the designation of the proposed neighbourhood forum and therefore they will not represent the local community on the neighbourhood forum.
- 6.8. The application for the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum included in the justification reasons (para.5.4 above) for including a part of H&F within the proposed neighbourhood area. As discussed above, it is not considered appropriate to designate the whole of the area applied for. In excluding the Imperial College site and the area to the north of North Pole Road the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum no longer reflect (in general terms) the character of that area.

7. CONSULTATION

- 7.1. The applications were issued for a 6 week public consultation period from the 11 June and 20 July 2012, and RBKC consulted on the application concurrently. The council only dealt with representations to this borough. All residents and businesses both within the proposed neighbourhood area in H&F and in the wider area to the north of the A40 were sent letters informing them of the application. Information was also put on the council's website.
- 7.2. A total of 38 individuals/organisations made representations. There were 30 representations from within H&F and 8 representations from RBKC (the responses are set out in full in Appendix 3). Of the 25 respondents

from within the proposed neighbourhood area in H&F, 12 respondents supported the proposed Neighbourhood Area and Forum and 13 opposed to the designation.

7.3. In considering the application for the designation of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Area and Forum and following the consultation responses, council officers have held discussions with officers at RBKC and received advice from officers in the Neighbourhood Planning Unit of the Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG). They have also consulted Ward Members, Woodlands Area Residents and Bridckfields Area Residents Association.

8. EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS

- 8.1. This section of the report addresses the needs of all protected groups under the Equality Act 2010, as well as how S149 of the Act has been taken into account in the proposed decision.
- 8.2. Officers have considered the impact of the proposed decision against the protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 of those people who may be affected by the decision. The only group of people that have emerged as possibly being affected by the decision is students. They would likely form a group aged 18-25 and share the protected characteristic of Age.
- 8.3. The decision proposes to exclude the Imperial College site on Wood Lane/Shinfield Road from the proposed neighbourhood area. This means that the residents of the new student housing will be excluded from the neighbourhood area and potentially from the neighbourhood plan, if one were to be prepared. This could have negative impacts on this group as they would not be included in the neighbourhood plan area.
- 8.4. However as the Imperial College site is subject to a recent planning permission which is unlikely to be affected by any neighbourhood planning policies, overall, the recommendation to exclude this area (reflecting local views) is not considered to have a negative impact on equality groups and more specifically, Age, in this case.
- 8.5. The proposed decision to designate the neighbourhood area and to refuse the designation of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum in LBHF is not considered to be relevant to or likely to have an impact on the other remaining protected characteristics.

9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1. The legal considerations for the three decisions are set out in the body of the report. Reasons for the decision must be given in a statement and the statement must be published and made available in accordance with The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

9.2. Implications verified/completed by: (Alex Russel, Senior Solicitor, Tel 02087532771

10. FINANCIAL AND RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

- 10.1. Councils are required to provide advice and assistance to local communities wishing to prepare a plan for their local area. In order to prepare a neighbourhood plan the local council has first to designate the neighbourhood area and neighbourhood forum. The government has made available additional resources to assist both local communities and local planning authorities.
- 10.2. Once the Brickfields Neighbourhood Area is designated, the council will be able to request the payment of £5000 from Communities and Local Government. This payment recognises the officer time spent supporting and advising the community. Further payments of £5000 and £20,000 can be claimed if the local community prepares and successfully completes the neighbourhood planning process. However at this stage there is no indication that Brickfields Area Residents wish to prepare a neighbourhood plan and therefore the council is likely only to receive the initial £5000 which will contribute to the costs that we that have already been met from existing budgets.
- 10.3. Implications verified/completed by: (Gary Hannaway, Head of Finance (Environment), Tel 02087536071)

11. RISK MANAGEMENT

- 11.1. Legal advice has been sought to minimise any risks associated with the recommendation to refuse the designation of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum in H&F and to amend the boundaries of the proposed neighbourhood area.
- 11.2. Implications verified/completed by: (Pat Cox, Head of Policy and Spatial Planning, ext 5773)

12. PROCUREMENT AND IT STRATEGY IMPLICATIONS

12.1. N/A

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 2000 LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS USED IN PREPARING THIS REPORT

	Description of Background Papers	Name/Ext of h file/copy	older of	Department/ Location
1.	None			

[**Note:** Please list <u>only</u> those documents that are <u>not</u> already in the public domain, i.e. you do not need to include Government publications, previous public reports etc.] Do not list exempt documents. Background Papers must be retained for public inspection for four years after the date of the meeting.

LIST OF APPENDICES:

Appendix 1: Plan showing boundaries of the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Area

Appendix 2: Plan showing boundaries of proposed Brickfields Neighbourhood Area

Appendix 3: Schedule of Representations

Appendix 1



St Quintin-Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum



3



Appendix 3 Schedule of Representations

Name	Organisation/ Location	Representation
Rosemary Pettit	Chairman The Hammersmith Society	We are interested and pleased to note that Woodlands Area Residents has joined forces with the St Helens Residents Association in Kensington and Chelsea to submit an application for a new body to be known as the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum. This is the first application for such a Forum in this borough, it reflects well on the enterprising and cooperative spirit of neighbours and we wish it every success.
		The Localism Act 2011 is excellent to the degree that it encourages local residents and business to consider the most effective development for their area, to make a case for a Neighbourhood Plan and to unify a community around a practical vision. To that extent it is self-determination and respect in action. I feel confident that the originators of the Forum application understand the necessary process of consultation, examination and local referendum. It is not quick and they will require stamina, but it can be done; the first Forum has been established at Balsall Heath in Birmingham and many more are in the pipeline. By enabling the residents of Woodlands in their joint bid with neighbours over the borough border, Hammersmith and Fulham Council will be extending local democracy in accordance with the new Act.
		We understand that the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum initiative has the broad support of the ward councillors in both boroughs and we believe that there are many benefits to enabling this community to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan for submission to their respective councils. Although divided by a railway line that marks the borough boundary, these predominantly residential streets share many local amenities and community concerns.
		Cllr Victoria Brocklebank-Fowler, cabinet member for transport and technical services, has said of this bid: 'We are keen to improve our links with local groups and community organisations, and it is commendable that residents have rallied across borough borders to work together and look at their area's future.'
		The Hammersmith Society supports this initiative from these two residents' associations. It is commendable and deserving of success.
Andy Slaughter	Labour MP for Hammersmith	I am writing to express my support for the above Neighbourhood Forum application.
		I understand the St Helens Residents Association, with the support of Woodlands Area Residents, has submitted an application for the designation of a new body to be called the St Quintin and Woodlands

		Neighbourhood Forum. This new body would cover an area that includes parts of both the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea and Hammersmith and Fulham. The St Helens Residents Association is already a very active body that has attracted hundreds of residents to recent open meetings, but there is not an equivalent body currently representing the area within Hammersmith that is to be included in the proposed neighbourhood forum. Although divided by a railway line that marks the borough boundary, these predominantly residential streets share many local amenities and community concerns and it would be a fantastic way for residents in both Boroughs to have a bigger say in issues that impact their neighbourhoods. I would be grateful if you would consider these comments when reviewing this application with a view towards granting permission for the formation of a Neighbourhood Forum.
Kerry Richardson	H&F Resident	 We the undersigned, all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum. We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area. We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings
		convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
		PS: I am rather shocked to discover that if I had not known about this proposal and had not registered a NO vote, that my approval would have been ASSUMED and counted as a yes vote. How can this be democratic?
Dominique Gross	H&F Resident	We the undersigned, all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Wilsden railway line, and

		at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included
		in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Susanna Gretz	H&F Resident	I am writing to express my opposition to the recent application submitted to your team for a 'St. Quintins and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum'. Having lived since 1983 in Eynham Road, within the area we call Brickfield (referred to as 'Woodlands' in the proposal), I do not feel that the Forum would be sufficiently in the interests of this area to warrant its addition to the proposed plan.
		After much careful consideration of the plan with its proponents and with my Hammersmith neighbours, I oppose the plan because 1) the Brickfield boundaries (Wood Lane, Shinfield Street, the WLLine and North Pole Road) are relatively distinct from most of those proposed, which means that there are issues here which are more exclusively Hammersmith concerns; 2) where there are issues of common concern with our neighbours in North Kensington, we are confident that both 'sides of the tracks' can work together on them; and 3) we have sufficient experience of our existing local government process to be reluctant to complicate it through the addition of a Forum - a new, as yet untried institution.
		SUPPLEMENTARY REP:
		We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden

		railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Ruth Hillary	RBKC Resident	I strongly support the formation of the St. Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
rtati i mary		
		I have lived in the area for 7 years and believe this forum will establish a stronger community spirit. It will also:
		a. protect the conservation feature of importance to residents;
		b. bring together architecturally similar properties by including the area of Hammersmith and Fulham with the area of Kensington and Chelsea, currently the area in H&F is isolated and poorly represented and protected, the proposed boundary is correct;
		c. allow a clear strategy to protect local shops and pubs;
		d. protect open space and backlands;
		e. collaboration on an ad hoc basis has had some impact but more organised collaboration will be more effective for residents;
		f. the significant planning applications have not been considered by all residents as not all have effective and strong associations the forum will bring together those residents which are currently not represented in planning terms.
Councillor	College Park and	I am keen to see this develop - noting the importance of resident/community inclusion and playing a leading
Elaine	Old Oak Ward	role in local developments. Looking at the proposed map - I have reservations on the boundary size. The

Chumnery		proposal covers a huge area in Kensington and Chelsea and very few properties in LBHF. The proposal needs to be balanced and equal. I would like the boundary reviewed.
Boko Inyundo	H&F Resident	This letter is to support the application to establish the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
,		St Helens Residents Association submitted this application and I, as well as many of my neighbours on Eynham Road and its adjoining streets, fully support this proposition which has been inspired by the very close links we have developed with them as our immediate neighbours in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. As members of the Woodlands Area Residents, a lobby group that has represented the interests of residents in the Woodlands area of Hammersmith and Fulham on a number of specific issues, this aspiration to establish a common, cross-borough platform is very much prompted by our shared and deep concerns about the redevelopment of the former Woodlands site.
		Having lived in Eynham Road since 2006 the only other relevant resident bodies of which I am aware are the local Neighbourhood Watch and a group that represents the interests of the communal garden on Eynham Road along the railway embankment. I have regularly attended meetings held by these groups and members of these groups are also seriously frustrated by the Council's apparent lack of appetite to listen to local residents' absolute objections to the redevelopment plans under consideration and particularly the 35-storey high rise building that the developers are seeking planning approval to erect.
		However, as I understand it, there is no formally constituted residents association for our area and the as yet unoccupied, Woodlands development site also has no local representation. The proposed Neighbourhood Forum will therefore provide an important new democratically elected and representative body to promote the interests of residents and businesses across this community with respect to planning and environment matters.
		The proposed area, which spans the borough boundary, has been carefully considered to include what we collectively consider to be a coherent community with common interests in local facilities, amenities, recreation and transport. Note that although I live in the Woodlands area, on Eynham Road, I worship in St. Helen's Church which is situated in the Royal Borough. This one example provides tangible evidence of how I consider myself very much part of a cross-borough community which would be usefully represented by a residents' body such as this application seeks to establish. Over this summer's Jubilee and Olympics several residents associations in both boroughs have collaborated to set up fantastic cross-borough social events, again showing how we are inextricably linked and would benefit hugely from such a residents' body being created.

		As a father of two young primary school children, I am not only concerned with the negative impact the new development will have on this very community-based, relatively secure and environmentally appealing feel of this Woodlands area but perhaps more particularly concerned with the implications for local infrastructure that the influx of thousands more residents will have, especially the consequences for school places (already a serious problem for the Council and certainly one that will influence how I vote in the next elections), drainage systems and security. All these longer term issues appear to me to have been only vaguely considered by the Council, a real surprise given that these aspects of our locality are already stretched. It does appear to me as though the Council's commercial drivers are totally trumping the more social responsibilities the Council has for us residents, it's constituents. Ours is a demographically diverse area and we welcome the inclusive approach that has been adopted by the applicants in proposing this Neighbourhood Forum in a spirit of genuine neighbourly cooperation.
		resident of Eynham Road please do not hesitate to contact me. Your files should register that I have previously raised objections to the redevelopment plans and not had any response to that correspondence, itself perhaps clear evidence of the Council's lack of appetite for dialogue on the issues in play. We residents are in this for the long haul, so I urge the Council to be responsive and to listen as this is home for us and our families and we simply did not invest in settling here in order to then find ourselves living under 35 stories of concrete.
Dr. William Cooper	Woodlands Area Residents	I am writing on behalf of Woodlands Area Residents in support of the application for the designation of the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		St Helens Residents Association submitted this application with the full support of Woodlands Area Residents, building on the very effective working relationship we have established with our neighbours in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea.
		Woodlands Area Residents is a lobby group that has represented the interests of residents in the Woodlands area of Hammersmith and Fulham on a number of specific issues, prompted by the redevelopment of the former Woodlands site.
		Having lived in Eynham Road for the best part of a decade, the only other relevant resident bodies of which I am aware are the local Neighbourhood Watch and a group that represents the interests of the communal

garden along the railway embankment. There is no formally constituted residents association. The as yet unoccupied Woodlands development site also has no local representation.
The proposed Neighbourhood Forum will therefore provide an important new democratically elected and representative body to represent the interests of residents and businesses across this community with respect to planning and environment matters.
The proposed area, which spans the borough boundary, has been carefully considered to include what we collectively consider to be a coherent community with common interests in local facilities, amenities, recreation and transport.
This is a demographically diverse area and we welcome the inclusive approach that has been adopted by the applicants in proposing this Neighbourhood Forum in a spirit of genuine neighbourly cooperation.
This is a very welcome local initiative and I trust that with the endorsement of our local councillors it will benefit from the full support of both boroughs.
We look forward to working within the Neighbourhood Forum with other local groups and council representatives to develop a Neighbourhood Plan. This is no small undertaking but the evidence of strong community support for this initiative and the interest of many well qualified individuals are very encouraging.
SUPPLEMENTARY REP:
Having seen the responses to the consultation on the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum that Kensington and Chelsea Council has published so far it seems that there is overwhelmingly positive response to the proposal. It appears that Hammersmith and Fulham has yet to publish any responses but the feedback we have received so far has also been very supportive.
I am aware that there is a small group of individuals on the eastern side of Eynham Road on which I also live that does not wish to participate in the Neighbourhood Forum and is indeed opposed to inclusion in the proposed area. While I respect their right to hold such a view, this certainly appears to be a minority position.
Those that appear to oppose the initiative have not advanced any alternatives or any valid planning reasons not to have a properly constituted and democratically representative body to represent the area on

		neighbourhood planning matters.
		In the absence of any formally constituted residents association, Woodlands Area Residents has attempted to represent the views of many in the area, as expressed in well-attended open public meetings, in response to broad canvassing of community opinion, and in door to door discussions with residents.
		We have circulated hundreds of leaflets in the area and many people have expressed strong support for this activity and for the proposed Neighbourhood Forum.
		We believe that formally constituted, inclusive, democratic and locally representative Neighbourhood Forum is the way forward for our wider community. This need not preclude other formal and informal residents groups that may wish to continue and indeed we hope they will do so.
		There will be every opportunity for anyone in the area to contribute to and participate in discussions through the Neighbourhood Forum to help shape a consensus vision for the area.
		Any proposals that the proposed Neighbourhood Forum may advance will need to be compliant with national and local planning policies and ultimately subject to a democratic local ballot.
		We are encouraged by the expressions of interest that we have received from those that want to engage with this initiative and make a constructive contribution to the community and we hope that the respective councils will support this aspiration.
Heather Farrar	RBKC Resident	I am in support of a St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum
Jeremy Raphaely	RBKC Resident	I am writing to give my support to the formation of the above Forum.
		Particularly at a time that cross-borough issues are becoming more of a feature in all our lives, I believe that this is the best mechanism to provide for local residents and businesses to express their views in a way that will be both useful and impactful for both boroughs facing the decisions that lie ahead.
Jeremy Marsh	H&F Resident	This letter is to support the application to establish the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		St Helens Residents Association submitted this application and I, as well as many of my neighbours on the west side of the railway line, fully support this proposition which has been inspired by the very close links we have developed with them as our immediate neighbours in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. As

members of the Woodlands Area Residents, a lobby group that has represented the interests of residents in the Woodlands area of Hammersmith and Fulham on a number of specific issues, this aspiration to establish a common, cross-borough platform is very much prompted by our shared and deep concerns about the redevelopment of the former Woodlands site.
Having lived in Nascot Street Road since 2007 the only other relevant resident bodies of which I am aware are the local Neighbourhood Watch and a group that represents the interests of the communal garden on Eynham Road along the railway embankment. I have attended meetings held by these groups and members of these groups are also seriously frustrated by the Council's apparent lack of appetite to listen to local residents' absolute objections to the redevelopment plans under consideration and particularly the 35 storey high rise building that the developers are seeking planning approval to erect. However, as I understand it, there is no formally constituted residents association for our area and the, as yet unoccupied, Woodlands development site also has no local representation. The proposed Neighbourhood Forum will therefore provide an important new democratically elected and representative body to promote the interests of residents and businesses across this community with respect to planning and environment matters. The proposed area, which spans the borough boundary, has been carefully considered to include what we collectively consider to be a coherent community with common interests in local facilities, amenities, recreation and transport.
As a father of two young children, I am not only concerned with the negative impact the new development will have on this very community-based, relatively secure and environmentally appealing feel of this Woodlands area but perhaps more particularly concerned with the implications for local infrastructure that the influx of thousands more residents will have, especially the consequences for school places (already a serious problem for the Council and certainly one that will influence how I vote in the next elections), drainage system, security and above all the transport network - particularly the roads in the region. All these longer term issues appear to many of us to not have been given reasonable consideration by the Council and it does appear as though the Council's commercial drivers have been consistently overriding the more social responsibilities the Council has for us residents, its constituents.
Ours is a demographically diverse area and we welcome the inclusive approach that has been adopted by the applicants in proposing this Neighbourhood Forum in a spirit of genuine neighbourly cooperation.
I look forward to the Council's urgent approval of this application. Should you have any questions for me as a resident of Nascot Street please do not hesitate to contact me. We residents are in this for the long haul, so I urge the Council to be responsive and to listen as this is home for us and our families and we simply did not

		invest in settling here in order to then find ourselves living behind 35 storeys of concrete.
Tony Curzon Price	H&F Resident	I am writing as part of your consultation on the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum application. I am a resident of the area encompassed in the proposal - the area that we call "Brickfield" - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush. This is the Western-most part of the proposed neighbourhood forum.
		I would like to register my opposition to the application. After careful consideration, and several intense discussions with proponents and neighbours, I have come to the view that:
		1. The proposed boundary is wrong for our neighbourhood which is geographically relatively distinct from most of the proposed block
		2. Our interests do not overlap sufficiently with those of our neighbours in Kensington and Chelsea on the other side of the railway line
		3. We have substantial interests and concerns over what happens to our South and West, areas not included in the proposed NF
		 4. We have always been quite effective as a locality in coming together and making our views felt through the traditional planning process, which I feel has served us relatively well. I am not convinced that the NF will be an enhancement of that well-established, local government process. I am open to being won over to the NF's once I see them in operation, but do not want to take a pioneering risk with this kind of institution whose unintended consequences are so hard to forecast. 5. I do not feel represented by the "Woodlands Area Residents" which has expressed support for the proposal
		from our side of the railway line. SUPPLMENTARY REP:
		SOFFLMENTART REF.
		We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.

		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Gilat Levy	H&F Resident	We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Nick Clark	H&F Resident	We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum. We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent

		 relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum. We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area. We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Julie Jones	H&F Resident	 We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum. We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Selina O'Grady	H&F Resident	We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden

r	1	
		railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Smita Dave & Martin Murphy	H&F Residents	We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local

		community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Elizabeth Stubbs	H&F Resident	We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Cate Latto	H&F Resident	We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.

