

Predictive Equality Impact Assessment (PEIA) FOR COUNCIL DECISIONS ONLY



Title of report or proposal:

Granting of 99 year leases to Notting Hill Housing Group at 3c and 21a Bridge Avenue, W6

Describe in full the aims, objectives and purpose of the proposal, including desired outcomes:

Permission is sought to grant 99 year leases with Notting Hill Housing Group (NHHG) at 3c and 21a Bridge Avenue, W6 to enable NHHG to grant 99 year underleases to their tenants so they can buy the flats under the social homebuy scheme.

Department:

Community Services Department

Form and report MUST be checked and countersigned by the Principal Corporate Equalities Officer before the report proceeds to the Cabinet Member(s).

Officer Responsible:

Chris Jones, 020 8753 4470, chris.jones@lbhf.gov.uk

(Signature, Print Name, Contact Number and Email Address)

Principal Corporate Equalities Officer (PCEO):

Signed off by Pinakin Patel at 15.32 on 12/09/06. Ext 5727, pinakin.patel@lbhf.gov.uk

(Signature, Print Name, Contact Number and Email Address)

PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

1. Who are the main people that this decision will affect?

2 Tenants of Notting Hill Housing Group

2. Identify the risks that could prevent the planned outcomes

There are no risks

3. Could the proposal have a positive impact on **a) race b) disability c) gender d) sexual orientation e) age f) belief system groups**? (Please provide evidence e.g. user feedback, complaints, ethnic monitoring, diversity monitoring?)

a) b) c) d) e) f) a & b. Promotion of social homebuy is likely to make homeownership affordable to households on low incomes, given the profile of groups a. and b. the likelihood of the promotion of social homebuy is likely to be a positive one.

4. Could the proposal have a negative impact on **a) race b) disability c) gender d) sexual orientation e) age f) belief system groups**? (Please provide evidence e.g. user feedback, complaints, ethnic monitoring, diversity monitoring?)

a) b) c) d) e) f) for all groups there is a potential that sale of social rented housing stock might have adverse impacts on some equalities groups who need to move given that a disproportionate % of groups a and b particularly live in unsuitable housing and need to move. However the commitment by NHHG in terms of use of receipts from sale to reinvest in new social rented housing should have a positive impact.

5. Can any negative impact of the decision be justified?

There are no as such adverse impacts however see 4 for commentary.

6. If you have undertaken any internal/ external research or consultation(s) please list these below:

There was no need for consultation on this matter. However research has been undertaken that a. identifies a demand for such a low cost homeownership products and b. identifies the cost benefits of sale where receipts are reinvested.

8. Do you need to undertake any further consultation? If so, what and with whom?

No

**PLEASE EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO PINAKIN PATEL: pinakin.patel@lbhf.gov.uk
London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham**