



| Fire Risk Assessment of: | Bush Court, Shepherd's Bush Green, |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | London W12 8RB |
| Author of Assessment: | Z. Noorgat |
| | LBHF Fire Safety Surveyor |
| Quality Assured by: | Claire Norman, Senior Fire Surveyor, LBH&F |
| Responsible Person: | Richard Shwe |
| Risk Assessment Valid From: | 5/12/2024 |
| Risk Assessment Valid To: | 05/12/2025 |

Page: 1 of 13



| Building Features | |
|--|--------------------|
| | _ |
| Approximate Square Area of the Building: | 50m2 |
| Number of Dwellings: | 102 |
| Number of Internal Communal Stairs: | 1 |
| Number of External Escape Stairs: | 0 |
| Number of Final Exits: | 2 |
| Number of Stair Lifts: | |
| Number of Storeys | 19 |
| | |
| Uninhabited Roof Void? | |
| Basement Present? | |
| Gas Installed to Building? | yes |
| Solar Panels Installed on Building? | no |
| | |
| Number of Occupants: | 350 |
| | |
| Current Evacuation Policy: | Stay Put Procedure |
| Recommended Evacuation Policy: | Stay Put Procedure |
| | |
| Last LFB Inspection: | |
| | |

Page: 2 of 13



Survey Findings:

Building Construction & Layout:

Bush Court is a purpose-built block of residential flats, located over retail and commercial premises, with direct access at ground-floor level. The retail shops and commercial premises are located on the ground and first-floor levels, and there are no internal openings between the flats and the retail premises. The flats have their own independent entrance at street level to the front of the block, and there is a service area to the rear of the block. There is an open-air amenity deck on the second floor, located over the retail premises, for the use of the residents. There are 17 residential floors above the second floor, with a total of 102 flats. There are six self-contained flats on each floor, which are accessed via a common corridor, with access to the lifts and the single staircase. There is a mix of one, two and three-bedroom flats, most of which are occupied by tenants of LBHF, and some are leasehold.

Executive Summary

The communal area overall appeared to provide a good level of fire safety; however the below issues were noted.

- 1) The external cladding has questional fire resistance and requires further investigation/replacement.
- 2) Some communal doors require easing, adjusting and repair
- 3) The emergency evacuation system should be confirmed to be tested periodically.
- 4) Wayfinding signage requires improvement.

As this block is deemed to be a higher-risk building (HRB) (a building in England that's at least 18 meters tall or has at least seven storeys, and contains at least two residential units.) under The Building Safety Act 2022, any changes to the building should be communicated to the buildign safety manager so that an informed decision can be made on the validity of the existing fire risk assessment or the need for a new FRA to be carried out.

Page: 3 of 13



| <u>Guidance</u> | | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| | | |

Copyright:

The information contained within this Fire Risk Assessment (FRA) document is owned by the London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham (LBHF) and may not be used or reproduced without written permission. This document is provided, to the recipient, subject to specific obligations of confidentiality set forth in one or more binding legal agreements between LBHF and the recipient.

Scope of Assessment:

This FRA has been carried out on behalf of the 'Responsible Person' in accordance with Article 9 of the requirements of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (FSO). The purpose of this report is to provide an assessment of the risk to life from fire in this premise and where appropriate, to identify significant findings to ensure compliance with fire safety legislation as obliged observing current best practice, providing a minimum fire safety standard.

This report reflects the fire safety standards identified during inspection and does not address the risk fire may pose to property or business continuity.

In order to carry out this fire risk assessment the assessor has used their professional expertise, judgement and guidance contained in the British Standards Institute's publicly available specification (PAS 79: 2012), the Department for Communities & Local Government guidance, 'Fire Safety Risk Assessment - Sleeping Accommodation', Local Authorities Coordinators of Regulatory Services (LACORS) 'Housing Fire Safety' guidance and NFCC guidance 'Fire Safety in Specialised Housing'.

Which provides best practice guidance on fire safety provisions in England for certain types of existing housing; as well as the Local Government Association (LGA) Guidance 'Fire safety in purpose-built blocks of flats'.