		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
Jo Langton	H&F Resident	We the undersigned (and cc'd), all of us residents of the "Brickfield" area - the block of houses bounded at the South by the Westway, at the North by North Pole Road, at the East by the Shepherd's Bush - Willesden railway line, and at the West by Wood Lane - would hereby like to make it clear that we are opposed to our area being included in the proposed St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
		We wish the St Quintin residents well in their aim to create a neighbourhood forum. We enjoy excellent relations with our neighbours on the other side of the railway line and have often collaborated on matters of joint interest. However, we do not believe our interests are so tightly conjoined that we ought to form a common Neighbourhood Forum.
		We would like to emphasise that the "Woodlands Area Residents", who are quoted in the application as supporting the proposed Neighbourhood Forum, is not a body that we feel either represents us, nor has any claim to represent us as the residents of the Brickfield area.
		We would like you to know that we have come to our views after two neighbourhood discussion evenings convened specifically for the purposes of discussing the proposal and after representations from Woodlands Area Residents and the St Helens Residents' Association. We are proud of our local area, our local community involvement and take our local responsibilities very seriously. It is our properly considered judgement that this proposal is not right for our neighbourhood.
		SUPPLEMENTARY REP:
		Please be advised that the above organisation, Woodlands Area Residents, if it can be called that, is NOT representative of the local residents whom it pretends to represent. It would appear that this is just one man, William Cooper, who is not a doctor per se, acting on his own. The local residents of the Woodlands area, now called the Brickfield Village, have met on several occasions in the last few weeks and almost unanimously expressed a consensus desire NOT to be included in this Neighbourhood Forum.
Dr Sue	H&F Resident	After living in Eynham Road for many years I fully welcome and support the move to create a neighbourhood

Farrell		forum for our area. I think it is a great idea!
		I am the mother of a young child and we make frequent use of facilities in North Kensington, including our doctor, local shops and regular play areas. As a result, on a daily basis I experience and enjoy a sense of local community that happens to cross the borough boundary.
		I was pleased to hear that there is support for a neighbourhood forum among local residents. This will help to further develop our sense of common identity and create a shared vision for the environment my child will grow up in. Please pass on my full support for this initiative and hopes for its success.
Brian Richardson and Gudrun	H&F Residents	We are writing as part of the consultation on the St Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum application. We live in Eynham Road, within the proposed forum's area.
Schmitt		We object to this proposal.
		We are opposed to the inclusion of the Eynham Road Area in the proposed Neighbourhood Forum.
		The boundaries of the proposed area would not meet the needs of Eynham Area residents.
		Any NF for the Eynham Road Area should include only property west of the West London railway line because Wood Lane and any development on it is our main concern and we should have a NF which covers both sides of Wood Lane, not just the east side of it.
		We are not represented by "Woodlands Area Residents" and we wish they had not applied for us to be in a NF without consulting us first
Nigel Whitbread	RBKC Resident	I welcome the proposal for the above forum seeking to establish the interests of residents and businesses etc. in order to define the best way forward to develop, and conserve, and maximise full potential of the material and environmental attributes currently experienced within the area mapped
Helen Hope	Sales Manager North Kensington Office Mountgrange Heritage	We, at Mountgrange Heritage, are a local business operating in St Helen's Gardens and would like to confirm and support the proposed forum.
Lesley	RBKC Resident	I heartily endorse the proposal and believe it will help to enhance and preserve the amenities of our local area.

Croome (Mrs)		
S Priestnall	H&F Resident	I am writing to you with regard to the proposal to form a Neighbourhood Forum for this area. Since the Councils themselves have now formed an amalgamation, this would seem an excellent time for groups of neighbouring residents to do likewise! In view of events where close to where I live, I wish there had already been an organisation like this in place and perhaps a highly inappropriate density of building i.e. 'Word here Studios' might have been prevented, or at least modified; and the prospect of a 35-storey 'tower' has no appeal at all.
		Therefore I wish to record my full support to the Forum proposed, as there is nothing like it in the area at present.
		It would be a very good move to have an official organisation to represent the views and wishes of the residents of this area, and which could be consulted in the event of proposed major building works (if there had been such official representation in place a year ago perhaps some of us would not find ourselves threatened by a so-called 'community building', which in my case is literally over the garden walls and about which we were never officially consulted as to whether such an amenity was wanted, much less where it should be.
		A democratic new body to reflect the interests of the whole community is an excellent idea. Local residents will be able to engage more fully in the planning process for the area; and hopefully their opinions and vision for that area and what is appropriate for the environment and in tune with buildings in situ will be taken into account.
Rose Hunt	H&F Resident	I support the formation of the above neighbourhood forum
Mary Lambert	RBKC Resident	I agree with the idea of a Neighbour Forum. I live in Pangbourne Avenue.
James W. Healey & Francesca	H&F Residents	Please can you put me down for membership to the forum.
Ramzi Araim	H&F Resident	I support the application to form the St. Quinton and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
Richard Craske	H&F Resident	I support the application to form the St. Quinton and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
Mary Mitchell	H&F Resident	I support the application to form the St. Quinton and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.

Mr. W Batten and Mrs. S C Batten	H&F Resident	I support the application to form the St. Quinton and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
C C Wharton	H&F Resident	I support the application to form the St. Quinton and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.
Samantha	H&F Resident	While I am not wholly opposed to the idea I wish to raise concerns about the application as it stands. I have
Waddilove	from outside the proposed	been in email correspondence with the residents association involved however the email I have received does not fully reassure me regarding the concerns I have.
	neighbourhood	
	area	I live in the development of properties managed by Circle Anglia at the junction of Wood Lane with Du Cane Road which is outside the proposed area. I am a long term resident having lived here for nearly 12 years: whilst a large part of this area is made up of residents who live here for a short time only (especially the key workers who may move employment and so move on) I am a long term resident and want to continue to be so and by that want to have a say in the area I live in. I am outside of the designated area only by Wood Lane - the opposite side where the Imperial College development is situated which is included. I live near to the White City Estates but not in them so I believe I am not eligible to be part of the White City Forum (and as that area is very different to the area I live in it may not support my needs) so feel very isolated in the small area where I live and especially vulnerable as a result of this. As someone who has also been a multiple victim of crime and anti social behaviour over the 2 years or so I am concerned that I and other residents here could end up being caught in the middle of development plans without any clear voice or input into them.
		My concerns are that firstly those living just outside the area have no right or way of involvement in any plans drawn up by the Forum and no way of inputting into them without going through the standard planning application processes - whilst these are there and a useful tool this is a rather confrontational way to go about objecting or simply asking for another view to be taken into consideration: and there is also the time and cost elements to consider for both local authorities and residents/organisations at a time of scarce resources. This leaves the real possibility that plans drawn up by the Forum could have a major negative effect on the small area where I live at a time when life here is already very difficult due to issues such as the Imperial College development, anti social behaviour and crime.
		In addition the majority of the designated area is considerably different from the area I live in in the terms of demography and those living in that area are unlikely to understand the issues facing those of us here: this area is for the most part non home owner, these properties are mostly social housing and many of us live and work in employment of lower earnings, the majority of us here do not own cars and have to rely on public transport. These are just the few superficial differences (I am sure that an expert in this area could detail

		more). I am concerned that with such a different demography we could be open to discrimination: I am already aware that some local residents look down on those of us living here. Whilst I acknowledge local councillors will have representation on the Forum I am not clear if that includes my own actual councillors who could represent me and other residents of this area: and with the majority of the area being in a different borough there is an issue regarding the borough councillors. Whist I have great respect for my local councillors I do not believe that they alone should be the only voice for those residents living right on the edge of the designated area.
		I note the application mentions integration with those moving into the new Imperial College development in the next year or so: however those of us literally living opposite them should really be the key people that Imperial College need to integrate with: we have already faced considerable disruption during the development works and issue of anti social behaviour from those working on the site (and this may well include the crimes committed against me): whilst I applaud the idea of the St Quentin & Woodlands area integrating with the Imperial College residents it seems to be that they have forgotten a key section of the community.
		One option I believe should be considered is to extend the area to take in those areas just outside the designated area (perhaps right up to the White City Forum area) and that work should be done by both the local authorities and those resident associations already in existence to integrate and work with those other residents who are not already part of the current residents associations. I believe this would greatly enhance the plans and enhance the work of integration of the residents of the local area and the development of the local community which is in need a considerable support and development. Furthermore I believe this might well help to deal with issues of discrimination in the area and could address the major problem of anti social behaviour, a major blight on the small area I live in.
		Please take these issues into consideration when considering the above application.
Susannah Kurti	RBKC Resident	I am very much in favour of a new neighbourhood forum which includes the St Quintin / Oxford Gardens Conservation Area. I am particularly concerned about proposals for a pedestrian / cycle route which would pass the back of my garden, and the gardens of other residents on the south side of the western end of Oxford Gardens.
J Naylor	The Flower Works North Pole Road	I support the application to form the St. Quintin and Woodlands Neighbourhood Forum.