The aim of the fire risk assessment process is not necessarily to bring an existing building up to the standard expected for a new building, constructed under current legislation. Rather, the intention is to identify measures which are practicable to implement in order to provide a reasonable level of safety for people in and around the premises. Information for the completion of this assessment was obtained by a physical type 1 survey, in compliance with LBHF policy and for the purpose of satisfying the FSO. The inspection of the building is non-destructive. The fire risk assessment will consider the arrangements for means of escape and so forth that will include examination of at least a sample of flat entrance doors. It also considers, so far as reasonably practicable, the separating construction between the flats and the common parts without any opening up of construction; however, in this type of survey, entry to flats beyond the area of the flat entrance door, is not involved as there is normally no automatic right of access for freeholders.

If your premises have been designed and built in line with modern building regulations (and are being used in line with those regulations), your structural fire precautions should be acceptable. While every effort is made to inspect fire compartmentation & fire separating elements of buildings, dependant on accessibility, including roof spaces, voids and service risers, to assess the integrity, comments reflect reasonable assumption. Unless there is reason to expect serious deficiencies in structural fire protection – such as inadequate compartmentation, or poor fire stopping – a type 1 inspection will normally be sufficient. Where doubt exists in relation to these matters, the action plan may recommend that one of the other types of fire risk assessment be carried out or that further investigation be carried out by specialists. (Any such recommendation would be based on identification of issues that justify reason for doubt.)

The FRA includes an Action Plan that sets out measures to enable the Responsible Person to achieve this benchmark risk mitigation level, satisfy the requirements of the FSO and to protect Relevant Persons (as defined in Article 2 of the FSO), from the risks of fire.



| Compartmentation and Building Features | |
|--|-------------------|
| From a Type 1 inspection perspective, are there breaches identified effecting compartmentation along the escape route? | No |
| From a Type 1 inspection perspective, are there ineffective or inapprpropiate materials used to create compartmentation? | No |
| Does the building have a roof void? | No |
| Was a survey of the roof void carried out as part of this inspection? | N/A |
| Are there other concerns identified with roof void? | N/A |
| Are lifts installed? | Yes |
| Does each lift have a fire service over-ride switch? | Yes |
| Are there any fire-fighting lifts? | Yes |
| Is a there a lift motor room? | Yes |
| is the compartmenation acceptible? | Yes |
| Did you get access to survey the lift motor room? | Yes |
| Are there any other concerns with Lifts or Lift Motor Room? | Yes |
| Are there utility cupboards within the communal area? | Yes |
| Are there any vertical or horizontal breaches in compartmentation? | Yes |
| Do utility cupboard doors appear to be FD30s standard? | Yes |
| is there evidence to confirm FD30s doors are certified? | No |
| is there damage to any part of the door or frame affecting its performance as a 30 minute fire and smoke resistant door? | No |
| Are there personal items or rubbish in any inspected utility or riser cupboard? | No |
| Are CO2 extinguishers installed inside each electrical riser? | No |
| Are CO2 extinguishers compliant? | N/A |
| Are there other concerns identified with the utility Cupboards and vertical risers? | Unable to Confirm |



| is external cladding fitted to the building? | res |
|--|-------------------|
| Does the external cladding appear suitably fitted and in good condition? | Yes |
| Is the external cladding constructed from fire rated materials? | Unable to Confirm |
| Are the internal escape route walls and ceilings to Class 0 standard? | Yes |
| Are there other concerns identified with flammable materials? | No |
| Means of Escape |] |
| Are fire action notices displayed at the entrances, fire exits and each level as required? | Yes |
| Are travel distances appropriate for the building design? | Yes |
| Are the internal escape route corridors free of trip hazards? | Yes |
| Are stairs free of all trip hazards? | Yes |
| Are there personal items exceeding the managed policy for communal areas, adversly affecting the escape routes? | No |
| Do final exits open in the direction of flow where required? | Yes |
| Are cable and wire fixings to external walls/ceilings to current standards to limit the likelihood of wire entanglement? | Yes |
| Are there suitable door opening devices such as thumb turns, push pad/bar? | Yes |
| Is directional and exit signage necessary in this building? | No |
| Where lifts are installed, are suitable fire safety signs displayed at each level? | Yes |
| Does the building have an external escape route? | No |
| Are there other concerns identified with the evacuation of the building? | No |
| Is emergency lighting installed? | Yes |
| Does the installed emergency lighting provide suitable coverage? | Yes |
| Are there recorded or observable defects with the emergency lighting system? | No |
| Is there evidence of a current and up-to-date emergency lighting service contract and maintenance programme? | Yes |



| bots the ballating require the installation of an energency lighting system. | 110 |
|--|-------------------|
| Is there a need to increase the emergency lighting provision? | No |
| Are there other concerns identified with the emergency lighting? | No |
| Does the building have suitable means to naturally ventilate the escape routes? | Yes |
| Is there a smoke ventilation system installed? | Yes |
| Does the ventilation system appear to be in good working order? | Unable to Confirm |
| Is there certification on site to to confirm the ventilation system is maintained and serviced? | N/A |
| Are there any concerns identified with ventilation of the internal escape route? | No |
| Are all individual flat numbers highlighted using wayfinding signage? | No |
| Are all floors on the landing of a protected stairway highlighted using wayfinding signage? | Yes |
| Are all floors on the landing of a protected corridor and lobby highlighted using wayfinding signage? | No |
| Are there floor identification floor signs required where the flat numbers are located in more than one direction? | No |
| Are there appropriate evacuation signs on each floor within the communal lobbies? | Yes |
| | |
| <u>Doors</u> | |
| Is the main entrance door suitable as part of the evacuation strategy for the building? | Yes |
| Is security to the property suitable to restrict access by uninvited persons during 'out of hour' times? | Yes |
| Are there a sufficient number of fire exits? | Yes |
| Are there any defects (glazing, furniture, frames, door) requiring repair or maintenance works? | No |
| Do any fire exits lead to areas that could put persons at further risk? | No |
| Do all fire exits have suitable signage? | Yes |
| Are there other concerns identified with the main entrance and fire exit doors? | No |



| Are there any compartment fire doors installed in this building? | Yes |
|--|-----|
| | |
| Is every compartment fire door and frame installed to the correct fire rating standard? | Yes |
| Does every compartment door freely self close into the frame? | No |
| Does every compartment door freely self close into the frame: | 140 |
| Are there any defective compartment fire doors (glazing, furniture, frames, door) requiring repair or maintenance works? | No |
| Are there locations where compartment fire doors should be installed? | No |
| | |
| Are there other concerns identified with the compartment fire doors? | Yes |
| Are there any flat entrance doors not conforming to FD60s standard? | No |
| | |
| Where FD60s doors have been installed, do any inspected doors not have a certification marking or certificate onsite ? | Yes |
| For open deck buildings, are there flat entrance doors not at a suitable fire and security standard? | N/A |
| | |
| Are positive action self-closers fitted and to the front face of the doors? | Yes |
| From the sample inspection taken, do the flat entrance doors freely self close into the frame? | No |
| | |
| Are there any defective flat entrance doors (glazing, furniture, frames, door) requiring repair or maintenance works? | No |
| Are there other concerns identified with the flat entrance doors? | No |
| | |

Page: 8 of 13



| Fire Hazards | |
|---|-----|
| Are "No Smoking" signs displayed at each entrance? | Yes |
| Is a no smoking policy being observed in the communal areas | Yes |
| Any there other concerns identified with smoking? | No |
| Are there suitable locations provided for storage of refuse? | Yes |
| Is the refuse area appropriately clear and well managed? | Yes |
| Are vertical refuse chutes fitted to the building? | No |
| Are there other concerns identified with refuse? | No |
| Has fixed electrical wiring been subject to a safety inspection within the past five years | Yes |
| Is there a lightning protection system installed? | Yes |
| Does the lightning certificate display a valid inspection date? | No |
| Is the lightning Protection free from defects and secured sufficiently? | Yes |
| Is there a wheelchair or stair lift in the communal area? | No |
| Are there electrical or charged items in the communal area (fridges, tumble dryers, mobility scooters etc)? | No |
| Any there other concerns identified with ignition sources? | No |
| | |
| Fire Detection | |
| From the sample flats accessed, is early warning fire detection appropriate | Yes |
| | |
| Fire Safety Management | |



| Are there hydrants within the grounds of the property estate? | Unable to Confirm |
|---|-------------------|
| Are there notable restrictions for the positioning of fire appliances within 20 meters of the building? | No |
| Is a Premises Information Box installed? | Yes |
| Are there complexities or unique features to the building to warrant the installation of a Premises Information Box? | No |
| Is there a Wet Riser installed? | No |
| Is there a Dry Riser installed? | Yes |
| Are there Dry Riser outlets on each level above the 6th storey? | Yes |
| Is there evidence to confirm Dry Risers are serviced? | Yes |
| Are Dry Riser signs displayed appropriately? | Yes |
| Are there any observable defects to Dry Riser inlets or outlets and their casings? | No |
| Are there other concerns identified for fire service operations? | No |
| Did you encounter any potential or actual hoarding risks? | No |
| LBHF have a medical register of 02 users, did you encounter a resident declaring they were using 02 but not registered? | No |
| Is there a supression system installed within any part of the building? | No |
| Did you encounter any potential hazards due to negligent contractor work at the property and its grounds? | No |
| Are there other concerns identified to do with fire safety management? | No |
| Does the building have both commercial outlets and residential dwellings? | Yes |
| In buildings with commercial outlets, do residents share any elements of the means of escape? | No |
| Where there is a shared escape route, is there a suitable interlinked fire alarm system installed? | N/A |
| Any there other concerns identified with the shared means of escape? | No |

Page: 10 of 13



| is there a secured 516 appropriately and securely located inside of off the exterior of the building? | ies |
|---|-----------------|
| Does the SIB have appropriate signage securely fixed to the SIB door? | Yes |
| Where the SIB is not on view externally, is there appropriate signage internally to assist in locating the SIB? | Yes |
| Does the SIB contain: | no |
| How is access given the Fire and Rescue Service? | Sharing of keys |
| Has documentation relating to the assessment of the external wall structure been provided prior to the fire risk assessment being undertaken? | No |
| Where there is evidence of a risk of external spread of fire, has the design of the external wall construction and the materials used been: | no |
| Where there is evidence of a risk of external spread of fire, has the design of the external wall construction and the materials used been: | no |
| Where there is evidence of a risk of external spread of fire, has the design of the external wall construction and the materials used been: | no |
| Where there is evidence of a risk of external spread of fire, has the design of the external wall construction and the materials used been: | no |
| Is there evidence that all essential fire-fighting equipment has been visually inspected on a monthly basis? | No |
| Is there evidence that all defects relating to essential fire-fighting equipment has been actioned? | No |

Page: 11 of 13



| Have all fire fighting and evacuation lifts been identified? | Yes |
|--|-------------------|
| Is there evidence of any defective fire-fighting and evacuation lifts which cannot be repaired within 24 hours been reported to the FRS? | No |
| Is there evidence that all communal fire doors being checked every 3 months? | Yes |
| Is there evidence that with all best endeavours all in-flat front doors are being checked annually? | Yes |
| Safety Management |] |
| Are there staff or site managers based at and working in the building? | No |
| Are staff trained to support an evacuation of the building during a fire emergency? | No |
| Are fire safety records accessible (digital or paper) for fire inspection audits? | Yes |
| Are LBHF emergency contact details displayed? | Yes |
| Any there other concerns identified with the management of information? | No |
| Are in-house checks of the Emergency Lighting being carried out and recorded? | Yes |
| Are in-house checks of the Extinguishing Media being carried out and recorded? | N/A |
| Are in-house checks of Fire exits and Escape routes being carried out and recorded? | Unable to Confirm |

Actions Arising from the Survey:

| | Slight Harm | Moderate Harm | Extreme Harm |
|--------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Low | Trivial Risk | Tolerable Risk | Moderate Risk |
| Medium | Tolerable Risk | Moderate Risk | Substantial Risk |
| High | Moderate Risk | Substantial Risk | Intolerable Risk |

Page: 12 of 13



| Risk Scores: | |
|--|----------------|
| Risk Score at the time of the Assessment | Moderate Risk |
| Risk Score if all actions are implemented: | Tolerable Risk |

Page: 13 of 13